Supplementary Information

Supporting Information

Mild Catalytic Deoxygenation of Amides Promoted by Thorium Metallocene

Sayantani Saha and Moris S. Eisen*

Schulich Faculty of Chemistry, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa City, 320008, Israel Fax: + 972-4-8295703; Tel: + 972-4-8292680 E-mail: chmoris@tx.technion.ac.il * To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Contents 1 Experimental Section S2 1.1 General Considerations S2 S3 - S192 Kinetic studies Scheme S1. Proposed Mechanism of Hydroboration of Amides S17 2.2 The Rate Kinetic Law for Amide Hydroboration S18 3 Stoichiometric Studies S19 - S24S24 4. IR Studies S25 - S265.1 General Procedure for Amide Hydroboration Reaction 5.2. Synthetic procedure for amino-pinacol borane to amine S26 - S275.3. General procedure for amide hydroboration reaction S27 - S285.4. Calculation of product conversion (%) S28 5.5.¹H & ¹³C NMR Spectra amine-borane adducts in reaction mixtures S29 - S505.5 NMR specta of isolates amines from amine-borane adducts S51-S58 S59 6 References

1. Experimental Section

1.1. General considerations. All manipulations of air sensitive materials were performed with the rigorous exclusion of oxygen and moisture in flamed Schlenk-type glassware or J-Young NMR tubes on a dual manifold Schlenk line interfaced to a high vacuum (10-5 Torr) line, or in a nitrogen-filled 'Innovative Technologies' glovebox with a medium capacity recirculator $(1-2 \text{ ppm of } O_2)$. Argon and nitrogen were purified by passage through a MnO oxygen-removal column and a Davison 4 Å molecular sieve column. Hydrocarbon solvents benzene–d₆ (Cambridge Isotopes), toluene (Bio-Lab), and diethyl ether (Bio-Lab) were distilled under vacuum from Na/K alloy. A few amides were bought from Sigma-Aldrich and others were synthesised using literature procedure.¹ All the solid substrates used in catalysis reaction were distilled and stored over activated 4 Å molecular sieves. Cp*₂ThMe₂ [Th1],² thorium metallacycle [Th2],³ Th-complex [Th3]⁴ and deuterated pinacolborane (DBpin)⁵ were prepared according to published procedures. All the afore mentioned reagents were stored in an inert atmosphere glovebox prior to use. Thorium complexes are radioactive (caution)!

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Advance 300, Bruker Advance III 400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C NMR are referenced to internal protio solvent and reported relative to tetramethylsilane. J-values are reported for ¹H NMR coupling constants in the unit of hertz (Hz).

2. Kinetic studies

2.1. Hydroboration of tertiary amide: In a J. Young NMR tube, typical amount of precatalyst Th1 $(1.88 \sim 18.78 \mu mol)$, N,N-diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (0.08 ~ 0.50 mmol), HBpin (0.08 ~ 1.0 mmol), and total volume was made up to 0.6 mL with C₆D₆ in the glove box and then the tube was sealed. The NMR tube was taken out of the glove box and freeze it in an ice bath until the ¹H NMR experiment began at 70° C. All the experiments were done by changing either one substrate or the catalyst while keeping the other reagents constant, and the data was collected up to 10-15% conversion. The product concentrations were measured by the area ratio of starting material and product from the in situ formed reaction mixture. Reaction rates were determined by least-square fit of the initial product concentration versus time, and the plots were shown in Figures S1-S10. Activation parameters including enthalpy (ΔH^{\neq}), entropy (ΔS^{\neq}) and activation energy (E_a) were calculated from kinetic data using Eyring and Arrhenius plots. In a typical sample, the J. Young tube was loaded with desired amount of catalyst Th1 (0.564 µmol), N,N-diethyl-2,2,2trifluoroacetamide (0.1879 mmol), HBpin (0.376 mmol) and sealed. Then the sample was inserted into Bruker Advance 300 spectrometer which had been previously set to the desired temperature (50 °C, 60 °C, 70 °C, 80 °C, 90 °C). The data was collected up tro 10-15% conversion. Reaction rates were determined by the least square fit of initial product concentration versus time, and Eyring and Arrhenius plots were shown in Figures S11-S13. Enthalpy (ΔH^{\neq}), entropy (ΔS^{\neq}) and activation energy (E_a) were calculated from the slope and intercept of the least-square fit.

<i>N,N</i> -diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (0.1879 mmol)				
HBpin (0.3758 mmol)				
Rate (mmol/sec) $\times 10^{-5}$ Th1 (mmol) ln(Rate) ln(Th				
2.32	0.00188	-10.67	-6.28	
5.32	0.0047	-9.84	-5.36	
11.96	0.009393	-9.03	-4.67	
21.2	0.0141	-8.46	-4.26	
28.12	0.0188	-8.18	-3.97	
		Slope	1.09	



Figure S1. Plot of conversion (%) vs time (min) at different Th1 concentrations in the reaction of N,N-diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex Th1 at 70 °C.



Figure S2. Plot of Initial rate *vs.* [**Th1**] in the reaction of *N*,*N*-diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S3. Plot of ln[Initial Rate] *vs.* ln[**Th1**].

<i>N</i> , <i>N</i> –diethyl–2,2,2–trifluoroacetamide (0.4 mmol)			
Т	h1 (0.0093 mmol)		
Rate (mmol/sec) $\times 10^{-6}$	ln(Rate)	ln(HBpin)	
3.1	0.08	- 12.68	- 2.53
4.5 0.12		- 12.31	- 2.12
7.2 0.16		- 11.84	- 1.83
9.3	0.25	- 11.58	- 1.38
13.7 0.35		- 11.19	- 1.05
		Slope	0.99



Figure S4. Plot of conversion (%) vs time (min) at different HBpin concentrations in the reaction of N, *N*-diethyl-2, 2, 2-trifluoroacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S5. Plot of Initial rate *vs.* [HBpin] in the reaction of *N*,*N*-diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S6. Plot of ln[Initial rate] *vs.* [HBpin].

Th1 (0.0062 mmol)			
HBpin (0.8 mmol)			
Rate (mmol/sec) $\times 10^{-5}$	Amide		
	(mmol)		
4.44	0.08		
5.92	0.16		
6.1	0.25		
3.96	0.40		
5.6	0.50		



Figure S7. Plot of conversion (%) vs time (min) at different amide concentrations in the reaction of N,N-diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S8. Plot of Initial rate *vs.* [Amide] in the reaction of N,N-diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S9. Plot of conversion (%) *vs.* time (min) in the reaction of *a*) *N*,*N*–diethyl–2,2,2–trifluoroacetamide and HBpin (2.0 equiv.) and *b*) *N*,*N*–diethyl–2,2,2–trifluoroacetamide and DBpin (2.0 equiv.) catalyzed by 3 mol% of complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S10. a) Plot of decrease (%) of *N*,*N*-dimethylbenzamide vs time, and b) Plot of C-O/C-N cleaved products (%) vs. overall conversion (%) in the reaction of *N*,*N*-dimethylbenzamide + HBpin (2 equiv.) in presence of **Th1** (3 mol%) 70 °C.

<i>N,N</i> -diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (0.1879 mmol) HBnin (0.3758 mmol)					
	Th1 (0.0093 mmol)				
k	$\ln(k/T)$	$\ln(k)$	T (K)	$1/T \times 10^{-3}$	
2.62×10^{-5}	- 16.33	- 10.55	323	3.096	
3.53×10^{-5}	- 16.06	- 10.25	333	3.003	
6.52×10^{-5}	- 15.47	- 9.64	343	2.915	
10.73×10^{-5}	- 15.01	- 9.14	353	2.833	
12.64×10^{-5}	-14.87	- 8.98	363	2.755	
$\ln(k/T)$ vs 1/T		$\ln(k)$ vs 1/T			
Intercept	- 1.92	± 1.34	Intercept	4.88 ± 1.35	
Slope	- 4666.75	5 ± 459.04	Slope	$-\ 4994.91 \pm 461.78$	



Figure S11. Plot of conversion (%) vs time (min) at different temperatures (50-90 °C) in the reaction of N,N-diethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1**.



Figure S12. Eyring plot of $\ln(k/T)$ vs 1/T for hydroboration of *N*,*N*–diethyl–2,2,2–trifluoroacetamide by catalyst **Th1**.



Figure S13. Arrhenius plot of ln(k) vs 1/T for hydroboration of *N*,*N*–diethyl–2,2,2–trifluoroacetamide by catalyst **Th1**.

2.3. Hydroboration of secondary amide: In a J. Young NMR tube, typical amount of precatalyst **Th1** (0.94 ~ 7.51 μ mol), *N*-methylacetamide (0.047 ~ 0.188 mmol), HBpin (0.094 ~ 1.50 mmol), and total volume was made up to 0.6 mL with C₆D₆ in the glove box and then the tube was sealed. The NMR tube was taken out of the glove box and freeze it in an ice bath until the ¹H NMR experiment began at 70° C. All the experiments were done by changing either one substrate or the catalyst while keeping the other reagents constant, and the data was collected up to 10-15% conversion. The product concentrations were measured by the area ratio of starting material and product from the in situ formed reaction mixture. Reaction rates were determined by least-square fit of the initial product concentration versus time, and the plots were shown in Figures S14-S21. Activation parameters including enthalpy (ΔH^{\neq}), entropy (ΔS^{\neq}) and activation energy (E_a) were calculated from kinetic data using Eyring and Arrhenius plots. In a typical sample, the J. Young tube was loaded with desired amount of catalyst Th1 (0.188 µmol), N-methylacetamide (0.188 mmol), HBpin (0.564 mmol) and sealed. Then the sample was inserted into Bruker Advance 300 spectrometer which had been previously set to the desired temperature (50 °C, 60 °C, 70 °C, 80 °C, 90 °C). The data was collected up to 10-15% conversion. Reaction rates were determined by the least square fit of initial product concentration versus time, and Eyring and Arrhenius plots were shown in Figures S22–S24. Enthalpy (ΔH^{\neq}) , entropy (ΔS^{\neq}) and activation energy (E_a) were calculated from the slope and intercept of the least-square fit.

<i>N</i> -methylacetamide (0.1878 mmol) HBpin (0.5637 mmol)				
Rate (mmol/sec) $\times 10^{-5}$ Th1 (mmol) $\times 10^{-3}$ ln(Rate) ln(Th				
0.6323	0.9393	- 11.97	- 6.97	
1.4844	1.878	- 11.12	- 6.28	
2.994	3.757	- 10.42	- 5.58	
3.925	5.6357	- 10.14	- 5.18	
6.201 7.514		- 9.69	- 4.89	
		Slope	1.0539	



Figure S14. Plot of conversion (%) vs time (min) at different **Th1** concentrations in the reaction of N-methylacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S15. Plot of Initial rate *vs.* [**Th1**] in the reaction of *N*-methylacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.

Figure S16. Plot of ln[Initial Rate] vs. ln[Th1].

Th1 (0.00235 mmol)				
IN-meth	iylacetamide (0.4 n	nmol)		
Rate (mmol/sec) $\times 10^{-5}$	ln(Rate)	ln(HBpin)		
1.43	1.43 0.08		- 2.53	
2.76	0.16	- 10.49	- 1.83	
3.35	0.20	- 10.30	- 1.61	
4.64	0.28	- 9.97	- 1.27	
5.77	5.77 0.35		- 1.05	
		Slope		



Figure S17. Plot of conversion (%) vs time (min) at different HBpin concentrations in the reaction of N-methylacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S18. Plot of Initial rate vs. [HBpin] in the reaction of *N*-methylacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex Th1 at 70 °C.





Th1 (0.001878 mmol)			
HBpin (0.8 mmol)			
Rate (mmol/sec) $\times 10^{-5}$ <i>N</i> -methylacetamic			
(mmol)			
12.5	0.08		
11.88	0.16		
14.4	0.24		
12.82	0.32		



Figure S20. Plot of Initial rate vs. [*N*-methylacetamide] in the reaction of *N*-methylacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1** at 70 °C.



Figure S21. Plot of conversion (%) *vs.* time (min) in the reaction of *a*) *N*-methylacetamide and HBpin (3.0 equiv.) and *b*) *N*-methylacetamide and DBpin (3.0 equiv.) catalyzed by 1 mol% of complex **Th1** at 70 °C.

<i>N</i> -methylacetamide (0.1878 mmol)					
HBpin (0.5637 mmol)					
	Th	1 (0.00187	78 mmol)		
k	$\ln(k/T)$	$\ln(k)$	T (K)	$1/T \times 10^{-3}$	
0.2046×10^{-3}	- 14.27	- 8.49	323	3.096	
0.4609×10^{-3}	- 13.49	- 7.68	333	3.003	
0.80×10^{-3}	- 12.96	- 7.13	343	2.915	
2.62×10^{-3}	- 11.81	- 5.94	353	2.833	
4.667×10^{-3}	- 11.27	- 5.37	363	2.755	
$\ln(k/T)$ vs 1/T		$\ln(k)$ vs 1/T			
Intercept	13.67 ± 1.08		Intercept	20.53 ± 1.10	
Slope	-9037.99 ± 370.39		Slope	$-\ 9390.60 \pm 375.87$	



Figure S22. Plot of conversion (%) vs time (min) at different temperatures (50-90 °C) in the reaction of *N*-methylacetamide and HBpin catalyzed by complex **Th1**.



Figure S23. Eyring plot of $\ln(k/T)$ vs 1/T for hydroboration of *N*-methylacetamide by catalyst **Th1**.



Figure S24. Arrhenius plot of ln(k) vs 1/T for hydroboration of *N*-methylacetamide by catalyst **Th1**.



Scheme S1. Proposed mechanism of hydroboration of through a) C-O breakage pathway, b) C-N breakage pathway and c) C-C breakage pathway.

Supplementary Information

2.2. The Rate Kinetic Law for Amide Hydroboration.

According to proposed mechanism (Scheme S1), the step involving Th–O/H–B σ -bond metathesis is the rate-determining step (k_5) based on the experimental results. Therefore, we can assume a steady-state approximation giving the following rate equations:

$$\partial p/\partial t = k_5 [D] [HBpin]^2$$
 (1)
 $\partial [D]/\partial t = -k_5 [D] [HBpin]^2 + k_4 [C] [HBpin] = 0$
 $k_4 [C] [HBpin] = k_5 [D] [HBpin]^2$ (2)
 $\partial [C]/\partial t = k_3 [B] [S] - k_4 [C] [HBpin] = 0$
[C] [HBpin] = $k_3 [B] [S] / k_4$ (3)

By substitution of $eq^{n}(3)$ into $eq^{n}(2)$ we get the following rate equation:

$$k_{5} [D] [HBpin]^{2} = k_{3} [B] [S] \quad (4)$$

$$\partial [B]/\partial t = k_{2} [A] [HBpin] - k_{-2} [B] - k_{3} [B] [S] + k_{5} [D] [HBpin]^{2} = 0....(5)$$

$$k_{2} >>> k_{-2}$$

$$k_{2} [A] [HBpin] - k_{3} [B] [S] + k_{5} [D] [HBpin]^{2} = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$k_2$$
 [A] [HBpin] + k_5 [D] [HBpin]² = k_3 [B] [S] (7)

 $k_2 >>> k_5$

$$k_2$$
 [A] [HBpin] = k_3 [B] [S] (8)

By substitution of $eq^{n}(8)$ into $eq^{n}(4)$ we get the following rate equation:

$$k_{5}$$
 [D] [HBpin]² = k_{2} [A] [HBpin] (9)
 $\partial p/\partial t = k_{2}$ [A] [HBpin] (12)
 ∂ [A]/ $\partial t = k_{1}$ [Th1] [HBpin]² - k_{2} [A] [HBpin] = 0
 k_{2} [A] [HBpin] = k_{1} [Th1] [HBpin] (13)

By substitution of $eq^{n}(13)$ into $eq^{n}(12)$ we get the following rate equation:

$$\partial p/\partial t = k_1$$
 [Th1] [HBpin] (14)

3. Stoichiometric studies



Figures S25. ¹H NMR of *a*) CH₃CONHCH₃ + HBpin (1 equiv.) in C₆D₆ after 6 h at room temperature and *b*) CH₃CONHCH₃ + HBpin (1 equiv.) in C₆D₆ after 12 h at 70 °C.



Figures S27. ¹H NMR of *a*) **Th1** + HBpin (5 equiv.) and *b*) **Th1** + HBpin (10 equiv.) at 25 °C in C₆D₆.



Figures S28. ¹H NMR of reaction mixtures of N,N–diethyl–2,2,2–trifluoroacetamide + HBpin (1 equiv.) catalysed by **Th1** (3 mol%) at 70 °C in C₆D₆.



Figures S29. ¹H NMR of reaction mixtures of N-methylacetamide + HBpin (1 equiv.) catalyst **Th1** at 70 °C in C₆D₆.



Figure S31. ¹H NMR of {hemiaminal + HBpin (10 equiv.)} in CDCl₃ at 70 °C after 24 h.



Figure S32. ¹H NMR of {hemiaminal + HBpin (10 equiv.)} in C₆D₆ at 70 °C after 24 h in presence of **Th1** (5 mol%).



Figure S33. ¹H NMR of styrene hydroboration reaction using HBpin (5 equiv.) and 5 mol% of **Th1** in C₆D₆ at 70 °C after 24 h.



and 5 mol% of Th1 in C₆D₆ at 70 °C after 24 h.





Figures S35 IR spectra of *a*) **Th1** ($Cp^*{}_2$ ThMe₂) (black), *b*) **Th1** + HBpin (2 equiv.) (red) and *c*) **Th1** + DBpin (2 equiv.) (green) at 25 °C in C₆H₆.

5.1. General procedure for amide hydroboration reaction

A J. Young NMR tube was loaded with *i*) aliphatic secondary amides (1 mmol), HBpin (3 mmol), **Th1** (0.01 mmol), or, *ii*) other secondary amides (1 mmol), HBpin (3 mmol), **Th1** (0.03 mmol), or, *iii*) tertiary amides (1 mmol), HBpin (2 mmol), **Th1** (0.03 mmol), or, *iv*) primary amides (1 mmol), HBpin (5 mmol), **Th1** (0.01 mmol) and the reaction was immediately diluted to 600 μ L with C₆D₆. Samples were taken out of the glove box and the reaction progress was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The crude mixtures were analyzed using ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and mass spectroscopy. The values for 2*aa*,^{6a} 2*bb*,^{6a} 2*dd*,^{6c} 2*oo*,^{6b} 2*pp*,^{6a} 2*qq*,^{6a} 2*rr*,^{6c} 2*ss*,^{6d} 2*tt*,^{6e} 2*uu*,^{6c} 2*vv*,^{6f} 2*ww*,^{6g} and 2*xx*^{6h} were compared to previous literature.





2cc, Entry 3, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 3.11 (t, J = 4 Hz, 4H), 1.53 (m, 6H), 1.44 (m, 4H), 1.10 (s, 12H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 81.5, 46.9, 31.3, 27.2, 24.5 ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 24.42 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 240.22 [M+H]⁺.

2ff, Entry 6, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.59 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.12 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.08 (s, 12H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 143.5, 130.6, 129.2, 121.8, 82.1, 41.9, 24.6, 20.3, 15.3 ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 28.47 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 262.19 [M+H]⁺.



2gg, Entry 7, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 7.26 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (m, 4H), 1.11 (m, 3H), 1.09 (s, 12H), 1.04 (m, 3H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 154.7, 139.0, 123.8, 114.6, 82.1, 63.1, 42.5, 24.4, 15.4, 14.6 ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 28.46 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 292.21 [M+H]⁺.



2hh, Entry 8, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 7.24 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.41 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.04 (s, 12H), 1.00 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 145.1, 131.4, 122.4, 113.7, 82.4, 41.3, 24.3, 14.8 ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 28.45 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 326.09 [M+H]⁺.





2ii, Entry 9, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 7.18-7.16 (m, 2H), 6.85 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.48 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.08 (s, 12H), 1.04 (m, 3H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 159.6, 157.1, 142.0, 122.87 (d, J = 28 Hz), 114.86 (d, J = 84 Hz), 82.3, 42.0, 24.6, 15.3 ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 28.45 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 266.19 [M+H]⁺.

2*jj*, **Entry 10**, **Table 2**. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 7.29 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (q, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.04-1.01 (m, 15H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 164.8, 162.4, 148.0 (d, J = 40 Hz), 129.5 (d, J = 40 Hz), 115.3 (d, J = 8 Hz), 107.4 (dd, J = 76 Hz, J = 24 Hz), 82.5, 41.1, 24.2, 14.8 ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 28.46 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 266.17 [M+H]⁺.



2*kk*, Entry 11, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.07-6.99 (m, 2H), 3.42 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.05 (bs, 3H), 1.04 (s, 12H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 146.7, 130.7 (q, J = 124 Hz), 129.1, 123.2, 117.3 (q, J = 16 Hz), 116.7, (q, J = 16 Hz), 82.5, 41.09, 24.5, 14.6. ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): 28.44 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 316.18 [M+H]⁺.

 $a \xrightarrow{b}_{q} \xrightarrow{c}_{f} \xrightarrow{d}_{e}$

2ll, Entry 12, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 8.29 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.22-7.17 (m, 1H), 6.48-6.45 (m, 1H), 4.11 (q, 2H), 1.37 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.07 (s, 12H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 157.7, 147.4, 136.3, 115.5, 114.6, 82.5, 39.1, 24.3, 15.8 ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): 25.16 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 249.18 [M+H]⁺.

2mm, Entry 13, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 6.79 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 3.07 (s, 4H), 1.00 (s, 12H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 150.9, 150.0, 115.7, 111.1, 82.5, 36.7, 31.1, 24.2 ppm, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (128.38 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 28.45 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 286.18 [M+H]⁺.

5.2. Synthetic procedure for amino-pinacol borane to amine

Following the previousy described procedure the resulted amine-borane adducts, obtained in the gram-scale reaction, have been reacted with SiO₂ and methanol and left for stirring at 60 °C for 8 h. The resulted mixture was filtered and the filtrate and other volatiles was dried in vacuum. After that the residue was subjected to neutral-Al₂O₃ chromatography and the amines were isolated by using ethyl acetate/hexane as eluent. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrum of already reported amines



Scheme S2. Synthesis of amine-borane adduct to amine.

are compared with the previous literature (2*cc*-Bpin,⁶ⁱ 2*dd*-Bpin^{6c}, 2*ff*-Bpin,^{6j} 2*hh*-Bpin,^{6j} 2*ii*-Bpin,^{6k} 2*jj*-Bpin,^{6l} 2*ll*-Bpin,^{6m} 2*oo*-Bpin,⁶ⁿ 2*ww*-Bpin,^{6o}). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrum of new amine compounds are provided below and in Figures S77-92.



2ee-Bpin, Entry **3**, Table **2**. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 8.06-7.98 (m, 5H), 7.90 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (br, NH), 3.50 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.48 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 132.4, 131.7, 127.8, 126.4, 126.0, 125.9, 125.87, 125.7, 123.9, 123.3, 119.4, 116.6, 39.3, 14.9 ppm, HRMS: m/z, 246.12 [M+H]⁺.



2gg-Bpin, Entry 7, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 6.78 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.11 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 1.37 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.24 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 151.4, 142.8, 115.8, 114.1, 64.2, 39.5, 15.1 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 166.12 [M+H]⁺.



 $[M+H]^{+}$.

2kk-Bpin, Entry 11, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 8Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (br, NH, 1H), 2.20 (s, 2H), 1.16 (s, 3H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 138.2, 129.5, 122.7 (m), 120.7 (m), 118.3, 116.3 (m), 36.6, 24.5. HRMS: m/z, 190.08



2mm-Bpin, Entry 13, Table 2. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 7.01 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (br, NH, 1H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 2.96 (d, J = 4Hz, 3H), 2.94 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 114.7, 114.4, 111.7, 111.5, 66.3, 36.8, 25.8 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 160.05 [M+H]⁺.



2nn-Bpin, Entry **3**, Table **2**. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 8.21 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.57-7.49 (m, 3H), 4.70 (q, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (m, 2H), 1.55 (d, J = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.17 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H) ppm, ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K): δ 140.3, 132.9, 130.3, 127.9, 126.0, 124.7, 124.6, 124.2, 121.8, 121.5, 52.36, 41.11, 22.51, 14.46 ppm. HRMS: m/z, 200.14. [M+H]⁺.

5.3. General procedure for amide hydroboration reaction

Inside the glovebox, a sealable tube was loaded with acetanilide (1 g, 7.39 mmol), HBpin (3.22 mL, 22.19 mmol), **Th1** (118 mg, 0.22 mmol) and the reaction was immediately diluted to 10 mL with drt toluene. The tube was taken out of the glove box and the reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C. After 24 h the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and the solvent was evaporated under high vacuum. Afterthat, the procedure described to synthesis amine from aminopinacol borane adduct was followed. the pure amine product was seperated by neutral-Al₂O₃ chromatography and the using ethyl acetate/hexane as eluent. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrum of new amine compounds are provided below and in Figures S77-78.

5.4. Calculation of product conversion (%)



Figure S36. ¹H NMR of the reaction mixture of 2s + HBpin (2 equiv.) at 70 ⁰C after 12 h in C₆D₆.

Conversion (%) calculation with respect to unreacted reactant

Integral area of H_a (reactant) = 2.19, Integral area of H_c (product) = 4 (2.33 ppm) % of Conversion = mmol of product/mmol of total reactant x 100%

= mmol of product formed/(mmol of product + mmol unreacted reactant) x 100%

= integral area of product signal/(integral area of product signal + integral area of unreacted reactant signal) x 100%

= 4/(4 + 2.19) x 100% = 65%

Conversion (%) calculation with internal standards

0.1878 mmol *N*,*N*–diethyl–2,2,2–trifluoroacetamide, 0.3757 mmol HBpin and 0.5116 mmol toluene as internal standard were loaded up in a J. Young NMR tube and left for heating at 70 $^{\circ}$ C. The conversion was measured after 12 h.

Integral area of $-CH_3$ (3H) of toluene = 12.16 (2.11 ppm), So, for 4Hs we are supposed to get integral area 16.21 if 0.5116 mmol prosuct is formed. But, integral area of product signal H_c (4H) = 4 (2.33 ppm). So product is formed = 4/16.21 x 0.5116 = 0.1262 mmol

% of conversion = 0.1262/0.1878 x 100% = 67%.

5.5 NMR specta amine-borane adducts in reaction mixtures

2aa, Entry 1, Table 2





2bb, Entry 2, Table 2





2cc, Entry 3, Table 2







2dd, Entry 4, Table 2



Figure S43. ¹H NMR of 2dd in reaction mixture in C₆D₆.

Integral area of $H_b = 2$; Integral area of $H_h = 0.14$ % of C-O cleaved product = $\{2/(2 + 0.14)\} \times 100\% = 94\%$ % of C-N cleaved product = $\{0.14/(2 + 0.14)\} \times 100\% = 6\%$







Integral area of $H_b = 2$; Integral area of $H_h = 0.14$ % of C-O cleaved product = $\{2/(2 + 0.14)\} \times 100\% = 94\%$ % of C-N cleaved product = $\{0.14/(2 + 0.14)\} \times 100\% = 6\%$



2gg, Entry 7, Table 2.



Integral area of H_b = 4; Integral area of H_i = 0.24 % of C-O cleaved product = {4/(2 + 0.24)} x 100% = 95% % of C-N cleaved product = {0.24/(2 + 0.24)} x 100% = 5%







Integral area of $H_b = 2$; Integral area of $H_g = 0.06$ % of C-O cleaved product = $\{2/(2 + 0.06)\} \times 100\% = 97\%$ % of C-N cleaved product = $\{0.06/(2 + 0.06)\} \times 100\% = 3\%$







Figure S51. ¹H NMR of 2*ii* in reaction mixture in C₆D₆.



2jj, Entry 10, Table 2





2kk, Entry 11, Table 2



Figure S55. ¹H NMR of 2kk in reaction mixture in C₆D₆.



2ll, Entry 12, Table 2



Integral area of $H_b = 2$; Integral area of $H_i = 0.12$ % of C-O cleaved product = $\{2/(2 + 0.12)\} \times 100\% = 96\%$ % of C-N cleaved product = $\{0.12/(2 + 0.12)\} \times 100\% = 4\%$



2mm, Entry 13, Table 2





200, Entry 15, Table 2



Integral area of $H_b = 4$; Integral area of $2H_i = 0.36$ % of C-O cleaved product = $\{4/(4 + 0.36)\} \times 100\% = 93\%$ % of C-N cleaved product = $\{0.36/(4 + 0.36)\} \times 100\% = 7\%$





2pp, Entry 16, Table 2





2qq, Entry 17, Table 2



Integral area of H_b = 3; Integral area of H_g = 2.97 % of C-O cleaved product = {3/(3 + 2.97)} x 100% = 50.2% % of C-N cleaved product = {2.97/(3 + 2.97)} x 100% = 49.8%



2rr, Entry 18, Table 2



Integral area of $H_a = 2$; Integral area of $H_c = 0.09$ % of C-O cleaved product = $\{2/(2 + 0.09)\} \times 100\% = 95\%$ % of C-N cleaved product = $\{0.09/(2 + 0.09)\} \times 100\% = 5\%$

2ss, Entry 19, Table 2





2tt, Entry 20, Table 2



Integral area of $H_b = 2.43$; Integral area of $H_h = 0.48$ % of C-O cleaved product = $\{2.43/(2.43 + 0.48)\} \times 100\% = 85\%$ % of C-N cleaved product = $\{0.48/(2.43 + 0.48)\} \times 100\% = 15\%$



2uu, Entry 21, Table 2





2vv, Entry 22, Table 2



 $\label{eq:hamiltonian} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Integral area of $H_a=3$; Integral area of $H_i=0.38$} \\ \mbox{\% of C-O cleaved product} = \{3/(3+0.38)\} \ x \ 100\% = 90\% \\ \mbox{\% of C-N cleaved product} = \{0.38/(3+0.38)\} \ x \ 100\% = 10\% \end{array}$



2ww, Entry 23, Table 2





$$\label{eq:hamiltonian} \begin{split} & \text{Integral area of } H_a = 2; \text{ Integral area of } H_b = 0.2 \\ \% \text{ of C-O cleaved product} = \{2/(2+0.2)\} \text{ x } 100\% = 90\% \\ \% \text{ of C-N cleaved product} = \{0.2/(2+0.2)\} \text{ x } 100\% = 10\% \end{split}$$



Figure S77. ¹³C NMR of 2ww in reaction mixture in C₆D₆.

2xx, Entry 24, Table 2

Figure S79. ¹³C NMR of 2xx in reaction mixture in C₆D₆.

Figure S80. ¹H NMR of 2dd in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.^{6c}

Figure S81. ¹³C NMR of 2dd in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.^{6c}

Figure S82. ¹H NMR of *2ee* in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.

Figure S83. ¹³C NMR of 2ee in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.

Figure S84. ¹H NMR of 2gg in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.

Figure S85. ¹³C NMR of *2gg* in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.

Figure S86. ¹H NMR of 2kk in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.

Figure S87. ¹H NMR of 2kk in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.

Figure S88. ¹H NMR of *2mm* in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.

Figure S90. ¹H NMR of 2nn in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.

Figure S92. ¹H NMR of 200 in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.⁶ⁿ

Figure S93. ¹³C NMR of 200 in CDCl₃ after deprotection of amine-borane adduct.⁶ⁿ

6. Reference

1 (a) C. Liu, G. Li, S. Shi, G. Meng, R. Lalancette, R. Szostak and M. Szostak, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 9131–9139. (b) Y. Li, Z. Yan, G. Tu, J. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 30374–30378. 2 P. J. Fagan, J. M. Manriquez, E. A. Maatta, A. M. Seyam and T. J. Marks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 6650–6667.

3 T. Cantat, B. L. Scott and J. L. Kiplinger, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 919 - 921.

4 S. Saha and M. S. Eisen, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 5947 – 5956.

5 J. Y. Wu, B. Moreau and T. Ritter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12915 - 12917.

6 (a) Y. Yang, M. D. Anker, J. Fang, M. F. Mahon, L. Maron, C. Weetman and M. S. Hil, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 3529 – 3537. (b) C. Ghosh, S. Kim, M. R. Mena, J.-H. Kim, R. Pal, C. L. Rock, T. L. Groy, M.-H. Baik and R. J. Trovitch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 15327 - 15337. (c) S. R. Tamang, A. Singh, D. Bedi, A. R. Bazkiaei, A. A. Warner, K. Glogau, C. McDonald, D. K. Unruh and M. Findlater, Nature Catalysis, 2020, 3, 154 – 162. (d) K. G. Andrews, R. Faizova and R. M. Denton, Nature Commun., 2017, 8, 1-6. (e) T. Mitsudome, K. Miyagawa, Z. Maeno, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, J. Yamasaki, Y. Kitagawa and K. Kaneda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 9381 -9385. (f) P. Sarkar, A. Z. Chowdhury, S. Riyajuddin, S. Biswas, K. Ghosh and S. M. Islam, New J. Chem., 2020, 44, 744 – 752. (g) N. Sarkar, S. Bera and S. Nembenna, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 85, 4999 - 5009. (h) A. Kaithal, B. Chatterjee and C. Gunanathan, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 11153 -11161. (i) W. Yao, H. Fang, Q. He, D. Peng, G. Liu and Z. Huang, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 6084-6093. (j) Y. Pan, Z. Luo, X. Xu, H. Zhao, J. Han, L. Xu, Q. Fan and J. Xiao, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 361, 3800-3806. (k) X. Yu, R. Zhao, H. Wan, Y. Yang and D. Wang Tetrahedron Lett., 2016, 57, 4588-4591. (1) Y. Pan, Z. Luo, X. Xu, H. Zhao, J. Han, L. Xu, Q. Fan and J. Xiao, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 361, 3800 – 3806. (m) S. Elangovan, J. Neumann, J.-B. Sortais, K. Junge, C. Darcel and M. Beller, Nature Commun., 2016, 1 – 8. (n) V. K Pandey, S. N. R. Donthireddy and A. Rit, Chem. Asian J., 2019, 14, 3255 - 3258. (o) M. Bhunia, S. R. Sahoo, A. Das, J. Ahmed, P. Sreejyothi and S. K. Mandal, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 1 – 7.