# **Supporting Information**

# In situ chemistry-encapsulated controlled SnS<sub>2</sub> nanocrystal composites for durable lithium/sodium-ion batteries

Yan-Fei Li<sup>a†</sup>, Shu-Guang Wang<sup>b†</sup>, Yan-Hong Shi<sup>a</sup>, Chao-Ying Fan<sup>c</sup>, Jian Lin<sup>a</sup>, Xing-Long Wu<sup>a</sup>, Hai-Ming Xie<sup>a</sup>, Jing-Ping Zhang<sup>a</sup> and Hai-Zhu Sun<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry, National & Local United Engineering Laboratory for Power Batteries, Northeast Normal University, No. 5268 Renmin Street, Changchun 130024, China.

<sup>b</sup> School of Energy and Mechanics, Dezhou University, No. 566 West University Road, Dezhou 253023, China.

<sup>c</sup> Key Laboratory for UV Light-Emitting Materials and Technology, Northeast Normal University, Ministry of Education, Changchun 130024, China.

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally.

\* Corresponding author, *Tel*: +86-431-85099667, E-mail: sunhz335@nenu.edu.cn, *Fax*: +86-431-85099667.

# **Experimental Section**

#### The Preparation of C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-X (X=0.1, 0.2, 0.4), SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO and SnS<sub>2</sub>.

First, 0.1 g of GO was dissolved into a solution consisting of water (40 mL) and alcohol (20 mL) by ultrasonication of 2 h to obtain the GO dispersing solution. After that, various amounts of glucose (*i.e.* 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 g), 0.16 g NaOH, 0.71 g SnCl<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O and 1 g thiourea were added to the above solution, then stirred continued for 12 h. The resulted solution was injected into a 100 mL Teflon autoclave and was kept at 180 °C for 12 h. The C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-X (X=0.1, 0.2, 0.4) was washed with deionized water and collected by centrifugation, and then dried at 60 °C. For comparison, the SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO was prepared without adding glucose; similarly, SnS<sub>2</sub> was prepared without adding rGO and glucose.

# Characterization of Materials.

X-ray diffractometer (XRD) was performed on a Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. Raman spectra were obtained with a JY HR-800 Lab Ram confocal Raman microscope. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, XL 30 ESEM-FEG, FEI Company) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were employed to investigate the morphologies of the samples. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) was tested with Mg-K $\alpha$  excitation (1253.6 eV) in a VGESCALAB MKII spectrometer.

# Electrochemical Characterization.

The  $SnS_2$ -based composites (80%), polyvinylidene fluoride (10%) and acetylene black (10%) were mixed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone, and then coated on the Cu foils as

working anodes. The mass loading of  $SnS_2$ -based composites in electrode was approximately 1.1 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. 2032-type coin cells were manufactured with 1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> dissolved in dimethyl carbonate and ethylene carbonate (1:1 by volume).The charge/discharge measurements were carried out on a multichannel battery testing system (LAND CT2001A). The cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests were measured on an electrochemical workstation (CHI 750 E).

# Theoretical Calculation.

We perform first-principle calculations by using Vienna ab initio simulation package with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof-type generalized gradient approximation for the exchange-correlation functional and the projector augmented wave method. All atoms are relaxed until the residual force was less than 0.01 eV/Å. A  $3 \times 3 \times 1$  grid of k points and a plane-wave cutoff energy of 400 eV are used for the self-consistent calculations and charge analysis. The d2 vdW interactions are considered through all the calculations.



Fig. S1 The size of  $SnS_2$  NCs under different content of glucose, demonstrating the size of  $SnS_2$  NCs is well controlled.



Fig. S2 The Raman spectrum of C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.2, demonstrating the disordered structure of carbon.



Fig. S3 XPS survey spectra of SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO.



Fig. S4 XPS survey spectra of C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.1 and C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.4.



**Fig. S5** XPS spectra of C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.1 and C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.4: (a, d) Sn 3d, (b, e) C 1s and (c, f) S 2p, revealing that the C-S bonds gradually become stronger with glucose increased in the preparation process.



Fig. S6 FT-IR spectrum of C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.2, indicating the presence of C-S bonds.



Fig. S7 CV curves of  $SnS_2@rGO$ , C- $SnS_2@rGO$ -0.1 and C- $SnS_2@rGO$ -0.4, exhibiting the similar electrochemical processes as C- $SnS_2@rGO$ -0.2.



**Fig. S8** The cycle performances of (a) C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-X (X=0.1, 0.2, 0.4), SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO and SnS<sub>2</sub>, (b) C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-X (X=0.1, 0.2, 0.4) electrode.



Fig. S9 The XPS spectra of C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.2 (a) S 2p, (b) C 1s after 50 cycles at 1 A  $g^{-1}$ .



Fig. S10 SEM images of C-SnS2@rGO-0.2 after 1000 cycles, indicating the stable electrode structure.



Fig. S11 The TGA curve of C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.2 at atmosphere from room temperature to 1000 °C.

As shown in **Fig. S11**, the sharp weight loss before approximately 650  $^{\circ}$ C is ascribed to the conversion from SnS<sub>2</sub> to SnO<sub>2</sub> and the burning of carbon. According to

the equation (1): 
$$m_{SnS_2} = \frac{\Delta m}{M_{SnO_2}} \times M_{SnS_2} \times 100\%$$
(1)

Where *n*, *M*,  $\Delta m$  and  $m_{SnS2}$  are the quantity of matter, molar mass, the content of SnO<sub>2</sub> and , SnS<sub>2</sub> respectively.

The contents of SnS<sub>2</sub> and carbon are calculated to be 78.8% and 21.2%, respectively.

| Samples   | Current (A g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Reversible<br>Capacity<br>(mAh g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Cycle number | Ref.      |
|---|------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|
| C-SnS <sub>2</sub> @rGO-<br>0.2   | 0.1                          | 1428.7   | 300          |           |
|   | 1.0                          | 938.4  | 400          | This work |
|   | 2.0                          | 704  | 1000         |           |
|   | 5.0                          | 453  | 500          |           |
| TC-RGO-CNT  | 0.5                          | 100  | 150          | [52]      |
| SnS@G   | 0.1                          | 1462   | 200          | [48]      |
|   | 1.0                          | 1020   | 500          |           |
| MXene-<br>decorated SnS <sub>2</sub><br>/Sn <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> | 0.1                          | 462.3  | 100          | [53]      |
|   | 5.0                          | 101.4  | 500          |           |
| graphene@SnS <sub>2</sub>   | 0.3                          | 664  | 200          | [54]      |
| SnS <sub>2</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /CFC                                 | 0.1                          | 1294   | 120          | [55]      |
| SnS <sub>2</sub> /CN  | 0.1                          | 444.7  | 100          | [56]      |
| SnS <sub>2</sub> /rGO/SnS <sub>2</sub>                                  | 0.1                          | 1357   | 200          | [30]      |
|   | 1.0                          | 909  | 200          |           |
| SnS <sub>2</sub> /rGO   | 0.1                          | 1010   | 200          | [57]      |
|   | 1.0                          | 910  | 1000         |           |
| SnS@SG  | 0.1                          | 800  | 100          | [49]      |
|   | 1.0                          | 527  | 300          |           |
| SnS <sub>2</sub>  | 0.1                          | 912  | 100          | [50]      |
|   | 0.5                          | 800  | 300          |           |
| SnS <sub>2</sub> @C   | 0.1                          | 1150   | 200          | [58]      |

Table S1 The comparison of the electrochemical stability of C-SnS<sub>2</sub>@rGO-0.2 with other with/without protective layer for SnS<sub>x</sub>-based materials reported in previous literatures.

|  | 1.0 | 676   | 500 |      |
|--|-----|-------|-----|------|
| rGO/SnS <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> | 1.0 | 485   | 200 | [59] |
| SnS/MoS <sub>2</sub> –C                | 0.2 | 989.7 | 60  | [60] |
|  | 2.0 | 718   | 700 |      |
| SnS <sub>2</sub> @C                    | 0.1 | 690   | 100 | [51] |
|  | 1.0 | 435   | 150 |      |