

Supplementary Information (SI)

Encapsulation Technology to Improve Biological Resource Recovery: Recent Advancements and Research Opportunities

Siming Chen*†, William A. Arnold*, and Paige J. Novak*†

* Department of Civil, Environmental, and Geo- Engineering, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities,
500 Pillsbury Drive S.E. Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States

† Corresponding authors (Paige J. Novak, Siming Chen)

Phone: +1 612.626.9846

Email: novak010@umn.edu & chen6870@umn.edu

SI Table

Table SI1. Tabulated references of resource recovery by encapsulated bacteria.

Microbial community/culture	Host Matrix	Aqueous waste streams treated	Resource recovered	Study ¹
Anaerobic sludge	Cellulose triacetate	Low strength synthetic wastewater (COD: 400 mg L ⁻¹)	1.64 ± 0.05, 1.58 ± 0.07, 0.96 ± 0.07 L CH ₄ d ⁻¹ at 35, 25 and 15°C (HRT @ 16 hr)	[10]
Photosynthetic bacteria	Modified glass fiber spheres coated with poly(vinylidene) fluoride and polysulfone	High salinity organic wastewater (COD: 3056-3945 mg L ⁻¹ , salinity: 40 - 80 g NaCl L ⁻¹)	5.43 mL H ₂ h ⁻¹ L ⁻¹	[11]
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	Ca-alginate	Sludge from wastewater treatment plant (total COD: 10,100 mg L ⁻¹ , soluble COD: 110 mg L ⁻¹)	0.055 g CH ₄ g ⁻¹ sludge COD	[12]
<i>Rhodopseudomonas palustris</i> CGA009	Cellulose-based paper	Acetate (20 mM sodium acetate)	4.0 ± 0.28 mmol H ₂ m ⁻² h ⁻¹	[23]
Hydrogen-producing bacteria cultured from anaerobic sludge	Ca-alginate+chitosan+activated carbon	Pretreated red algae hydrolysate (16.6 g sugar L ⁻¹)	2.7 L H ₂ L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹ (HRT @ 24 hr)	[24]
Hydrogen-producing bacteria cultured from anaerobic sludge	Ca-alginate	Potato waste (10-40 g L ⁻¹)	298.11 mL H ₂ g ⁻¹ total volatile solids	[25]

Pretreated activated sludge	Ca-alginate	Low-strength wastewater from beverage factory (2.9 ± 2.0 g COD L ⁻¹)	3.20 ± 0.7 and 115.00 ± 42 mL H ₂ L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹ (for HRT @ 4 hr and 2 hr, respectively)	[26]
Genetically modified <i>Escherichia coli</i>	Polyethylene glycol diacrylate hydrogel	Neodymium, gadolinium, NdFeB Magnet waste leachate (Nd, Pr, Dy)	~ 2.64 mg Nd g ⁻¹ microbe bead dw ²	[27]
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Ca-alginate with or without chitosan; Protanal LF 10/60 with or without chitosan	fermentative medium containing containing 15% dextrose	>90% g ethanol g ⁻¹ substrate ³	[28]
Electroactive bacteria cultured from microbial fuel cell effluent	Polydopamine	Acetate (1 g L ⁻¹)	0.20 ± 0.05 Amp m ⁻²	[29]
Anaerobic sludge	Polyvinyl alcohol	Medium strength synthetic wastewater (soluble COD: 565 ± 11 mg L ⁻¹)	0.28 ± 0.03 L CH ₄ d ⁻¹ (HRT @ 24 hr)	[30]
Protease-secreting bacteria cultured from waste activated sludge	Ca-alginate	Sludge (TCOD: $10,000 \pm 250$ mg L ⁻¹ , VSS: 6300 ± 200 mg L ⁻¹)	135.9 mL CH ₄ g ⁻¹ VS	[31]
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	Ca-alginate	Sludge from wastewater treatment plant	235.8 mL CH ₄ g ⁻¹ VS	[32]
Cellulase and β -glucosidase	Poly(methacrylamide-co-acrylic acid)	Cellulose	89.1% mg glucose mg ⁻¹ cellulose ⁴	[33]
<i>Clostridium pasteurianum</i> CH5	Alginate+ κ -carrageenan+polyvinyl alcohol, supplemented with nano-metal (Fe, Ni) at 100-400 mg L ⁻¹	Glucose (5 g L ⁻¹)	$0.97, 1.18, 1.22$ and 1.28 mol H ₂ mol ⁻¹ glucose; $1.09, 1.05, 1.19$ and 1.19 H ₂ mol ⁻¹ glucose ⁵	[34]

Anaerobic sludge	κ -carrageenan+gelatin	Sucrose (COD: 15 g L ⁻¹)	64.6 mL H ₂ L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹ and 395 mL CH ₄ L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹	[36]
Anaerobic sludge	Polyvinyl alcohol	Lignocellulosic waste (filtered COD: 18.1 ± 0.2 to 83.6 ± 0.2 g L ⁻¹)	337.8 ± 14.2 mL CH ₄ g ⁻¹ COD ⁶	[37]
<i>Micrococcus luteus</i>	Ca-alginate; polyvinylpyrrolidone & poly(vinylidene fluoride)-co- hexafluoropropylene	CuSO ₄ solution (10 mM)	97% & 95% of copper input ⁷	[38]
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	Ca-alginate	Fe(II), Mn(II), and Zn(II)	129.43, 115.90 and 105.29 mg g ⁻¹ ; 25.76, 21.76 and 18.74 mg g ⁻¹ ⁸ for Fe(II), Mn(II), and Zn(II) input, respectively	[39]
<i>Thamnidium elegans</i>	p(3-Methoxypropyl)acrylamide + 2-Acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propane sulfonic acid	Cd(II)	31.80 ± 0.20 mg Cd(II) g ⁻¹ ⁹	[40]
<i>Lysinibacillus sphaericus</i> CBAM5	Ca-alginate	HAuCl ₄ ·3H ₂ O (60 mg L ⁻¹), a final volume of 15 mL	97% of Au(III) input ¹⁰	[41]
<i>Synechococcus sp.</i> PCC7002	Polysilicic acid+polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride+polystyrene sulfonate	Cu ²⁺ , Pb ²⁺ (10 ppm)	70% and 90% of Cu ²⁺ , Pb ²⁺ input after 1200 mins, respectively	[42]
Anaerobic sludge	Polyvinyl alcohol	Synthetic wastewater (soluble COD: 540±17 mg L ⁻¹)	0.06 ± 0.01 and 0.07 ± 0.0004 L CH ₄ g ⁻¹ soluble COD removal; 1.79 & 1.85 L H ₂ O m ⁻² membrane area h ⁻¹ ¹¹	[50]

Hydrogen-producing bacteria cultured from anaerobic sludge	Ca-alginate+chitosan+SiO ₂	Galactose (20-40 g L ⁻¹)	9.57 L H ₂ L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹	[51]
Hydrogen-producing bacteria cultured from anaerobic sludge	Ca-alginate+chitosan+SiO ₂	Galactose (15 g L ⁻¹)	26.3±9.7 L H ₂ L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹	[54]
Hydrogen-producing bacteria cultured from anaerobic sludge	Sodium alginate+activated carbon+chitosan+silicon dioxide	Deoiled jatropha waste (biomass: 100 g L ⁻¹ ; total COD: 11866 ± 1006 mg L ⁻¹)	785 ± 100 mL H ₂ L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹ (HRT @ 16 hr)	[55]
Hydrogen-producing bacteria cultured from anaerobic sludge	Ca-alginate	Brewery wastewater (COD: ~ 14 g L ⁻¹)	240 mL H ₂ d ⁻¹ (HRT @45 min)	[56]
<i>Zymomonas mobilis</i> strain ZM4 (ATCC 31821)	Alginate supplemented with polyethylene oxide	Glucose (100 g L ⁻¹)	74.7% and 91% of maximum ethanol yield ¹²	[57]
Hydrogen-producing bacteria cultured from anaerobic sludge	Ca-alginate	Ground potato waste (39.56 g L ⁻¹)	292.8 mL H ₂ g ⁻¹ total volatile solids (a cumulative 4986 mL H ₂)	[58]
Anaerobic sludge	Hydrophilic poly(vinylidene fluoride) membrane sachets	Citrus waste containing D-limonene (COD: 31.3 g L ⁻¹)	90 mL CH ₄ at an organic loading rate of 3.6 g COD L ⁻¹	[60]
<i>Pogostemon cablin</i>	Hydrophilic poly(vinylidene fluoride) membrane sachets	Ground Patchouli oil distillery waste (0.3 g VS)	291 mL CH ₄ g ⁻¹ VS	[61]
<i>Providencia vermicola</i>	Ca-alginate	Pd(II) solution (100 mg L ⁻¹)	84.32% of Pd(II) input ¹³	[66]
<i>Salinivibrio sp.</i>	Ca-alginate	Acetate (2 g L ⁻¹)	(12± 4) mW m ⁻² ;	[67]

$$120 \pm 30 \text{ mAmp}$$
$$\text{m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$$

Note:

1. Reference numbers are as listed in the main paper.
2. The adsorption is low in the microbe beads since 87% of bead weight is non-adsorptive material
3. Ethanol yield, a percentage of the theoretical maximum yield for the grams of ethanol produced from the grams of dextrose consumed after 12 hours
4. Yield of glucose from hydrolysis of cellulose
5. Hydrogen improvement between encapsulated and free bacteria; with nano-nickel addition (100, 200, 300 and 400 mg L⁻¹); with nano-iron addition (50, 100, 200, and 400 mg L⁻¹)
6. Six kinds of lignocellulosic waste at varying concentration were evaluated. The data shown here is Hydrolysate of Jerusalem artichoke stems at $3.0 \pm 0.14 \text{ g COD L}^{-1}$
7. Percentage of copper depleted from supernatant of 10 mM CuSO₄ by electrospun and sodium alginate fiber with or without bacteria

- 8.** Amount of metals adsorbed per gram of biosorbent at equilibrium
- 9.** pH changed from 3 to 2; mass of Cd(II) per mass of sorbent at equilibrium
- 10.** Gold ions Au(III) captured by the cells in the alginate sphere
- 11.** Methane production and permeate flux with NaCl and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ as draw solutions in anaerobic forward osmosis membrane process
- 12.** Ethanol yield from substrate normalized by the theoretical maximum ethanol production, horizontal and vertical flow direction
- 13.** Percentage of Pd(II) removed from the aqueous solution
- 14.** Power density