Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

1	Supporting information
2	Assessment of the breakthrough of micropollutants
3	in full-scale granular activated carbon adsorbers by
4	rapid small-scale column tests
5	and a novel pilot-scale sampling approach
6	
7	Tony Merle ⁽¹⁾ , Detlef R.U. Knappe ⁽²⁾ , Wouter Pronk ⁽¹⁾ , Bernadette Vogler ⁽¹⁾ ,
8	Juliane Hollender ^(1,4) , Urs von $Gunten^{(1)(3)(4)*}$
9	
10	⁽¹⁾ Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology,
11	Ueberlandstrasse 133, 8600 Duebendorf, Switzerland
12	⁽²⁾ Department of Civil, Construction and Environmental Engineering, North Carolina
13	University, Campus Box 7908, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA
14	⁽³⁾ School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering (ENAC), École
15	Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland
16	⁽⁴⁾ Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich,
17	Switzerland
18	Corresponding author
19	*phone: +41 58 765 5270, fax: +41 58 765 5802, email: <u>vongunten@eawag.ch</u>



Figure S1: Particle size distribution of Calgon Filtrasorb[®] 400 (Chemviron) granular activated carbon and powdered activated carbon (after crushing and sieving). The commercial activated carbon particles were ground and separated with two sieves. The green curve represents the fraction $< 63 \mu$ m, the red line represents the fraction $63 - 100 \mu$ m and the blue curve is the particle size distribution of the non-ground activated carbon.





- 28 Figure S2. Pilot- scale system with 10 GAC columns in series (1) glass columns filled with GAC,
- 29 (2) sampling points located after each column (length: 10 x 10 cm, diameter: 2.4 cm).
- 30



Figure S3. RSSCT breakthrough curves for five X-ray contrast media: (a) diatrizoate, (b) iohexol,
(c) iomeprol, (d) iopamidol and (e) iopromide (raw data, not corrected with the fouling index). Light
blue circles and dark blue circles represent intermediate and outlet sampling points, respectively.





36 Figure S4. RSSCT breakthrough curves for (a) DOC and DOM constituents (b) humic substances

37 and building block, (c) low molecular weight neutrals and (d) neutrals.



41 Figure S5. Breakthrough curves determined with method 2 in the pilot-scale GAC system for (a) 6 42 pesticides and metabolites (ATZ: atrazine; DEA: desethylatrazine; HYA: hydroxyatrazine, DCB: 43 2,6-dichlorobenzamide; DCD: desphenylchloridazon; MTE: metolachlor ESA) and (b) 4 44 pharmaceuticals and one metabolite (CDS: candesartan; CBZ: carbamazepine; HCZ: 45 dihydroxycarbamazepine; LMT: lamotrigine; SMX: sulfamethoxazole) occurring in Hardwald 46 groundwater. LOQs in the legends are achievable c/c_0 values and not concentrations.

47



Figure S6. Comparison of specific throughput at 10% breakthrough obtained with pilot-scale
GAC columns (method 2) for 11 compounds not detected after full-scale adsorbers (iopamidol
and sucralose were added for comparison) with log D_{OW} values at pH 8.

53 Table S1. Water quality parameters of Hardwald groundwater used for RSSCT, pilot-scale GAC

54 column test and in the full-scale plant.

Parameters	RSSCT	Pilot-scale GAC column test	Full-scale GAC adsorber
рН	8.05 ± 0.10	7.65 ± 0.05	7.65 ± 0.20
Temperature (°C)	12.0 ± 0.5	15.0 ± 2.0	13.2 ± 3.0
DOC ⁽¹⁾ (mgC/L ⁻¹)	0.49 ± 0.05	0.37 ± 0.02	0.46± 0.06

55

(1) DOC was determined with LC-OCD analyzer developed by DOC-Labor (Huber et al., 2011)

Table S2. Concentrations and characteristics of the 20 selected compounds spiked to Hardwald
groundwater (HGW) for the RSSCT. log D_{ow} represents the partition coefficient of the compounds
at pH 8.

Category Compound		Concentration (ng L ⁻¹)	log D _{ow} (@ pH 8) ⁽¹⁾	MW (g mol ⁻¹)
	lohexol (IOH)	3208 ± 367	-3.13	821.14
	Iomeprol (IOM)	3342 ± 282	-2.45	777.09
X-ray contrast media	lopamidol (IOA)	3274 ± 303	-2.21	777.09
	lopromide (IOP)	3252 ± 285	-1.89	791.11
	Diatrizoate (DTZ)	3345 ± 414	-0.77	613.91
Sweeteners	Sucralose (SUC)	350 ± 42	-0.86	397.63
	Deisopropylatrazine (DIA)	51 ± 6	1.23	173.60
	Desethylatrazine (DEA)	48 ± 7	1.48	187.63
	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide (DCB)	57 ± 8	1.48	190.03
Pesticides	Simazine (SIM)	32 ± 16	2.20	201.66
	Atrazine (ATZ)	49 ± 9	2.53	215.68
	Diuron (DIU)	51 ± 6	2.70	233.09
	Metolachlor (MET)	55 ± 20	3.36	283.79
	Atenolol (ATN)	51 ± 14	-1.42	266.43
	Sulfamethoxazole (SMX)	59 ± 11	-1.22	253.28
Pharmaceuticals	Metoprolol (MET)	76 ± 30	0.54	267.36
	Diclofenac (DCF)	52 ± 12	1.06	296.15
	Carbamazepine (CBZ)	60 ± 15	2.23	236.27
Industrial compounds	Benzotriazole (BZT)	267 ± 35	1.54	119.12
muustnai compounds	5-Methylbenzotriazole (MBZ)	303 ± 34	1.83	133.15

59 ⁽¹⁾ calculated with Advanced Chemistry Development (<u>https://ilab.acdlabs.com/iLab2/</u>).

62 Table S3. Physical properties of the Calgon Filtrasorb[®] 400 granular activated carbon.

Parameter	Value
Mean Particle Diameter (µm)	1'000
Apparent Density (kg m ⁻³)	450
BET Surface Area (m ² g ⁻¹)	1'050
DFT Micropore Volume (0-2 nm) (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	0.382
DFT Mesopore Volume (2-36 nm) (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	0.203

64 Table S4. Limit of quantification and internal standard used for the 20 selected compounds spiked

Category	Compound	LOQ ⁽¹⁾ (ng L ⁻¹)	Internal Standard
	lohexol (IOH)	5 – 100	lohexol-d5
	Iomeprol (IOM)	5 – 100	lomeprol-d3
X-ray contrast media	lopamidol (IOA)	5 – 100	lopamidol-d3
	lopromide (IOP)	5 – 100	lopromide-d3
	Diatrizoate (DTZ)	10 – 100	Diatrizoate-d6
Sweeteners	Sucralose (SUC)	50 – 100	Sucralose-d6
	Deisopropylatrazine (DIA)	1 – 10	Deisopropylatrazine-d5
	Desethylatrazine (DEA)	1 – 5	Desethylatrazine-15N3
	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide (DCB)	1 – 5	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide-3,4,5-d3
Pesticides	Simazine (SIM)	1 - 10	Simazine-d5
	Atrazine (ATZ)	1	Atrazin-d5
	Diuron (DIU)	1 – 5	Diuron-d6
	Metolachlor (MET)	1 – 5	Metolachlor-d6
	Atenolol (ATN)	5	Atenolol-d7
	Sulfamethoxazole (SMX)	1 – 5	Sulfamethoxazole-d4
Pharmaceuticals	Metoprolol (MET)	5 – 25	Metoprolol-d7
	Diclofenac (DCF)	1 – 50	Diclofenac-d4
	Carbamazepine (CBZ)	1	Carbamazepin-d8
Industrial compounds	Benzotriazole (BZT)	5 – 10	Benzotriazole-d4
moustrial compounds	5-Methylbenzotriazole (MBZ)	1 – 5	5-Methylbenzotriazole-d6

65 to Hardwald groundwater (HGW) for the RSSCT.

66 ⁽¹⁾ the sensitivity was improved during RSSCT and a range for LOQ is provided.

Table S5. Characteristics of the 20 compounds detected in Hardwald groundwater (HGW) during
pilot-plant experiments. log D_{OW} represents the partition coefficient of compounds at pH 8 while S
and V are the Abraham solvation parameters. These parameters were used to determine the 10%
breakthrough.

Categories	Compound	Concentration (ng L ⁻¹)	log D _{ow} (@ pH 8) ⁽¹⁾	MW (g mol ⁻¹)	S ⁽¹⁾	V ⁽¹⁾
V rov contract modia	lopamidol (IOA) ⁽²⁾	104 ± 32	-2.21	777.09	4.72	3.68
A-ray contrast media	Diatrizoate (DTZ) ⁽²⁾	26 ± 7	-0.77	613.91	3.25	2.50
Sweetenere	Sucralose (SUC) ⁽²⁾	350 ± 42	-0.86	397.63	2.30	2.42
Sweeleners	Acesulfame (ACE)	150 ± 117	-0.51	201.24	2.00	0.98
	Metolachlor ESA (MTE)	12 ± 7	-4.22	351.39	2.62	2.50
	Desphenylchloridazon (DCD)	17 ± 3	-4.14	145.44	1.41	0.92
	Hydroxyatrazine (HYA)	10 ± 2	-0.78	197.42	1.23	1,56
Docticidos	Desethylatrazine (DEA) ⁽²⁾	3.7 ± 0.4	1.48	187.63	1.42	1.34
resucides	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide (DCB) ⁽²⁾	2.4 ± 0.7	1.48	190.03	1.69	1.22
	Simazine (SIM) ⁽²⁾	1.0 ± 0.1	2.20	201.66	1.20	1.48
	Atrazine (ATZ) ⁽²⁾	3.3 ± 0.4	2.53	215.68	1.17	1.62
	Diuron (DIU) ⁽²⁾	2.7 ± 0.4	2.70	233.09	1.66	1.60
	Candesartan (CDS)	11 ± 3	-1.67	440.45	3.74	3.16
	Sulfamethoxazole (SMX) ⁽²⁾	18 ± 6	-1.22	253.28	2.43	1.72
Dharmacouticala	Hydroxychlorothiazide (HCT)	7.1 ± 2.4	-0.22	297.74	2.77	1.73
Fildimaceuticais	Lamotrigine (LMT)	18 ± 2	2.12	256.09	2.13	1.65
	Carbamazepine (CBZ) ⁽²⁾	12 ± 2	2.23	236.27	2.06	1.81
	Dihydroxycarbamazepine (HCZ)	11 ± 2	2.37	270.29	1.96	1.85
Industrial compounds	Benzotriazole (BZT) ⁽²⁾	26 ± 7	1.54	119.12	1.34	0.86
	5-Methylbenzotriazole (MBZ) ⁽²⁾	36 ± 5	1.83	133.15	1.28	1.00

72 ⁽¹⁾ calculated with Advanced Chemistry Development (<u>https://ilab.acdlabs.com/iLab2/</u>).

73 ⁽²⁾ compounds already presented in Table S1, SI (<u>https://ilab.acdlabs.com/iLab2/</u>).

75 Table S6. Limit of quantification and internal standard used for the 20 compounds detected in

Categories Compound		LOQ (ng L ⁻¹)	Internal Standard
X ray contract modia	lopamidol (IOA)	2	lopamidol-d3
X-ray contrast media	Diatrizoate (DTZ)	0.5	Diatrizoate-d6
Sweetenere	Sucralose (SUC)	7	Sucralose-d6
Sweeteners	Acesulfame (ACE)	3	Acesulfam-d4
	Metolachlor ESA (MTE)	4	Metolachlor ESA-d11
	Desphenylchloridazon (DCD)	0.5	-
	Hydroxyatrazine (HYA)	3	Desethylatrazine-15N3
Destisides	Desethylatrazine (DEA)	1	Desethylatrazine-15N3
resucides	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide (DCB)	0.5	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide-3,4,5 d3
	Simazine (SIM)	0.5	Simazine-d5
	Atrazine (ATZ)	0.5	Atrazine-d5
	Diuron (DIU)	0.5	Diuron-d6
	Candesartan (CDS)	0.5	Candesartan-d5
	Sulfamethoxazole (SMX)	0.5	Sulfamethoxazole-d4
Dharmanauticala	Hydroxychlorothiazide (HCT)	3	Hydroxychlorothiazide-C13, d2
Filamaceuticais	Lamotrigine (LMT)	0.5	Lamotrigin-13C3, d3
	Carbamazepine (CBZ)	0.5	Carbamazepin-d8
	Dihydroxycarbamazepine (HCZ)	3	Carbamazepin-d8
Industrial compounds	Benzotriazole (BZT)	2	Benzotriazole-d4
	5-Methylbenzotriazole (MBZ)	0.5	5-Methylbenzotriazole-d6

76 Hardwald groundwater (HGW) during pilot-plant experiments.

78 Table S7. Instrumental conditions for LC-HRMS/MS (QexactivePlus, Thermo Fisher

Solvent	(A) Water and (B) Methanol acidified with 0.1% formic acid
Flow rate	300 μL min ⁻¹
Gradient	0 - 1.5 min: constant at 95% A / 5% B 1.5 - 17.5 min: linear gradient up to 5% A / 95% B 25 - 25.1 min: switch to 95% A / 5% B 25.1 - 29 min: constant at 95% A / 5% B
MS conditions	Electrospray ionization (spray voltage: 5/-3.5 kV, sheath gas flow: 50, auxiliary gas flow: 10, capillary temperature 380°C, S-lens RF level: 100/50, probe heater temperature: 500/250°C) by separate measurements in positive and negative ionization mode
MS detection	Full scan (mass range: 100 – 1000 m/z, mass resolution R: 140000 at m/z 200) followed by five data-dependent MS/MS scans (R: 17500, normalized collision energy NCE: 50) were acquired using the exact masses of the protonated and deprotonated target molecules as trigger criteria for the data-dependent MS/MS in positive and negative ionization mode, respectively. The mass accuracy was determined to be < 5 ppm for all measurements.

79 Scientific) analyses of Hardwald groundwater during pilot-plant experiments

81 Text S1. Preparation of the feed solution for RSSCTs

Hardwald groundwater was collected at least once per month at the Hardwasser drinking water
treatment plant located in the Hardwald forest near Basel. Water containers were stored at 4°C
without any pre-filtration prior to use.

85 Some of the spiked 20 compounds are weakly soluble in water and therefore, micropollutant stock solutions were prepared in ethanol. However, ethanol leads to adsorption competition 86 with the target compounds and microbial growth. Therefore, prior to the mixing with 87 Hardwald groundwater, micropollutant stock solutions were placed in a 1 L amber glass 88 89 bottle with a large opening at ambient temperature during 24 hours to evaporate the ethanol. 90 Afterwards, approximately 1 L of Hardwald groundwater was added to the amber bottle and 91 stirred for 30 minutes to dissolve the micropollutants, which were crystallized inside the 92 bottle. The solution was weighed and added to a 25 L glass bottle, which was cleaned with 93 nanopure water beforehand. The amber glass bottle was flushed several times with Hardwald 94 groundwater and then poured in the 25 L glass bottle until the RSSCT feed solution reached 95 20 kg. The solution was stored at 4 °C and used within 10 days.

97 Text S2. Linear regression to calculate the specific throughput at 10% breakthrough for98 micropollutants in water

99 Kennedy et al. (2015) developed a linear regression to predict the specific throughput at 10% 100 breakthrough ($BV_{10\%}$) for micropollutants based on experimental results obtained with different 101 water matrices. This linear regression considers the parameters affecting the adsorption of 102 micropollutant in water such as the initial dissolved organic carbon concentration (DOC_0), the 103 pH-dependent octanol/water partition coefficient (log D_{OW}) and Abraham solvation parameters 104 (S and V) and is describes as followed:

 $105 \quad lnBV10\% = (11.2 \pm 0.2) + (-0.242 \pm 0.052)DOC_0 + (0.138 \pm 0.041)logK_{OW} + (-0.305 \pm 0.093)S_{OW} + (-0.305 \pm 0.$

- $106 + (0.157 \pm 0.069)V$
- 107