## Solar water oxidation by TaON-BiVO<sub>4</sub> photoanodes functionalized

## with WO<sub>3</sub>

Tingting Wei, Zhanbin Jin, Fengyan Li\* Zhixia Sun and Lin Xu\*

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Polyoxometalates Science of Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, P. R. China.



**Fig. S1** SEM images (a) and the overlay image (b) of 7W/0.2T-BVO; the corresponding SEM mapping images of (c) Bi (d) V (e) O (f) N (g)Ta (h) W.



**Fig. S2** EDS spectrums of (a) 0.1T-BVO, (b) 0.5T-BVO and 0.2T-BVO/7W. EDS analysis of 0.1T-BVO film shows that the sample contains 24.81 at% of Bi and 2.58 at% of Ta, thus indicating the presence of nearly 10.4% TaON in 0.1T-BVO film. EDS analysis of 0.5T-BVO film and 0.2T-BVO/7W indicate the presence of nearly 51.0% and 19.4% TaON in their composite films, respectively. Among them, 0.2T-BVO/7W film shows that the sample contains 23.46 at% of Bi and 1.30 at% of W, thus indicating the presence of nearly 5.5% WO<sub>3</sub> in 0.2T-BVO/7W film.



Fig. S3 UV-vis absorption spectra of TaON, BVO and TaON-BVO samples.



**Fig. S4** Linear sweep voltammetry plots of (a) the TaON,  $BiVO_4$  and 0.2T-BVO photoanode, (b) the 0.2T-BVO photoanode with different WO<sub>3</sub> layers measured in 0.1 M sodium borate buffer (pH = 9.2) solution under visible light illumination.



Fig. S5 CV of 0.2T-BVO/WO<sub>3</sub> electrode was carried out at scan rates of 10, 50 and



Fig. S6 Transient photocurrent responses of the TaON electrode, the  $BiVO_4$  electrode and different molar ratios of TaON-BVO electrode performed with visible light at 1.0 V (vs. RHE) in 0.1 M sodium borate buffer (pH = 9.2) solution.

100 mV/s.



Fig. S7 Transient photocurrent responses of the 0.2T-BVO, 0.2T-BVO\*, 0.2T-BVO/WO<sub>3</sub> and 0.2T-BVO\*/WO<sub>3</sub> photoanode were performed with visible light at 1.0 V (vs. RHE) in 0.1 M sodium borate buffer (pH = 9.2) solution. (\* represents the physical mixing of TaON and BiVO<sub>4</sub>)



Fig. S8 Transient photocurrent responses of the 0.2T-BVO photoanode with different  $WO_3$  layers performed with visible light at 1.0 V (vs. RHE) in 0.1 M sodium borate buffer (pH = 9.2) solution.



Fig. S10 Transient photocurrent responses of the 0.2T-BVO/WO<sub>3(comparison)</sub> layers performed with visible light at 1.0 V (vs. RHE) in 0.1 M sodium borate buffer (pH = 9.2) solution. The WO<sub>3</sub> was synthesized via a simple hydrothermal method.(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.12.093)

## Table S1 Specific surface area, adsorption average pore diameter and desorption average pore diameter of different samples.

| Sample            | $BET(m^2/g)$ | BJH Adsorption average | BJH Desorption average pore |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                   |              | pore diameter (nm)     | diameter (nm)               |
| BiVO <sub>4</sub> | 2.94         | 2.09                   | 3.84                        |
| TaON              | 4.93         | 2.56                   | 3.97                        |
| 0.2T-BVO          | 0.63         | 0.52                   | 1.44                        |

| Table S | <b>2</b> R <sub>ct</sub> of | different | sampl | les. |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|------|
|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|------|

|                  |       | 01                |          | 1        |          |
|------------------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Sample           | TaON  | BiVO <sub>4</sub> | 0.1T-BVO | 0.2T-BVO | 0.5T-BVO |
| $R_{ct}(\Omega)$ | 22.38 | 16.59             | 15.51    | 13.11    | 19.57    |