

**Supplementary Information**

## **Revitalising Sodium-Sulfur Batteries for Non-High-Temperature Operation: A Crucial Review**

Yizhou Wang<sup>†,a</sup>, Dong Zhou<sup>†,a</sup>, Veronica Palomares<sup>†,b,c</sup>, Devaraj Shanmukaraj<sup>†,d</sup>, Bing Sun<sup>a</sup>, Xiao Tang<sup>a</sup>, Chunsheng Wang<sup>\*e</sup>, Michel Armand<sup>\*d</sup>, Teófilo Rojo<sup>\*b</sup> and Guoxiu Wang<sup>\*a</sup>

- a Center for Clean Energy Technology, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia.
- b Inorganic Chemistry Department, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, P.O. Box. 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain.
- c BCMaterials, Bld. Martina Casiano, 3er piso, Parque Tecnológico UPV/EHU, B° Sarriena s/n, 48940 Leioa, Spain.
- d Centre for Cooperative Research on Alternative Energies (CIC energiGUNE), Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA), Alava Technology Park, Albert Einstein 48, 01510 Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain.
- e Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA.

Emails: Prof. Chunsheng Wang: [cswang@umd.edu](mailto:cswang@umd.edu)  
Prof. Michel Armand: [marmand@cicenergigune.com](mailto:marmand@cicenergigune.com)  
Prof. Teofilo Rojo: [teo.rojo@ehu.eus](mailto:teo.rojo@ehu.eus)  
Prof. Guoxiu Wang: [Guoxiu.Wang@uts.edu.au](mailto:Guoxiu.Wang@uts.edu.au)

†: These authors contributed equally to this article.

**Table S1.** General characteristics of different Na-S battery systems.

Battery system	Working temperature	Battery configuration	Comparison on safety (A), energy density (B), low-cost advantage (C), voltage (D), and lifespan (E). (Number 4 represent the best, while number 0 represents the worst).
HT Na-S battery	300~350 °C	Tubular cell Planar cell	
IMT Na-S battery	120~300 °C	Tubular cell Planar cell	
RT Na-S battery	Ambient temperature	Coin cell Pouch cell	

**Table S2.** Performances of typical solid-state and quasi-solid-state electrolytes for RT Na-S batteries.

State	Electrolyte material	Plasticiser	Cathode active material	Anode	Cycle number	Current density	Voltage range (V)	Specific capacity after cycling (mAh g <sup>-1</sup> ), based on the mass of.	Ref.
Solid	Na <sub>3</sub> PS <sub>4</sub>	-	Na <sub>2</sub> S-Na <sub>3</sub> PS <sub>4</sub> -CMK-3	Na-Sn-C	50	50 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	0.5~3	650, Na <sub>2</sub> S	[S1]
Solid	Na <sub>3</sub> PS <sub>4</sub>	-	Na <sub>3</sub> PS <sub>4</sub> -nanosized Na <sub>2</sub> S-carbon	Na-Sn-C	50	50 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	0.5~3	438.4, Na <sub>2</sub> S	[S2]
Solid	Na <sub>3</sub> SbS <sub>4</sub>	-	Sulfur-Na <sub>3</sub> SbS <sub>4</sub> -carbon	Na metal	100	1000 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	1~2.8	468.1, sulfur	[S3]
Solid	Na-β''-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	Na-BP-TEGDME	3500	1100 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	1.8~2.5	≈150, Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	[S4]
Solid	Na-β''-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	Sulfur@carbon	Na metal	104	1/64 C	1~3	521, sulfur	[S5]
Solid	PIN-coated Na <sub>3</sub> Zr <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>12</sub>	-	Sulfur@carbon	Na metal	100	0.2 C	1.2~2.8	≈550, sulfur	[S6]
Solid	PEO-NaFSI-1% TiO <sub>2</sub>	-	S-pPAN	Na metal	100	100 mA g <sup>-1</sup>	0.8~2.8	251, S-pPAN	[S7]
Quasi-solid	PETEA-THEICTA	1 M NaTFSI in PC: FEC	Poly(S-PETEA)@carbon	Na metal	100	0.1 C	0.5~2.8	736, sulfur	[S8]
Quasi-solid	PVDF-HFP	0.5 M NaCF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> in EMITf	Sulfur	Na-Hg	10	-	-	≈150, sulfur	[S9]

**Abbreviations in this table:** BP: biphenyl; TEGDME: tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether; PIN: polymer with intrinsic nanoporosity; PEO: poly(ethylene oxide); S-pPAN: sulfurised-pyrolysed polyacrylonitrile; PETEA: pentaerythritoltetraacrylate; THEICTA: tris[2-(acryloyloxy)ethyl] isocyanurate; PC: propylene carbonate; FEC: Fluoroethylene carbonate; PVDF-HFP: poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene); EMITf: 1-ethyl 3-methyl imidazolium trifluoro-methane sulfonate.

## References

- [S1] X. Fan, J. Yue, F. Han, J. Chen, T. Deng, X. Zhou, S. Hou and C. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 3360-3368.
- [S2] J. Yue, F. Han, X. Fan, X. Zhu, Z. Ma, J. Yang and C. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 4885-4891.
- [S3] H. Wan, W. Weng, F. Han, L. Cai, C. Wang and X. Yao, *Nano Today*, 2020, **33**, 100860.
- [S4] J. Yu, Y.-S. Hu, F. Pan, Z. Zhang, Q. Wang, H. Li, X. Huang and L. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 14629.
- [S5] I. Kim, J.-Y. Park, C. H. Kim, J.-W. Park, J.-P. Ahn, J.-H. Ahn, K.-W. Kim and H.-J. Ahn, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **301**, 332-337.
- [S6] X. Yu and A. Manthiram, *Matter*, 2019, **1**, 439-451.
- [S7] T. Zhu, X. Dong, Y. Liu, Y.-G. Wang, C. Wang and Y.-Y. Xia, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 5263-5271.
- [S8] D. Zhou, Y. Chen, B. Li, H. Fan, F. Cheng, D. Shanmukaraj, T. Rojo, M. Armand and G. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Edit.*, 2018, **57**, 10168-10172.
- [S9] D. Kumar and D.K. Kanchan, *J. Energy Storage*, 2019, **22**, 44-49.