Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

### Reaction of chlorine dioxide with organic matter

### - Formation of inorganic products -

- Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) -

Katharina Hupperich<sup>†</sup>, Xenia A. M. Mutke<sup>†</sup>, Mohammad S. Abdighahroudi<sup>\$</sup>, Mischa Jütte<sup>\$</sup>, Torsten C. Schmidt<sup>†,‡,§</sup> and Holger V. Lutze<sup>\* \$,†,‡,§</sup>

 <sup>†</sup>University of Duisburg-Essen, Faculty of Chemistry, Instrumental Analytical Chemistry, Universitätsstraße 5, D-45141 Essen, Germany
 \*Technical University of Darmstadt, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
 Sciences, Institute IWAR, Franziska-Braun-Straße 7, D-64287 Darmstadt, Germany
 <sup>‡</sup>IWW Water Centre, Moritzstraße 26, D-45476 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany
 <sup>§</sup>Centre for Water and Environmental Research (ZWU), Universitätsstraße 5, D-45141
 Essen, Germany

# Table of Content

## **Material and Methods**

Table S1: All used chemicals	<b>S4</b>
Table S2: All used instruments	S5
Table S3: All used methods	S6
Table S4: Reaction rate constants of used compounds at defined pH	<b>S</b> 7
<b>Text S1:</b> Production of CIO <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> 7
Figure S1: Experimental setup of CIO <sub>2</sub> production	S8
<b>Text S2:</b> Production of O <sub>3</sub>	S9
<b>Table S5:</b> Composition and $CIO_2$ dosages of the investigated compounds for thoxidation experiments	e S10
Figure S2: Workflow of the oxidation experiments	S10
Table S6: Reaction time of the investigated compounds with CIO2	S11
<b>Table S7:</b> Limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantification (LOQ) of themeasured compounds of the used methods	S11
<b>Table S8</b> : Concentrations of the recovery experiments N-chloroglycine (FAC) for oxidation of vanillin or dimedone (100 $\mu$ M) with ClO <sub>2</sub> , 5 mM phosphate buffer, pl 0.05, reaction time 30 min, room temperature	or the H 7 ± <b>S12</b>
Text S3: Depletion experiments	S12
Table S9: Composition of the indigotrisulfonate working solutions for the depleti	ion of
CIO <sub>2</sub> and O <sub>3</sub>	S13

### **Results and Discussion**

**Figure S3:** Chromatograms of 25  $\mu$ M p-benzoquinone (5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 ± 0.05) (black), 60  $\mu$ M hydroquinone (5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 ± 0.05) (red), 100  $\mu$ M hydroquinone (2 mM glycine and 5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 ± 0.05) (green), 100  $\mu$ M hydroquinone oxidised with 100  $\mu$ M CIO2 (2 mM glycine and 5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 ± 0.05) (blue) measured with HPLC-UV at wavelength 288 nm (99%/1% methanol/water pH 2 flow 0.5 mL/min) **S14** 

**Figure S4:** Depletion of  $CIO_2$  (80  $\mu$ M) in 5 mg DOC L<sup>-1</sup>, 5 mM phosphate buffer and 0.8 mM glycine pH 7 ± 0.05 (no pre-ozonation (grey), 40  $\mu$ M pre-ozonated (red) and 80  $\mu$ M pre-ozonated (blue)), depletion was measured with the indigo method **S14** 

**Table S10:** Reference values of yields of inorganic chlorine by-products per  $CIO_2$ consumed for the reaction with  $CIO_2$  with selected compounds**S15** 

Figure S5: UV-Vis spectra of SRNOM (5 mg DOC L-1) with 5 mM phosphate buffer atpH 7 oxidized with different ozone ( $O_3$ ) concentrations (0  $\mu$ M, 40  $\mu$ M and 80  $\mu$ M)(reaction time 30 min)S16

## **Material and Methods**

### Table S1: All used chemicals

Chemical	Molecular formula	Purity	Producer
Sodium dihydrogen	NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> *H <sub>2</sub> O	99.0 - 102.0%	AppliChem GmbH
phosphate monohydrate			(Darmstadt, Germany)
Di-potassium hydrogen	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	min. 99%	AppliChem GmbH
phosphate anhydrous			(Darmstadt, Germany)
Sulfuric acid	$H_2SO_4$	> 95%	Fisher Scientific GmbH
Sodium hydroxide colution (1	NaOlu	Not ovoilable	(Schwerte, Germany)
Sodium hydroxide solution (1	NaOn	Not available	(Duisburg, Cormany)
Sodium chlorito	NaCIO	80%	Sigma Aldrich
Southin chiorite		00 /0	(Darmstadt Germany)
Potassium chlorate	KClO₂	≥ 99 0%	Sigma Aldrich
	1.0103	- 00.070	(Darmstadt, Germany)
Sodium persulfate	Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	≥ 99.0%	Sigma Aldrich
-			(Darmstadt, Germany)
Potassium iodide	KI	99%	Thermo Fisher (Kandel)
			GmbH
			(Karlsruhe, Germany)
Sodium carbonate	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	≥ 99.5% p.a.	Die Carl Roth GmbH + Co.
			KG (Karlaruha Cormony)
Sodium chlorido	NaCl	> 00 5%	Rornd Kraft CmbH
Souldin chionde	Naci	2 99.070	(Duisburg, Germany)
Nitrogen	N <sub>2</sub>	> 99%	Air liquid
			(Oberhausen, Germany)
Argon	Ar	> 99%	Air liquid
			(Oberhausen, Germany)
Methanol	H₃COH	≥ 99.8%	VWR International GmbH
			(Darmstadt, Germany)
Hydrochloric acid	HCI	~ 37%	Fisher Scientific GmbH
Vanillin	<u> </u>	000/	(Schwerte, Germany)
vannin		99%	(Darmstadt Germany)
Indigo trisulfonic acid	CupH-KoNoOusSo	Ozone	Sigma Aldrich
tripotassium salt	01617131201103	scavenging	(Darmstadt, Germany)
		reagent	(2 a
Glycine	$C_2H_5NO_2$	≥ 99.0%	Sigma Aldrich
-			(Darmstadt, Germany)
Sodium hypochlorite	NaOCI	11 – 15%	Alfa Aesar
			(Germany)
Natural organic matter	Suwannee River	KU Isolate	International Humic
Hydroquinono	СНО	(2R101N)	Substances Society (IHSS)
Hydroquinone	$C_6 H_6 O_2$	2 99.0 %	(Darmstadt Germany)
Ammonium molybdate (para)	$(NH_4) \in MO_7O_{24} \times 4H_2O_{24}$	99 %	Alfa Aesar
tetrahydrate	(		(Karlsruhe, Germany)
TOC standard	Not available	(1000 ± 10) mg/L	Sigma Aldrich
			(Darmstadt, Germany)
Formic acid	CH <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	~ 98%	Sigma Aldrich
			(Darmstadt, Germany)
2-methoxybenzo-1,4-quinone	$C_7H_6O_3$	Not available	Sigma Aldrich
			(Darmstadt, Germany)

### Table S2: All used instruments

Instrument	Component	Specification	Manufacturer
UV-VIS-	Hardware	UV-1800	Shimadzu
spectropnotometer			(Duisburg, Germany)
	Software	UVProbe	Shimadzu
			(Duisburg, Germany)
Oltra-pure water	-	Purelad Ultra	
	Democratic	Obies a devi	(Celle, Germany)
HPLC-UV	Degasser	Shimadzu	Shimadzu Europa GmbH
		DGU-20A <sub>5R</sub>	(Duisburg, Germany)
	Hign pressure	Shimadzu Liquid	Shimadzu Europa GmbH
	Pump	LC-10AT VP	(Duisburg, Germany)
	Autosampler	Shimadzu Auto Injector	Shimadzu Europa GmbH
		SIL-10AD VP	(Duisburg, Germany)
	Detector	Shimadzu Diode Array	Shimadzu Europa GmbH
		Detector	(Duisburg, Germany)
		SPD-M10A VP	
	Column	5 µm EVO C18 100A;	Phenomenex
		100 x 2.1 mm (1 x ID)	(Aschaffenburg, Germany)
IC	Equipment	881 Compact IC pro	
	Pump	Standard	
	Autosampler	863 Compact	
	Detector	IC Conductivity detector	
		UV-Detector	
			Metrohm
	Column	Metrosep ASupp 7	(Filderstadt, Germany)
		(250/4.0)	
	Sample Loop	1 mL	
		Injection volume:	
		100 µL	
	Software	MagicIC Net	
Ozone generator	-	BMT 802X	BTM Messtechnik
			(Berlin, Germany)
Dispenser-system	-	Dispensette	Brand GMBH + CO KG
		207.111.1	(Wertheim, Germany)
pH-Meter	-	827 pH lab	Metrohm
700		TOOL	(Essen, Germany)
TOC analyser	Equipment	IOC-L	Shimadzu Europa GmbH
	Auto-sampler	ASI-L	(Duisburg, Germany)
	Software	TOC Control -L	

### Table S3: All used methods

Compound	Instrument	Conditions
Chloride, chlorite, chlorate, formic acid	IC with conductivity detector Column: Metrohm A Supp 7 (250/4.0)	Eluent: 1.6 mM Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> Flow: 0.8 mL min <sup>-1</sup>
,		Injection volume: 100 µL
<i>N</i> -chloroglycine	IC with post-column reaction and UV- detector	Post Column Reaction (PCR) 1. Potassium iodide (270 mM) 2. Ammonium molybdate (50 μM) and sulfuric acid (100 mM) Flow: 0.2 mL min <sup>-1</sup> Injection volume: 100 μL
Vanillin,	HPLC with UV-detector	Mobile phase: isocratic
2-methoxybenzo-1,4-	Column: Kinetex 5 µm EVO C18 100	20% Methanol/80% H <sub>2</sub> O pH 2
quinone	A 100 X 3 mm (Phenomenex)	(adjusted with HCI)
		Injection volume: 75 ul
		Wavelength: 347 nm
Hydroquinone,	HPLC with UV-detector	Mobile phase: isocratic
<i>p</i> -benzoquinone	Column: Kinetex 5 µm EVO C18 100	1% Methanol/99% H <sub>2</sub> O (pH 2
	A 100 x 3 mm (Phenomenex)	(adjusted with HCI))
		FIOW: 0.5 ML MIN <sup>-1</sup>
		Wavelength: 288 nm
Indigotrisulfonate	UV-VIS-spectrometer	Wavelength: 600 nm
		Cuvette: 1 cm
		For checking the purity
		concentration was also calculated
		with the extinction coefficient of
		20000 M <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1 1</sup>

Reaction	<i>k</i> [M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	рН	Reference
glycine + HOCI	1.5 × 10 <sup>5</sup>	7	2
glycine + CIO <sub>2</sub>	1 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	8	3
vanillin + ClO <sub>2</sub>	$5 \times 10^{3}$	8	3
hydroquinone +CIO <sub>2</sub>	$(8.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^5$	6.93	4
indigotrisulfonate + CIO <sub>2</sub>	2.5 × 10 <sup>5</sup>	8	3
Dimedone + CIO <sub>2</sub>	~ 2 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	2 - 5	3
galic acid + HOCI	$(1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^4$	7	5
hydroquinone + HOCI	21.6 ± 0.3	7	5

 Table S4:
 Reaction rate constants of used compounds at defined pH

#### Text S1: Production of CIO<sub>2</sub>

(if available/applicable)

CIO<sub>2</sub> was produced by mixing sodium persulfate and sodium chlorite (reaction 1) (persulfate-chlorite method). This method was firstly described and performed by Gates (1998)<sup>6</sup>.

$$Na_2S_2O_8 + 2 NaClO_2 \rightarrow 2 ClO_2 + Na_2SO_4$$
 (reaction 1)

Figure S1 shows the experimental setup for the ClO<sub>2</sub> production. The principle of this setup is that ClO<sub>2</sub> is produced using the chlorite persulfate method combined with a purification step<sup>7</sup>. Therefore, five gas washing bottles connected *via* PE-tubes and a nitrogen-gas supply are needed. The empty bottle 1 is used for avoiding pressure effects after turning on/off gas supply, bottle 2 (ultrapure water) for washing the used nitrogen gas and in bottle 3 ClO<sub>2</sub> is produced according to reaction 1. Bottle 4 (NaClO<sub>2</sub>) is used for scavenging FAC which might be formed during the production. ClO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> present in excess can scavenge FAC because it reacts with HOCl to ClO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and Cl<sup>-</sup> in an acid catalysed reaction (*k* = 1.06 × 10<sup>6</sup> M<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>8</sup>. In bottle 5 the produced ClO<sub>2</sub> is absorbed in ice cooled water. Before starting the production, all

bottles were cleaned with ultrapure water. First, bottle 2 and 5 were filled with 300 mL ultrapure water, bottle 4 was filled with 100 mL of  $0.111 \text{ M} \text{ NaClO}_2$  solution and bottle 3 was filled with 100 mL of  $0.885 \text{ M} \text{ NaClO}_2$  solution. For starting the reaction 100 mL of  $0.168 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$  was added to bottle 3. During the production the reaction solution (bottle 3) was continuously stirred.



**Figure S1**: Experimental setup of CIO<sub>2</sub> production (modified from Terhalle et al.)<sup>7</sup>

The ClO<sub>2</sub> stock solution was stored dark and cool in brown glass conical shoulder bottles with minimum headspace.

#### Usage of CIO<sub>2</sub> solutions

 $CIO_2$  stock solution was kept cool in an ice bath throughout the whole experiment to suppress gas transfer losses of  $CIO_2$ . The concentration of the produced  $CIO_2$  stock solution (bottle 5) was measured via UV-VIS- spectrometry. Therefore, the stock solution was diluted 1:30 (100 µL stock solution and 2.9 mL ultrapure water) in a 1 cm cuvette and turned over carefully two times for mixing. To avoid losses of  $CIO_2$  *via* headspace during dosage of  $CIO_2$ , hamilton syringes were used. The dilution of the stock solution ensure that the absorbance was below 1 cm<sup>-1</sup> and therefore in the

linear range of the photometer. The absorbance of the diluted stock solutions at wavelength 359 nm was between 0.4 and 0.84 cm<sup>-1</sup> (1 –2.1 x 10<sup>-2</sup> M ClO<sub>2</sub>). The concentrations were calculated with the extinction coefficient of ClO<sub>2</sub> ( $\epsilon_{359 \text{ nm}} = 1200 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>9</sup> *via* the lambert-beer-law (equation S1).

$$E_{359nm} = \varepsilon_{359nm} \times c \times d \qquad (equation S1)$$

 $E_{359 nm}$ : Extinction at 359 nm  $\varepsilon_{359 nm}$ : molar extinction coefficient for CIO<sub>2</sub> at 359 nm [M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] *c*: concentration of CIO<sub>2</sub> [M] *d*: optical path length [cm] (in present work 1 cm)

#### Text S2: Production of O<sub>3</sub>

 $O_3$  gas was produced with an BMT 802X ozone generator and purged in ice cooled ultrapure water. After about 1 – 2 hours purging, the concentration of  $O_3$  was measured *via* a UV-Vis-spectrometer at wavelength of 258 nm ( $\epsilon_{258 nm, O3} = 2950 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>1</sup> and calculated with the lambert-beer law (see equation S1). Therefore, the  $O_3$  stock solution was diluted 1:6 (2.5 mL ultrapure water and 0.5 mL  $O_3$  stock solution) and was measured five times. In case the standard deviation of these measurements was > 5% the concentration of ozone in the stock solution may not be saturated and measurement was repeated at a later time. Transfer of  $O_3$  stock solution was done by Hamilton syringes and the ozone gas was continuously bubbled into the stock solution to ensure that the ozone concentrations remains constant. The concentration of  $O_3$  stock solution was measured near-term before each dosage.

**Table S5:** Composition and  $CIO_2$  dosages of the investigated compounds for theoxidation experiments

Compound	Composition reaction solution	ClO <sub>2</sub> dosages [µM]
Vanillin and hydroquinone	<ul> <li>100 µM compound</li> <li>2 mM glycine</li> <li>5 mM phosphate buffer</li> <li>pH 7 ± 0.05</li> </ul>	0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200
Indigotrisulfonate	<ul> <li>86 µM compound</li> <li>2 mM glycine</li> <li>5 mM phosphate buffer</li> <li>pH 7 ± 0.05</li> </ul>	0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200
Dimedone	<ul> <li>100 µM compound</li> <li>2 mM glycine</li> <li>5 mM phosphate buffer</li> <li>pH 7 ± 0.05</li> </ul>	0, 50, 100, 150, 200
SRNOM and pre-ozonated SRNOM (40 $\mu$ M O <sub>3</sub> )	<ul> <li>5 mg DOC L<sup>-1</sup> SRNOM</li> <li>0.8 mM glycine (added after ozonation)</li> <li>5 mM phosphate buffer</li> <li>pH 7 ± 0.05</li> </ul>	0, 15, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80
Pre-ozonated SRNOM (80 μM O <sub>3</sub> )	<ul> <li>5 mg DOC L<sup>-1</sup> SRNOM</li> <li>0.8 mM glycine (added after ozonation)</li> <li>5 mM phosphate buffer</li> <li>pH 7 ± 0.05</li> </ul>	0, 15, 30, 40, 50, 60



Figure S2: Workflow of the oxidation experiments

Table	S6:	Reaction	time of	<sup>:</sup> the ir	nvestigated	com	pounds	with	
IGNIC	<b>UU</b> .	1 COUCION			nooligatoa	00111	poundo	<b>VVICII</b>	0.02

Compound	Reaction time with CIO <sub>2</sub>
SRNOM and pre-ozonated	90 min (15 $\mu M$ ClO_2), 130 min (30 $\mu M$ ClO_2), 170 min (40 $\mu M$
SRNOM (40 µM O <sub>3</sub> )	ClO_2), 210 min (50 $\mu M$ ClO_2), 250 min (60 $\mu M$ ClO_2), 290 min
	(70 $\mu M$ ClO_2) and 330 min (80 $\mu M$ ClO_2), reaction time is
	composed of 90 min plus the wait-time of the sample in the
	autosampler
Pre-ozonated SRNOM (80 $\mu$ M O <sub>3</sub> )	After 180 min the reaction was stopped by adding
	indigotrisulfonate
Vanillin, indigotrisulfonate and	at least 30 min
hydroquinone, dimedone	

**Table S7:** Limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantification (LOQ) of themeasured compounds of the used methods

Compound	LOD [µM]	LOQ [µM]	Injection
			volume
CI	0.50	1.47	100 µL
CIO <sub>2</sub> -	0.54	1.59	100 µL
CIO <sub>3</sub> -	1.07	2.89	100 µL
N-Chloroglycine (FAC)	1.98	5.48	100 µL
Vanillin	1.85	5.33	75µL
Hydroquinone	0.16	0.49	75µL
Indigotrisulfonate	1.40	4.09	3 mL in 1 cm cuvette

**Table S8**: Concentrations of the recovery experiments *N*-chloroglycine (FAC) for the oxidation of vanillin or dimedone (100  $\mu$ M) with ClO<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7 ± 0.05, reaction time 30 min, room temperature

Compound	[CIO <sub>2</sub> ] [µM]	[Glycine] [mM]	[HOCI] [µM]	[Cl-gly] [µM]
	0	2	100	0
	0	20	100	0
	0	2	100	100
Vanillin	50	2	100	0
	50	20	0	0
	50	50 20 100		0
	50	2	0	100
	50	2	100	100
	0	0	0	100
	50	0	0	0
Dimedone	100	0	0	0
	150	0	0	0
	200	0	0	0

#### **Text S3: Depletion experiments**

Before the ClO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> was dosed, the dispenser was rinsed three times with the SRNOM solution and two reference sample (t = 0 s, before addition of 80  $\mu$ M ClO<sub>2</sub>, 40  $\mu$ M or 80  $\mu$ M O<sub>3</sub>) were taken. Then ClO<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>3</sub> was dosed and the solution was mixed for 10 seconds and following a predefined time protocol samples were taken by adding 10 mL of the sample into reaction tubes prefilled with small amounts (i.e.  $\leq$  1 mL) of indigotrisulfonate working solution (c<sub>indigo</sub> (sample + indigotrisulfonate)) = 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M for ClO<sub>2</sub> depletion and 8.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M and for O<sub>3</sub> depletion). The remaining indigotrisulfonate concentration was calculated by the lambert-beer-law using absorbance at 600 nm ( $\epsilon_{600 \text{ nm, indigotrisulfonate}} = 20000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>1</sup>. For the ClO<sub>2</sub> depletion the extinction factor was divided by factor 2 (= 10000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) because 2 mol ClO<sub>2</sub> are required to deplete one mol indigotrisulfonate. The amount of indigo dye bleaching was determined by subtracting oxidant containing samples from the average of the references (no oxidant).

**Table S9**: Composition of the indigotrisulfonate working solutions for the depletion of  $CIO_2$  and  $O_3$ 

Measured oxidant	Composition of indigo working solution				
CIO <sub>2</sub>	1 mM indigotrisulfonate				
	11 mM glycine				
	5 mM phosphate buffer				
	pH 7				
<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	1.25 mM indigotrisulfonate				
	65 mM H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>				
	pH < 4				

### **Results and Discussion**



**Figure S3:** Chromatograms of 25  $\mu$ M p-benzoquinone (5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 ± 0.05) (black), 60  $\mu$ M hydroquinone (5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 ± 0.05) (red), 100  $\mu$ M hydroquinone (2 mM glycine and 5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 ± 0.05) (green), 100  $\mu$ M hydroquinone oxidised with 100  $\mu$ M CIO2 (2 mM glycine and 5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 ± 0.05) (blue) measured with HPLC-UV at wavelength 288 nm (99%/1% methanol/water pH 2 flow 0.5 mL/min)



**Figure S4:** Depletion of ClO<sub>2</sub> (80  $\mu$ M) in 5 mg DOC L<sup>-1</sup>, 5 mM phosphate buffer and 0.8 mM glycine pH 7 ± 0.05 (no pre-ozonation (grey), 40  $\mu$ M pre-ozonated (red) and 80  $\mu$ M pre-ozonated (blue)), depletion was measured with the indigo method

**Table S10:** Reference values of yields of inorganic chlorine by-products per  $CIO_2$ consumed for the reaction with  $CIO_2$  with selected compounds

Compound	Yields per CIO <sub>2</sub> consumed	Conditions	Reference
Phenol	<ul> <li>60% CIO<sub>2</sub>-</li> <li>40% HOCI</li> </ul>	1 mM Compound pH 7 5 mM phosphate buffer 10 mM bromide	Terhalle et al. <sup>7</sup>
Vanillic acid	• 50% CIO <sub>2</sub> -	100 µM compound pH 7 2 mM phosphate buffer	Con et al <sup>10</sup>
Hydroquinone	<ul> <li>80% ClO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup></li> </ul>	scavenging of HOCI after reaction	Gan et al."
Vanillyl alcohol	<ul> <li>5% ClO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup></li> <li>20% Cl<sup>-</sup></li> <li>70% ClO<sup>-</sup></li> </ul>	Pulp bleaching conditions	Ni et al. <sup>11</sup>
SRNOM	<ul> <li>71% - 82% CIO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup></li> <li>22% - 25% FAC</li> <li>1% - 15% CIO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup></li> </ul>	2 mgC L <sup>-1</sup> SRNOM pH 6.5 – 8.1 10 mM phosphate buffer	Rouge et al. <sup>12</sup>



**Figure S5:** UV-Vis spectra of SRNOM (5 mg DOC L<sup>-1</sup>) with 5 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7 oxidized with different ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) concentrations (0  $\mu$ M, 40  $\mu$ M and 80  $\mu$ M) (reaction time 30 min)

### References

- 1. Hoigné, J.; Bader, H., Bestimmung von Ozon und Chlordioxid in Wasser mit der Indigomethode. *vom Wasser* **1980**, *55*, 261.
- Pattison, D. I.; Davies, M. J., Absolute rate constants for the reaction of hypochlorous acid with protein side chains and peptide bonds. *Chemical research in toxicology* 2001, *14* (10), 1453-1464.
- 3. Hoigné, J.; Bader, H., Kinetics of reactions of chlorine dioxide (OCIO) in water-I. Rate constants for inorganic and organic compounds. *Water Research* **1994**, *28* (1), 45-55.
- Wajon, J. E.; Rosenblatt, D. H.; Burrows, E. P., Oxidation of Phenol and Hydroquinone by Chlorine Dioxide. *Environmental Science and Technology* **1982**, *16* (7), 396-402.
- 5. Criquet, J.; Rodriguez, E. M.; Allard, S.; Wellauer, S.; Salhi, E.; Joll, C. A.; Von Gunten, U., Reaction of bromine and chlorine with phenolic compounds and natural organic matter extracts–Electrophilic aromatic substitution and oxidation. *Water research* **2015**, *85*, 476-486.
- 6. Gates, D. J., *Chlorine dioxide handbook*. AWWA: **1998**.
- Terhalle, J.; Kaiser, P.; Jütte, M.; Buss, J.; Yasar, S.; Marks, R.; Uhlmann, H.; Schmidt, T. C.; Lutze, H. V., Chlorine Dioxide - Pollutant Transformation and Formation of Hypochlorous Acid as a Secondary Oxidant. *Environmental Science and Technology* 2018, 52 (17), 9964-9971.
- Peintler, G.; Nagypal, I.; Epstein, I. R., Systematic design of chemical oscillators. 60.
   Kinetics and mechanism of the reaction between chlorite ion and hypochlorous acid.
   *Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1990**, *94* (7), 2954-2958.
- 9. Gates, D. J.; Ziglio, G.; Ozekin, K., *State of the science of chlorine dioxide in drinking water*. Water Research Foundation/Fondazione AMGA: 2009.
- Gan, W.; Huang, S.; Ge, Y.; Bond, T.; Westerhoff, P.; Zhai, J.; Yang, X., Chlorite formation during ClO<sub>2</sub> oxidation of model compounds having various functional groups and humic substances. *Water research* **2019**, *159*, 348-357.

- 11. Ni, Y.; Shen, X.; Van Heiningen, A., Studies on the reactions of phenolic and nonphenolic lignin model compounds with chlorine dioxide. *Journal of wood chemistry and technology* **1994**, *14* (2), 243-262.
- Rougé, V.; Allard, S.; Croue, J.-P.; von Gunten, U., *In-situ* formation of free chlorine during ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment: Implications on the formation of disinfection by-products. *Environmental science & technology* **2018**, *52*, 13421-13429.