Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

Electronic supplementary information (ESI)

To shift, or not to shift: adequate selection of an internal standard in mass-shift

approaches using tandem ICP-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS/MS)

Eduardo Bolea-Fernandez^a, Ana Rua-Ibarz^a, Martín Resano^b, Frank Vanhaecke^{a*}

^aGhent University, Department of Chemistry, Atomic & Mass Spectrometry – A&MS research group,

Campus Sterre, Krijgslaan 281-S12, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

^bUniversity of Zaragoza, Aragón Institute of Engineering Research (I3A), Department of Analytical Chemistry, Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

*Corresponding author: Frank.Vanhaecke@UGent.be – Tel:+3292644848

Table of contents:

- 1. Figure S1. Evaluation of the short-term signal drift for atomic ions monitored on-mass that react with NH₃/He (green up-pointing triangles), atomic ions monitored on-mass that do not react (or showed limited reactivity) with NH₃/He (grey down-pointing triangles), reaction product ions (mass-shift red diamonds), and atomic ions monitored on-mass in vented mode (blue circles). In this experiment, the instrument was not previously stabilized with the NH₃/He gas mixture. Uncertainties are expressed as the standard deviation of 8, 5,12 and 13 relative signal intensities for atomic ions monitored on-mass that react with NH₃/He, atomic ions monitored on-mass that do not react with NH₃/He, reaction product ions, and atomic ions monitored in vented mode, respectively.
- 2. Figure S2. Short-term signal stability/drift for atomic ions and their corresponding optimum reaction product ions at 1.0 mL min⁻¹ of NH₃/He (A) and at 3.0 mL min⁻¹ of NH₃/He (B) for Sc, Ti, Ge, Y and Ce. In this experiment, the instrument was not previously stabilized with the NH₃/He gas mixture.

- 3. Figure S3. Evaluation of the long-term signal drift for atomic ions monitored on-mass (black squares) in NH₃/He mode, reaction product ions (mass-shift approach red diamonds) and atomic ions monitored in vented mode (blue circles) using ICP-MS/MS. In this experiment, the instrument was drift-stabilized by running it for 4 hours with the cell pressurized with NH₃/He (3.0 mL min⁻¹) prior to signal monitoring. Uncertainties are expressed as the standard deviation of 13 and 12 relative signal intensities for atomic (both pressurized and vented modes) and reaction product ions, respectively.
- 4. Figure S4. Relative intensities for all nuclides measured as atomic or reaction product ion under different instrument settings. The relative intensities were normalized relative to those obtained under optimum conditions (see Table 1). Uncertainties are expressed as the standard deviation of 5 measurement replicates.
- **5.** Figure S5. Scree plot of coefficients obtained after hierarchical cluster analysis by stage (the red dotted line represents the stop of the cluster analysis).
- **6.** Table S1. Best suited reaction product ion under compromise conditions for multi-element determination (3.0 mL min⁻¹ of NH₃/He) and the reaction product ion providing the highest signal intensity and the corresponding gas flow rate for all of the target elements.
- Table S2. ICP-MS/MS data acquisition parameters for a multi-tune method (no gas, 3.0 mL min⁻¹ NH₃/He).
- Table S3. ICP-MS/MS data acquisition parameters for a multi-tune method (1.0, 3.0 and 5.0 mL min⁻¹ NH₃/He).



Figure S1. Evaluation of the long-term signal drift for atomic ions monitored on-mass (black squares) in NH₃/He mode, reaction product ions (mass-shift approach – red diamonds) and atomic ions monitored in vented mode (blue circles) using ICP-MS/MS. In this experiment, the instrument was drift-stabilized by running it for 4 hours with the cell pressurized with NH₃/He (3.0 mL min⁻¹) prior to signal monitoring. Uncertainties are expressed as the standard deviation of 13 and 12 relative signal intensities for atomic (both pressurized and vented modes) and reaction product ions, respectively.



Figure S2. Short-term signal stability/drift for atomic ions and their corresponding optimum reaction product ions at 1.0 mL min⁻¹ of NH_3 /He (A) and at 3.0 mL min⁻¹ of NH_3 /He (B) for Sc, Ti, Ge, Y and Ce. In this experiment, the instrument was not previously stabilized with the NH_3 /He gas mixture.



Figure S3. Evaluation of the long-term signal drift for atomic ions monitored on-mass (black squares) in NH₃/He mode, reaction product ions (mass-shift approach – red diamonds) and atomic ions monitored in vented mode (blue circles) using ICP-MS/MS. In this experiment, the instrument was drift-stabilized by running it for 4 hours with the cell pressurized with NH₃/He (3.0 mL min⁻¹) prior to signal monitoring. Uncertainties are expressed as the standard deviation of 13 and 12 relative signal intensities for atomic (both pressurized and vented modes) and reaction product ions, respectively.



Figure S4. Relative intensities for all nuclides measured as atomic or reaction product ion under different instrument settings. The relative intensities were normalized relative to those obtained under optimum conditions (see Table 1). Uncertainties are expressed as the standard deviation of 5 measurement replicates.



Figure S5. Scree plot of coefficients obtained after hierarchical cluster analysis by stage (the red dotted line represents the stop of the cluster analysis).

Table S1. Best suited reaction product ion under compromise conditions for multi-element determination (3.0 mL min⁻¹ of NH_3/He) and the reaction product ion providing the highest signal intensity and the corresponding gas flow rate for all of the target elements.

Nuclide	Gas flow rate	Q2	Reaction product	Intensity
	(mL min ⁻¹)		ion	(cps L µg⁻¹)
²⁴ Mg	3.0	75	Mg(NH ₃) ₃ ⁺	1000
⁴⁵ Sc	1.0	120	Sc(NH ₃)₅⁺	25000
	3.0	150		12000
⁴⁸ Ti	1.0	63	TiNH ⁺	29000
	3.0	150	Ti(NH ₃) ₆ +	19000
⁵⁶ Fe	3.0	90	Fe(NH ₃) ₂ +	38000
⁵⁹ Co	2.0	93	Co(NH ₃) ₂ +	47000
	3.0			36000
⁶⁵ Cu	3.0	99	Cu(NH ₃) ₂ +	38000
⁶⁶ Zn	3.0	100	Zn(NH ₃) ₂ +	1800
⁷⁴ Ge	1.0	90	GeNH ₂ ⁺	9100
	3.0	125	Ge(NH ₃) ₃ ⁺	7000
⁸⁹ Ү	1.0	104	YNH ⁺	58000
	3.0	191	Y(NH ₃) ₆ +	43000
¹⁰³ Rh	4.0	171	Rh(NH₃)₄⁺	67000
	3.0			63000
¹⁰⁷ Ag	4.0	141	Ag(NH ₃) ₂ +	9700
	3.0			7500
¹⁴⁰ Ce	1.0	155	CeNH ⁺	34000
	3.0	225	$Ce(NH_3)_5^+$	17000

_	N	o gas	3.0 mL min ⁻¹ NH₃/He			
Element	Q1 → Q2	Int. time/mass (s)	Q1 → Q	Int. time/mass (s)		
Mg			24 → 7	5 1		
Sc			45 → 13	0 1		
Ti			48 → 15	0 1		
Fe			56 → 90) 1		
Со			59 → 59	9 1		
Со			59 → 93	3 1		
Cu			65 → 65	5 1		
Cu			65 → 99	9 1		
Zn			$66 \rightarrow 60$	5 1		
Zn			66 → 10	0 1		
Ga			71 → 72	1 1		
Ge			74 → 74	4 1		
Ge			74 → 12	5 1		
Y			89 → 89	9 1		
Y			89 → 19	1 1		
Rh			103 → 10	03 1		
Rh			103 →17	/1 1		
Ag			107 → 10	07 1		
Ag			107 → 14	41 1		
Cd			111 → 1	11 1		
In			115 → 12	15 1		
Cs			133 → 13	33 1		
Ce			140 → 14	40 1		
Ce			140 → 22	25 1		
TI			$205 \rightarrow 20$	05 1		
U	235 → 235	30				
Stabilization	0	1	0	30		
time (s)	0		10			
Replicates	5		5	5		
Total analysis time/sample (s)	378	3	98	438		

Table S2. ICP-MS/MS data acquisition parameters for a multi-tune method (no gas, 3.0 mL min⁻¹ NH₃/He).

	1.0 mL min ⁻¹ NH ₃ /He		3.0 mL min ⁻¹ NH₃/He		5.0 mL min ⁻¹ NH ₃ /He	
Element	Q1 → Q2	Int.time/mass (s)	Q1 → Q2	Int.time/mass (s)	Q1 → Q2	Int.time/mass (s)
Mg			24 → 75	1		
Sc			45 → 130	1		
Ti			48 → 150	1		
Fe			56 → 90	1		
Со			59 → 59	1		
Со			59 → 93	1		
Cu			65 → 65	1		
Cu			65 → 99	1		
Zn			66 → 66	1		
Zn			66 → 100	1		
Ga			71 → 71	1		
Ge			74 → 74	1		
Ge			74 → 125	1		
Y			89→89	1		
Y			89→191	1		
Rh			103→103	1		
Rh			103→171	1		
Ag			107→107	1		
Ag			107→141	1		
Cd			111→111	1		
In			115 → 115	1		
Cs			133 → 133	1		
Ce			140→140	1		
Ce			140 → 225	1		
TI			205→205	1		
U	235 → 235	30			235→235	30
Stabilization time (s)	0		10		30	
Replicates	5		5		5	
Total analysis time/sample (s)	539		569		629	

Table S3. ICP-MS/MS data acquisition parameters for a multi-tune method (1.0, 3.0 and 5.0 mL min⁻¹ NH_3/He).