Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for

Stoner enhancement from interstitial electrons in Y₂C toward a spontaneous ferromagnetic electride

Chandani N. Nandadasa,^{1†} Kimoon Lee,^{2†} Joonho Bang,³ Jongho Park,^{3,4} Sung Wng Kim,^{3*} and Seong-Gon Kim^{1*}

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy and Center for Computational Sciences,

Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39792, United States.

²Department of Physics, Kunsan National University, Gunsan 54150, Republic of Korea.

³Department of Energy Science, Sungkyunkwan University (SKKU), Suwon 16419,

Republic of Korea.

⁴Center for Integrated Nanostructure Physics, Institute of Basic Science (IBS), Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea.

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*e-mail : <u>kimsungwng@skku.edu</u> and <u>sk162@msstate.edu</u>

$c(\hat{\mathbf{A}})$	Local magnetic moment (μ_B)				
<i>c</i> (A)	Х	Y	С		
17.8	0.342	0.028	-0.014		
18.0	0.342	0.028	-0.014		
18.2	0.343	0.028	-0.015		
18.4	0.344	0.029	-0.016		
18.6	0.346	0.030	-0.016		

S1. Bader analysis for each atomic site in Y₂C electride

Table S1. Localized magnetic moment calculated by Bader analysis

S2. Calculated DOS profiles for various *c*-axis parameters



Figure S1. DOS profiles based on spin-polarized (solid-lines) and non-spin-polarized DFT (shaded region) with different *c*-axis parameters.

S3. Estimation of Stoner parameters

с (Å)	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Exchange- split (eV)	Local magnetic moment per $X(\mu_{\rm B})$	Stoner parameter, <i>I</i> (eV)	$D(E_F)$ per X per spin (states/eV·f.u.)	$I \times D(E_F)$
17.8	201.45	0.5582	0.342	1.6322	2.0425	1.1913
18.0	203.71	0.5246	0.342	1.5339	1.9020	1.0682
18.2	205.97	0.5240	0.343	1.5277	1.8895	1.0223
18.4	208.24	0.5234	0.344	1.5215	1.8855	0.9961
18.6	210.50	0.4900	0.346	1.4162	1.7030	0.8540

Table S2. Detailed parameters for evaluating Stoner criterion