Dual-Emissive Metal-Organic Framework: A Novel Turn-on and Ratiometric Fluorescent Sensor for Highly Efficient and Specific Detection of Hypochlorite

Yong-Nian Zeng,^{a,b,c} He-Qi Zheng,^{a,b} Xing-Hao He,^{a,b} Gao-Juan Cao, *a Bin Wang,^d

Kechen Wu,^c and Zu-Jin Lin, *a,b,c,d

^aDepartment of Applied Chemistry, College of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China.

^bState Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China.

^cFujian Key Laboratory of Functional Marine Sensing Materials, Minjiang University, Fuzhou, 350108, China.

^dDepartment of Chemistry, University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, San Antonio, TX 78249-0698, United States.

1- Methods

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected on a Rigaku MiniFlex2 diffractometer working with Cu K α radiation. UV-vis spectra were measured on a UV-2600 (Shimadzu) ultraviolet spectrophotometer. Fluorescent measurements were carried out on a FS5 Spectrofluorometer (Edinburgh Instruments Ltd.) with a scan speed of 5 nm s⁻¹ and emission/excitation slit widths of 3 nm. Fluorescent lifetimes were measured on a FLS980 Spectrofluorometer (Edinburgh Instruments Ltd.) with an excitation wavelength of 375 nm. SEM (scanning electron microscopy) images were collected in JSM6700-F and SU-8010 field emission scanning electron microscopes.

2- Chracterization



Figure S1. Fluorescent spectra of PDA/Eu/PDA-UiO-66-NH₂(20) and PDA/Eu/PDA-UiO-66-NH₂(0) suspensions when excited at 280 nm.



Figure S2. Fluorescent spectra of PDA/Eu/PDA-UiO-66-NH₂(40) suspension before (black) and after (red) adding 60 μ L aqueous solution of NaClO (3 mM).



Figure S3. Effect of (a) excitation wavelength, (b) pH, and (d) contact time to the

fluorescence of PDA/Eu/PDA-UiO-66-NH₂(40) suspension.



Figure S4. PXRD patterns of pristine and recycled PDA/Eu/PDA-UiO-66-NH₂(40).



Figure S5. Fluorescent spectra of UiO-66 suspensions before (black) and after (red) adding 60 μ L aqueous solution of NaClO (3 mM).



Figure S6. UV-*vis* absorbance spectra of ClO⁻ (60 μ M) and PDA/Eu/PDA-UiO-66-NH₂(40) after adding various amount of ClO⁻.



Figure S7. Fluorescent spectra of UiO-66-NH₂ suspension before (black) and after (red) adding 60 μ L aqueous solution of NaClO (3 mM).

Samples	Eu (wt%)	Zr (wt%)	$n_{\rm Eu}/n_{\rm Zr}$
PDA/Eu/PDA@UiO-66-NH ₂ (0)	14.12%	12.90%	65.67%
PDA/Eu/PDA@UiO-66-NH ₂ (20)	12.07%	19.34%	37.45%
PDA/Eu/PDA@UiO-66-NH ₂ (30)	4.81%	34.11%	8.46%
PDA/Eu/PDA@UiO-66-NH ₂ (40)	3.92%	33.87%	6.94%
PDA/Eu/PDA@UiO-66-NH ₂ (50)	3.02%	30.15%	6.01%
PDA/Eu/PDA@UiO-66-NH ₂ (80)	0.487%	24.60%	1.19%
PDA/Eu/PDA@UiO-66-NH ₂ (100)	0.24%	28.13%	0.51%

Table S1. ICP results of PDA/Eu/PDA@UiO-66-NH₂(x).

 Table S2. Comparison of the reported MOF-based sensors and other representative

 prober for ClO⁻ detection.

Probe	Operation mode	Linear range (µM)	Response time (s)	LOD (µM)	media	Ref.
Compound 1	Turn-off	10-400	NM	10	DMF	1
AF@MOF-801	Off-on	0.5-15	30	0.075	HEPES	2
UiO-68-ol	Turn-off	0.1-100	5	0.10	PBS	3
NH ₂ -MIL- 53(Al)	Turn-off	0.05-15	180	0.04	PBS	4
UiO-Eu-L1	Turn-on	0.1-5	5	0.016	Pure water	5
UiO-68-PT	Turn-on	0-80	< 10	0.28	Water	6
Eu/BPyDC@M OF-253-NH ₂	Ratiometric and turn-off	0.1-30	< 15	0.094	Tris-HCl	7
Py-Pd	Turn-on	0.5-15	30	0.075	H ₂ O/DMSO	8

CD@Ru(bpy) ₃ ² +	Ratiometric and turn-off	0.05-7	NM	0.012	PBS	9
BiTClO	Turn-on	0-20	10	0.21	PBS	10
M-TPEP-CN	Turn-on	0-110	NM	2.363	PBS-MeCN	11
NFCDs	On-off	0-20	Very quickly	0.012	water	12
BODIPY	ratiometric	0-70	600	0.15	PBS	13
PDA/Eu/PDA- UiO-66-NH ₂	Ratiometric turn on	0.10-60	< 15	0.10	Tris-HCl	This work

NM = no mentioned.

Table S3. Fluorescent lifetimes of PDA/Eu/PDA-UiO-66-NH2(40) suspensions (inTris-HCl buffer solution) after adding 60 μ L aqueous solutions of NaClO with variousNaClO concentration.

Sample	$\tau_1(s)$	Rel (%)	$\tau_{2}\left(s ight)$	Rel (%)	τ (s)
Suspension	2.45E-10	35.88	1.39E-08	64.12	9.01E-09
Suspension + 60 μ L 1mM ClO ⁻	2.68E-10	18.34	1.43E-08	81.66	1.17E-08
Suspension + 60 μ L 2mM ClO ⁻	2.70E-10	15.06	1.44E-08	84.94	1.22E-08
Suspension + 60 μ L 3mM ClO ⁻	2.81E-10	8.98	1.46E-08	91.02	1.33E-08

Reference

- 1. H. Xu, C.-S. Cao, J.-Z. Xue, Y. Xu, B. Zhai and B. Zhao, Chem. Eur. J., 2018, 24, 10296-10299.
- Y. Ye, L. Zhao, S. Hu, A. Liang, Y. Li, Q. Zhuang, G. Tao and J. Gu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, 48, 2617-2625.
- Y.-A. Li, S. Yang, Q.-Y. Li, J.-P. Ma, S. Zhang and Y.-B. Dong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 13241-13248.
- 4. T. Lu, L. Zhang, M. Sun, D. Deng, Y. Su and Y. Lv, Anal. Chem., 2016, 88, 3413-3420.
- 5. Z. Zhou, X. Li, Y. Tang, C. C. Zhang, H. Fu, N. Wu, L. Ma, J. Gao and Q. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **351**, 364-370.
- Q.-Y. Li, Y.-A. Li, Q. Guan, W.-Y. Li, X.-J. Dong and Y.-B. Dong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b01032.
- Y.-N. Zeng, H.-Q. Zheng, J.-F. Gu, G.-J. Cao, W.-E. Zhuang, J.-D. Lin, R. Cao and Z.-J. Lin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, 58, 13360-13369.
- 8. J. Gu, X. Li, Z. Zhou, R. Liao, J. Gao, Y. Tang and Q. Wang, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 368, 157-164.
- Y. Zhan, F. Luo, L. Guo, B. Qiu, Y. Lin, J. Li, G. Chen and Z. Lin, ACS Sensors, 2017, 2, 1684-1691.
- 10. K. Li, J.-T. Hou, J. Yang and X.-Q. Yu, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 5539-5541.
- 11. C. Xu, Y. Zhou, Y. Cui, X. Liu and X. Peng, Sensor Actuators B-Chem., 2020, 314, 128083.
- 12. J. Guo, S. Ye, H. Li, J. Song and J. Qu, Dyes and Pigments, 2020, 175, 108178.
- 13. B.-x. Shen, Y. Qian, Z.-q. Qi, C.-g. Lu, Q. Sun, X. Xia and Y.-p. Cui, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2017, 5, 5854-5861.