Supplementary Information

The Gut Barrier and the Fate of Engineered Nanomaterials: A View from Comparative Physiology

Meike van der Zande¹, Anita Jemec Kokalj², David J. Spurgeon³, Susana Loureiro⁴, Patrícia V. Silva⁴, Zahra Khodaparast⁴, Damjana Drobne², Nathaniel J. Clark⁷, Nico van den Brink⁵, Marta Baccaro⁵, Cornelis A.M. van Gestel⁶, Hans Bouwmeester^{1,5}, Richard D. Handy^{7*}

¹Wageningen Food Safety Research part of Wageningen University & Research, Akkermaalsbos 2, 6708 WB, Wageningen, The Netherlands
²University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty, Jamnikarjeva 101, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
³UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, MacLean Building, Benson Lane, Wallingford, Oxon, Ox10 8BB, UK
⁴University of Aveiro, Department of Biology and CESAM- Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies, 3830-193 Aveiro, Portugal
⁵Division of Toxicology, Wageningen University, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE, Wageningen, The Netherlands
⁶Department of Ecological Science, Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1085, 1018 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
⁷School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author. Email: rhandy@plymouth.ac.uk



Supplementary Figure S1. Phylogenetic tree of the animals and test models commonly used in nanomaterial fate studies (scheme adapted from Sadava *et al.*¹). Black stars represent traits leading to certain anatomical distinction. OECD technical guidance (TGs) were derived from Crane et al.² Note, diploblastic: two embryonic cell layers; triploblastic: three embryonic cell layers; coelom is the body

References

- 1.
- D. E. Sadava, Hillis, D.M., Heller, H.C., Berenbaum, M., *Life: The Science of Biology*, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, 10 edn.
 M. Crane, R. D. Handy, J. Garrod and R. Owen, Ecotoxicity test methods and environmental hazard assessment for engineered nanoparticles, *Ecotoxicology*, 2008, **17**, 421-437. 2.