## **Supplementary Information**

## Lithium - Activated SnS - Graphene Alternating Nanolayers Enable Dendrite-Free Cycling of Thin Sodium Metal Anodes in Carbonate Electrolyte

**Table S1**. A broad performance comparison of A-SnS-G@Na || A-SnS-G@Na symmetric cells versus state-of-the-art symmetric Na||Na architectures from literature. <sup>1-9</sup>

A = accumulated capacity = capacity per cycle x cycle number, in units of mAh  $cm^{-2}$ 

F = foil capacity = thickness of foil x  $\rho_{Na}$  x theoretical capacity.

For 100  $\mu$ m foil: F = 100 x 10<sup>-4</sup> cm x 0.97 g cm<sup>-3</sup> x 1165 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> = 11 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup>

A/F ratio= accumulated capacity / foil capacity

	Symmetric Na-Na Cells		
Architecture, Electrolyte, Foil Thickness	Current density (capacity)	Accumulated capacity (number of cycles)	A/F ratio
A-SnS-G membrane (1M NaClO <sub>4</sub> EC/DEC/FEC) (100 μm Na)	4 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (2 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	1000 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (500 cycles)	90.9
ALD-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> protection (1M NaClO <sub>4</sub> EC/DEC) (unspecified thickness) <sup>1</sup>	0.5 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	30 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (30 cycles)	NA
Hybrid electrolyte coated Na (PVDF-HFP polymer gel) (unspecified thickness) <sup>2</sup>	2 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	100 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (100 cycles)	NA
CVD graphene protection (1M NaPF <sub>6</sub> in EC/DEC) (indeterminate thickness) <sup>3</sup>	2 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (3 mAh/cm <sup>-2</sup> )	300 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (100 cycles)	NA

Nanoparticle interface (0.01M NaTFSI+1M NaSO <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> in diglyme) (1000 µm Na) <sup>4</sup>	2 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (2 mAh/cm <sup>-2</sup> )	1200 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (600 cycles)	~10.7
Carbon felt hosted Na (1M NaClO <sub>4</sub> EC/PC) 500 μm Na <sup>5</sup>	5 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (2 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	250 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (125 cycles)	~4.5
Channeled carbons host (1M NaClO <sub>4</sub> EC/DEC) (Na>500 μm) <sup>6</sup>	1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	500 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (500 cycle)	~8.9
N,S doped C-nanotube host (1M sodium triflate in diglyme) (~200 µm Na) <sup>7</sup>	1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	250 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (250 cycles)	~11.1
Sn interlayer protection (Sn coated solid-state electrolyte) (300 µm Na) <sup>8</sup>	0.5 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (0.5 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	250 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (500 cycles)	~7.4
Single Zn on nitrogen doped carbon cloth (1M NaClO <sub>4</sub> EC/DMC/FEC) (unspecified thickness) <sup>9</sup>	1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (1 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	150 mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> (150 cycles)	~37.5



**Figure S1. (a)** Schematic illustration of the PE seal protected rolling process to fabricate thin Na metal foils. **(b)** SEM image of the rolled foil, highlighting its uniform thickness.



**Figure S2.** Zeta potential of as-synthesized SnS nanosheets (SnS), graphene (G) and PDDA modified graphene (PDDA-G).



**Figure S3. (a) - (b)** Bright field TEM image and associated [001] Zone Axis SAED of the assynthesized graphene. **(c) - (d)** AFM image the corresponding height profile of the assynthesized graphene deposited onto a mica substrate.



Figure S4. XPS C 1s spectra (top) and the Raman spectra of the as-synthesized graphene layers.



**Figure S5. (a)** Bright field TEM image of as-synthesized SnS nanosheets. **(b)** HRTEM image in the [001] zone axis highlighting the highly ordered SnS structure. Inset shows the corresponding FFT pattern. **(c)** and **(d)** AFM image and the associated height map of a single SnS nanosheet on a mica substrate.



**Figure S6**. The Li activation process of SnS-G, with the irreversible capacity largely corresponding to the formation of a Li-based SEI.



**Figure S7**. Cross sectional SEM image of the as-synthesized SnS-G film deposited on top of the PP separator.



**Figure S8.** HADDF-TEM images (a) of as-synthesized SnS-G composite and (b)-(d) EDS elemental mapping of C, Sn and S.



**Figure S9.** TEM and associated SAED analysis of A-SnS-G. The intact structure of the graphene is evidenced by the TEM images showing the characteristic sheet-like morphology, and the associated [0001] zone axis diffraction pattern displaying hexagonal symmetry. The Sn and S phases in the delithiated state are diffraction amorphous. Arrows point to the graphene layer edges.



Figure S10. XPS overview spectra of SnS-G and A-SnS-G

**Table S2.** Four probe conductivities of as-prepared membrane (SnS-G@PP) and Li activated membrane (A-SnS-G@PP), in each case 10 separate tests were carried out to calculate an average value.

Sample	Conductivity
A-SnS-G@PP	≈0.001 S·cm <sup>-1</sup> (±15%)
SnS-G@PP	0.22 S·cm <sup>-1</sup> (±7%)



**Figure S11.** Lithium - activation process of bare Na foil (a) and Cu foil (b). The lower voltage cutoff is  $0.01 \text{ V vs. Li/Li^+}$ , above the equilibrium plating voltage of Li. Therefore, all irreversible capacity corresponds to the decomposition of Li-electrolyte that leads to the formation of a Li-based SEI.



**Figure S12.** Average overpotential of Na-Na symmetric cells cycling at current density of 1mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



**Figure S13.** Light optical images illustrating the cycling-induced in-situ transfer process of A-SnS-G layer from the PP separator to the thin Na metal surface. (a) A-SnS-G layer initially deposited on the PP; (b) Post 1 cycle separator with part of A-SnS-G layer missing; (c) Post 100 cycles separator with more of A-SnS-G layer missing; (d) - (f) Images of the associated Na metal anodes.



**Figure S14.** Voltage - time profiles of symmetric cells tested at 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> with 2 hours plating time per cycle.



Figure S15. CV curves of Na metal plating-stripping onto (a) A-Cu and (b) bare Cu.

## References

- W. Luo, C.-F. Lin, O. Zhao, M. Noked, Y. Zhang, G. W. Rubloff and L. Hu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, 7, 1601526.
- D. Lei, Y.-B. He, H. Huang, Y. Yuan, G. Zhong, Q. Zhao, X. Hao, D. Zhang, C. Lai, S. Zhang, J. Ma, Y. Wei, Q. Yu, W. Lv, Y. Yu, B. Li, Q.-H. Yang, Y. Yang, J. Lu and F. Kang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, 10, 4244.
- 3. H. Wang, C. Wang, E. Matios and W. Li, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 6808-6815.
- S. Tang, Y.-Y. Zhang, X.-G. Zhang, J.-T. Li, X.-Y. Wang, J.-W. Yan, D.-Y. Wu, M.-S. Zheng, Q.-F. Dong and B.-W. Mao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1807495.
- 5. S. S. Chi, X. G. Qi, Y. S. Hu and L. Z. Fan, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1702764.
- W. Luo, Y. Zhang, S. Xu, J. Dai, E. Hitz, Y. Li, C. Yang, C. Chen, B. Liu and L. Hu, Nano Lett., 2017, 17, 3792-3797.
- B. Sun, P. Li, J. Zhang, D. Wang, P. Munroe, C. Wang, P. H. L. Notten and G. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1801334.
- X. Chi, F. Hao, J. Zhang, X. Wu, Y. Zhang, S. Gheytani, Z. Wen and Y. Yao, *Nano Energy*, 2019, 62, 718-724.
- 9. T. Yang, T. Qian, Y. Sun, J. Zhong, F. Rosei and C. Yan, *Nano Lett.*, 2019.