# **Electronic supplementary Information (ESI)**

## M13 Bacteriophage-templated Gold Nanowires as Stretchable Electrodes in Perovskite Solar Cells

Jiye Han,<sup>‡a,b</sup> Jeong-Seok Nam,<sup>‡b</sup> Kyusun Kim,<sup>b</sup> Eun Jung Choi,<sup>c</sup> Jong-Min Lee,<sup>c</sup> Shigeo

Maruyama,<sup>d,e</sup> Il Jeon,<sup>\*b,d</sup> Jin-Woo Oh<sup>\*a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Nano Fusion Technology, Pusan National University, 2, Busandaehak-ro 63beon-gil, Geumjeong-gu, Busan 46241, Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry Education, Graduate School of Chemical Materials, Institute for Plastic Information and Energy Materials, Pusan National University, 63-2 Busandaehak-ro, Busan 46241, South Korea

<sup>c</sup>Bio-IT Fusion Technology Research Institute, Pusan National University, 63-2 Busandaehak-ro, Busan 46241, South Korea

<sup>d</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

<sup>e</sup>Energy NanoEngineering Lab., National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba 305-8564, Japan

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### \*Corresponding Authors

\*il.jeon@spc.oxon.org; \*ojw@pusan.ac.kr



**Fig. S1.** Pictures showing the wild-type M13 bacteriophage with Ag particles under different concentrations and reducing agents. The resulting electrodes show low transparency.

### AgNO<sub>3</sub> + DMAB + M13 bacteriophage



Fig. S2. Bio-TEM images of the mixture of AgNO<sub>3</sub>, DMAB, and M13 bacteriophage.

DMAB					NaBH₄			
	0.1M HAuCl₄	0.5M HAuCl₄	1M HAuCl₄		0.1M HAuCl₄	0.5M HAuCl₄	1M HAuCl <sub>4</sub>	
Virus conc. (v/v %) 0	too high	too high	too high	Virus conc. (v/v %) 0	too high	too high	too high	
Virus conc. (v/v %) 0.02	too high	374 Ω sq1	437 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	Virus conc. (v/v %) 0.02	too high	77200 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	too high	
Virus conc. (v/v %) 0.04	1270 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	300 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	469 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	Virus conc. (v/v %) 0.04	900000 Ω sq1	30500 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	31700 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	
Virus conc. (v/v %) 0.08	1420 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	682 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	592 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	Virus conc. (v/v %) 0.08	too high	87700 Ω sq. <sup>-1</sup>	too high	

Fig. S3. Sheet resistance values of virus-templated Au nanowire electrodes under different concentrations and reducing agents.



**Fig. S4**. Bio-TEM images showing change according to the type of reducing agent: a) DMAB and b) NaBH<sub>4</sub>.



Fig. S5. Bio-TEM images of change with M13 virus conc.: a) 0 v/v %, b) 1 v/v %, and c) 5 v/v %.



**Fig. S6**. Bio-TEM images of change with HAuCl<sub>4</sub> conc.: a) 0.2 mol  $L^{-1}$ , b) 0.5 mol  $L^{-1}$ , and c) 0.7 mol  $L^{-1}$ .



Fig. S7. Bio-TEM images of Au nanowire made using NaBH<sub>4</sub>. It has impurities with different shapes, mostly cubic.



**Fig. S8**. Reported XRD spectrum of Au. Reproduced with permission.<sup>S1</sup> Copyright 2014, SpringerOpen.



**Fig. S9**. Reported XRD spectrum of NaCl. Reproduced with permission.<sup>S2</sup> Copyright 2017, Royal Society of Chemistry.



**Fig. S10**. Reported XRD spectrum of NaBH<sub>4</sub>. Reproduced with permission.<sup>S3</sup> Copyright 2019, IOP Publishing.



**Fig. S11**. XPS measurements of a) Au4f in M13 + HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + DMAB (black), M13 + HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + NaBH<sub>4</sub> (red), HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + DMAB (blue) and HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + NaBH<sub>4</sub> (pink), b) Au4f in M13 (black), M13+ DMAB (red) and M13 + NaBH<sub>4</sub> (blue).



**Fig. S12**. XPS measurements of Cl2p in M13 + HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + DMAB (black), M13 + HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + NaBH<sub>4</sub> (red), HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + DMAB (blue), and HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + NaBH<sub>4</sub> (pink).



**Fig. S13**. XPS measurements of a) B1s in M13 + HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + DMAB (black), M13 + DMAB (blue), and M13 + DMAB (red), b) M13 + HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + NaBH<sub>4</sub> (black), HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + NaBH<sub>4</sub> (blue), and M13 + NaBH<sub>4</sub> (red).



**Fig. S14**. PYS measurement of a) M13 bacteriophage only and DMAB-added virus-templated Au nanowires, and b) Au plate.



Fig. S15. AFM images  $(2 \times 2 \mu m^2)$  of the PTAA-coated virus-templated Au nanowires on PDMS.



**Fig. S16**. Transmittance spectra of a) the virus-templated Au nanowire on glass and PDMS; and b) PDMS substrate only.

Year	Material Type	Deposition Technique	Transmittance (%)	Sheet resistance (Ω/sq)	Reference
2011	Au	N/A	83	49	S4
2011	Au	Lithography	83–95	30	S5
2013	Au	Self-assemble	80	70	S6
2013	Ag/CNT	Membrane filtering	82	4–24	S7
2015	Au	Dip-coating	70	50, > 40 MΩ	S8
2015	Ag/CNT/PEDOT:PSS	Membrane filtering	86	6.6	S9
2016	Au	Self-assemble	79	N/A	S10
2016	Au	Ink/nanoimprint	66	50	S11
2017	Ag	Spin-coating	~87	< 20	S12
2017	Au/Ag	Bar-coating	~80	10	S13
2018	Ag	Aerosol jet printing	72.3	57.68	S14
2019	Ag/ZnONP	Ultrasonic spray	85.5	2.48	S15
2019	Ag/LGO	Spin-coating	81.8	38.5	S16
2020	Ag/Graphene	Spin-coating	86.7	27	S17
2020	Au/M13	Spin-coating	76.0	158.1	This Work

 Table S1. Reported metal nanowire electrodes and their properties to date.



Fig. S17. Plot showing where the optical conductivity of our virus-templated Au nanowire electrode stands among the reported metal nanowire electrodes.



**Fig. S18**. *J*–*V* curves of the M13 Au nanowire-based PSCs made under different AA amounts for the optimisation.



Fig. S19. Incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) and integrated  $J_{SC}$  of the virus-templated Au nanowire-based PSCs.



**Fig. S20**. Time-evolution of UV-vis absorption spectra of perovskite films on a) ITO and b) M13 virus-templated Au nanowires (M13AuNW) in humid conditions (RH ~60%).



Fig. S21. PCEs of the reported metal nanowire transparent electrode-based PSCs.

#### **Supplementary Reference**

- S1) S. Krishnamurthy, A. Esterle, N. C. Sharma and S. V. Sahi, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2014, 9, 627.
- **S2**) Y. Qin, D. Yu and J. Zhou, *CrystEngComm*, 2017, **19**, 5356–5360.
- S3) D. Rohendi, N. Syarif and E. K. Wati, IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci., 2019, 248, 012008.
- S4) P. E. Lyons, S. De, J. Elias, M. Schamel, L. Philippe, A. T. Bellew, J. J. Boland and J. N. Coleman, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2011, 2, 3058–3062.
- S5) M. C. Rosamond, A. J. Gallant, J. J. Atherton, M. C. Petty, O. Kolosov and D. A. Zeze, in 2011 11th IEEE International Conference on Nanotechnology, IEEE, 2011, pp. 604–607.
- S6) A. Morag, V. Ezersky, N. Froumin, D. Mogiliansky and R. Jelinek, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 8552.
- S7) A. J. Stapleton, R. A. Afre, A. V. Ellis, J. G. Shapter, G. G. Andersson, J. S. Quinton and D. A. Lewis, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 14, 035004.
- S8) J. H. M. Maurer, L. González-García, B. Reiser, I. Kanelidis and T. Kraus, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 7838–7842.
- S9) A. J. Stapleton, S. D. Yambem, A. H. Johns, R. A. Afre, A. V. Ellis, J. G. Shapter, G. G. Andersson, J. S. Quinton, P. L. Burn, P. Meredith and D. A. Lewis, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 16, 025002.
- S10) S. Gong, Y. Zhao, Q. Shi, Y. Wang, L. W. Yap and W. Cheng, *Electroanalysis*, 2016, 28, 1298–1304.
- S11) J. H. M. Maurer, L. González-García, B. Reiser, I. Kanelidis and T. Kraus, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, 16, 2921–2925.
- S12) P. Kou, L. Yang, C. Chang and S. He, Sci. Rep., 2017, 7, 42052.
- S13) S. Kim, B. Kim, I. Im, D. Kim, H. Lee, J. Nam, H. Kyoon Chung, H.-J. Lee and S. Min Cho, *Nanotechnology*, 2017, 28, 345201.
- S14) L. Tu, S. Yuan, H. Zhang, P. Wang, X. Cui, J. Wang, Y.-Q. Zhan and L.-R. Zheng, J. Appl. Phys., 2018, 123, 174905.
- S15) D. Kumar, V. Stoichkov, E. Brousseau, G. C. Smith and J. Kettle, Nanoscale, 2019, 11, 5760–5769.
- S16) H. Chen, M. Li, X. Wen, Y. Yang, D. He, W. Choy and H. Lu, *Nanomaterials*, 2019, 9, 193.
  S17) H. Li, Y. Liu, A. Su, J. Wang and Y. Duan, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, 9, 17998.