High efficiency GeTe-based materials and modules for

thermoelectric power generation

Xing Tong,^{a†} Qingfeng Song,^{a†} Pengfei Qiu, * ^{ab} Qihao Zhang,^a Ming Gu,^a Xugui Xia,^a Jincheng Liao,^a Xun Shi *^a and Lidong Chen^{ac}

^aState Key Laboratory of High Performance Ceramics and Superfine Microstructure, Shanghai Institute of Ceramics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200050, China.

^bSchool of Chemistry and Materials Science, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou 310024, China ^cCenter of Materials Science and Optoelectronics Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

[†]Equally contributed to this work

Corresponding author: qiupf@mail.sic.ac.cn; xshi@mail.sic.ac.cn

Supplementary Information



Fig. S1 Room temperature powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern for

 $Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}$



Fig. S2 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analyses for Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}.



Fig. S3 Heat flow curves of GeTe and Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05} characterized by the differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) measurement.



Fig. S4 Microstructure of the $Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}/Ni$ interface.



Fig. S5 GeTe/Mo/GeTe sandwich bar used for contact resistance measurement. The red line represents the moving track of probe.



Fig. S6 Scanning electron microscopy and EDS elemental mapping performed on the interface area of the aged Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}/Mo/Ni TE legs, (a) Before aging, (b) After aging 5 days, (c) After aging 10 days at 800 K.



Fig. S7 Temperature dependence of relative length variation (dL/L_0) for GeTe and Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}. The values on the dL/L_0 curves represent the linear coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) in the specific temperature range.



Fig. S8 Microstructure of the $Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}/Mo/Ni$ interface.



Fig. S9 Measured and simulated internal resistance R_{in} of

Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}/Yb_{0.3}Co₄Sb₁₂TE module



Fig. S10 Measured and simulated open voltage V_{oc} of $Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}/Yb_{0.3}Co_4Sb_{12}TE$ module



Fig. S11 Variations of (a) relative power output $(P_{out}/P_{out,0})$, (b) relative internal resistance $(R_{in}/R_{in,0})$ and relative open circuit voltage $(V_{oc}/V_{oc,0})$ for the Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}/Mo/Ni and Ge_{0.92}Sb_{0.04}Bi_{0.04}Te_{0.95}Se_{0.05}/Mo₇₀Al₃₀/Ni TE legs during thermal cycling test, where $P_{out,0}$, $R_{in,0}$ and $V_{oc,0}$ are the initial power output, initial internal resistance and initial open circuit voltage, respectively. The inset shows the schematic map of thermal cycling test. The hot side temperature is cycled from 473 K to 800 K. The cold side temperature is fixed at 300 K. The data are collected when the hot side temperature of the TE leg is 800 K.

	Pure metals	Thickness (µm)
Category 1#	Та	N
	Nb	Ν
Category 2#	Fe	С
	Ni	С
Category 3#	Мо	< 1
	Ti	~3
	Hf	~3
	Cr	~5
	Zr	~5
	V	~10
	Со	~20
	Al	~20

Table S1 Thickness of reaction layers between GeTe and different pure metals. 'N' represents no diffusion layer is formed, and 'C' represents the barrier material reacted with GeTe completely.

Table S2 The preset parameters used in the three-dimensional numerical analysis.

Electrical contact resistance	$40 \ \mu\Omega \ cm^2$
Thermal contact resistance of cold side	1.2×10 ⁴ Wm ⁻² K ⁻¹
Thermal contact resistance of hot side	6×10 ⁴ Wm ⁻² K ⁻¹
Thickness of filled glass fibers	1 mm
Thermal conductivity of glass fibers	0.9 Wm ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
Temperature of hot side	800 K
Temperature of cold side	300 K