Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Nano. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## **Supporting Information**

## Facile preparation of hydrophilic In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanospheres and rods with improved performances for photocatalytic degradation of PFOA

Xiaoqing Liu<sup>1</sup>, Bentuo Xu<sup>1, 2</sup>, Xiaoguang Duan<sup>3</sup>, Qiang Hao<sup>1</sup>, Wei Wei<sup>1</sup>, Shaobin Wang<sup>3</sup>,

Bing-Jie Ni<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia <sup>2</sup>School of Life and Environmental Science, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, China <sup>3</sup>School of Chemical Engineering, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia

## \*Corresponding author:

Tel.: +61 295147401; E-mail: bingjieni@gmail.com

Table S1. The target compounds of PFOA and its degradation products, and their MS/MSparameters.

Acronym	Name	Formula	MS/MS mass	Cone voltage	Collision energy
			transition	(V)	(eV)
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic acid	C <sub>7</sub> F <sub>15</sub> COOH	$\begin{array}{c} 412.90 \rightarrow \\ 369.00 \end{array}$	20	10
PFHpA	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>13</sub> COOH	$\begin{array}{c} 363.00 \rightarrow \\ 319.00 \end{array}$	2.6	22
PFHxA	Perfluorohexanoic acid	C <sub>5</sub> F <sub>11</sub> COOH	$\begin{array}{c} 313.00 \rightarrow \\ 269.00 \end{array}$	2.6	8.4
PFPeA	Perfluoropentanoic acid	C <sub>4</sub> F <sub>9</sub> COOH	$\begin{array}{c} 262.55 \rightarrow \\ 219.10 \end{array}$	2.6	8.4
PFBA	Perfluorobutyric acid	C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>7</sub> COOH	$\begin{array}{c} 212.20 \rightarrow \\ 169.10 \end{array}$	2.6	10.0
PFPrA	Pentafluoropropionic acid	C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>5</sub> COOH	$\begin{array}{c} 162.9 \rightarrow \\ 118.8 \end{array}$	12.0	7.0
TFA	Trifluoroacetic acid	CF <sub>3</sub> COOH	$\begin{array}{c} 112.9 \rightarrow \\ 68.9 \end{array}$	12.0	7.0

Name	In-BDC NS	In-BDC rod	In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> NS	In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> rod	Commercial In <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
C1s (%)	52.73	51.81	12.99	10.42	16.17
In3d (%)	6.98	7.18	32.56	33.97	31.44
O1s (%)	40.29	41.01	54.45	55.61	52.39

**Table S2** Atomic ratio (%) of elements (In, O and C), based on XPS survey-scan spectra.



**Figure S1.** ESR spectra of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples.



**Figure S2.** SEM images of commercial  $In_2O_3$  (a, b),  $In_2O_3$  NS (c, d),  $In_2O_3$  rod (e, f), In-BDC NS (g), and In-BDC rod (h).



**Figure S3.** Adsorption of PFOA onto In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples.



**Figure S4.** Second-order kinetics simulation of PFOA degradation:  $\frac{1}{C_t} - \frac{1}{C_0} = kt$ , where,  $C_t$  (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) is the concentration at t min,  $C_0$  (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) is the original concentration, k (L mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) is the reaction constant, t (min) is the reaction time.



Figure S5. Fluoride ion detected during the photodegradation of PFOA.



Figure S6. The TOC removal rate under UV light irradiation in the presence of commercial  $In_2O_3$ ,  $In_2O_3$  NS and rod.



Figure S7. Effects of different scavengers on the PFOA photodegradation in the  $UV/In_2O_3$  NS System.



**Figure S8.** The transient photocurrent density responses of prepared-sample electrodes with light on/off cycles under UV 254 nm light irradiation.