## Supporting Information

## Understanding the varying mechanisms between the conformal interlayer and overlayer in the silicon/hematite dual-absorber

## photoanode for solar water splitting

Zhongyuan Zhou,<sup>a,b</sup> Liujing Li,<sup>b</sup> Yongsheng Niu,<sup>a</sup> Haixiang Song,<sup>a</sup> Xiu-Shuang Xing,<sup>\*c</sup>

## Zhanhu Guo,<sup>d</sup> and Shaolong Wu<sup>\*b,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Henan Joint International Research Laboratory of Nanocomposite Sensing Materials, School of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Anyang Institute of Technology, Anyang 455000, China.

<sup>b</sup>School of Optoelectronic Science and Engineering, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China. <sup>c</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Anyang Normal University, Anyang 455000,

China.

<sup>d</sup>Integrated Composites Laboratory (ICL), Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering,

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA.

eLight Industry Institute of Electrochemical Power Sources, Suzhou 215006, China.

\*Email: xsxing0621@163.com; shaolong\_wu@suda.edu.cn



**Fig. S1** SEM images of the as-prepared SiNWs. (a and b) are the top-view and crosssection SEM images, (c and d) are the detailed views of the top and side regions, respectively.



Fig. S2 Reflectance spectrum of the as-prepared SiNWs with the length of  $\sim$ 3  $\mu$ m, and the insert is the corresponding SEM image.



Fig. S3 Detailed SEM images of the side regions in the prepared (a) SiNWs, (b) SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (c) SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (d) SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S4 TEM images of the Si/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanowire heterostructures scraped from the substrate.



**Fig. S5** SEM images of the SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes with different thicknesses of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film. (a–c) and (d–f) are the top-view and cross-section SEM images, respectively. (a and d), (b and e) and (c and f) represent the 10, 50 and 100 ALD-cycle Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated on the SiNWs, respectively.



Fig. S6 STEM-HAADF analysis of the (a) SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (b) SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (c) SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanowire heterostructures, respectively.



Fig. S7. STEM-EDS comparison in the (a) SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (b) SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (c) SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanowire heterostructures, respectively.



Fig. S8 Reflectance spectra of the as-prepared SiNWs, SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes. The corresponding digital photographs are shown in the insert.



Fig. S9 EDS comparison and corresponding SEM images in the (a) SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (b) SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (c) SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes, respectively.



Fig. S10 XPS spectra of the SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes, respectively.



**Fig. S11** Linear scan voltammograms of SiNWs in 1 M NaOH electrolyte in dark and under simulated AM 1.5G illumination.



Fig. S12 SEM images of the SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with different thicknesses of Sn@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film. (a–c) and (d–f) are top-view and cross-section SEM images, respectively. (a and d), (b and e) and (c and f) represent the Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films prepared with 0.01 M, 0.02 M and 0.03 M Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> precursor solutions, respectively.



Fig. S13 *J-V* curves of the SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> prepared with 0.01 M, 0.02 M and 0.03 M Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> precursor solutions.



Fig. S14 SEM images of the SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with different thicknesses of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> overlayer. (a–c) and (d–f) are the top-view and cross-section SEM images, respectively. (a and d), (b and e) and (c and f) represent the 5, 10 and 20 ALD-cycle Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated on the SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, respectively.



Fig. S15 *J-V* curves of the SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes with 5, 10 and 20 ALD-cycle Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



**Fig. S16** SEM images of the SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with different thicknesses of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interlayer. (a–c) and (d–f) are the top-view and cross-section SEM images, respectively. (a and d), (b and e) and (c and f) represent the 70, 90 and 110 ALD-cycle Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated on the SiNWs substrate and buried by the Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film, respectively.



Fig. S17 *J-V* curves of the SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes with 70, 90 and 110 ALD-cycle Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S18 The employed equivalent circuit for EIS analysis.

Sample	$R_{\rm S}(\Omega)$	$R_{\rm trap}(\Omega)$	C <sub>bulk</sub> (F)	$R_{\rm ct}(\Omega)$	C <sub>SS</sub> (F)
SiNWs/Sn@a-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.8	100.2	3.5×10 <sup>-7</sup>	4646.0	5.1×10 <sup>-6</sup>
SiNWs/Sn@a-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6.8	39.1	1.5×10 <sup>-7</sup>	4610.0	5.2×10 <sup>-6</sup>
SiNWs/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /Sn@a-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.4	93.1	1.6×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1761.0	6.7×10 <sup>-6</sup>

 Table S1 The fitted values of the simulation parameters.



**Fig. S19** Incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) as a function of light power density of the SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiNWs/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiNWs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Sn@ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photoanodes under (a)  $\lambda$ =365 nm and (b)  $\lambda$ =620 nm irradiation.