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## Materials

4-hydroxy-TEMPO (Sigma Aldrich, 97\%), chloroacetic acid (Sigma Aldrich, 99\%), 4dimethylaminopyridine (Sigma Aldrich, 98\%), and 1-methylimidazole (Sigma Aldrich, 99\%), N,N’dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (ACROS organics, 99\%), ferric chloride hydrate (97\%), trimethylamine (Fisher, 1M solution), and pyridine (fisher) were all used without further purification. All solvents for chemical synthesis were purchased from Fisher, unless otherwise stated. DCM and THF were obtained by passing commercial grade solvent through a column of activated neutral alumina in a Dow-Grubbs solvent system from Pure Process Technology (Nashua, NH). Choline Chloride, ChCl, ((2-hydroxyethyl)trimethyl)trimethylammonium chloride) (Acros Organics, 99\%) was dried in a vacuum oven for over 12 hours at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before use. Ethylene glycol, EG, (Acros Organics, anhydrous, $99 \%$ ) was used without further purification. 4-hydroxy-TEMPO, 4HT, (Alfa Aesar, 98\%) was recrystallized using hexane at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TEMPO (Sigma Aldrich, $99 \%$ ), however, was not recrystallized because it can sublime in a vacuum. Each synthesized TEMPO derivate was dried in a vacuum oven for over 12 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Acetonitrile (Alfa Aesar, 99.8\%, 75-05-8) was used without further processing. Heat shrink tubing (NTE Electronics, 47-20306-CL) was used in preparation of reference and counter electrodes. An argon-filled glovebox (VTI Super, > 1 ppm water and oxygen) was used to handle all DES samples and DES constituents. Pt wire (Alfa Aesar, 0.3 mm dia., $99.9 \%$ ) for cyclic voltammetry.

## Instrumentation

Elemental analysis was used to confirm the purity of the synthesized TEMPO derivatives, as shown in the supporting information. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and electron spray ionization (ESI) was performed for compound 1, 2, and 3. Due to compounds 1, 2, and 3 being paramagnetic, phenylhydrazine was added to each NMR sample to obtain the spectra. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR were collected on Bruker Ascend III HD 500MHz NMR instrument equipped with prodigy probe and shifts are reported relative to residual solvent peak, as noted. All NMR spectra were collected using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as the solvent unless otherwise noted. FTIR spectra were acquired using an Aglient Cary 630 FT-IR in ATR mode. ESI spectra were obtained on THERMO Finniagn LCQ DECA ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with an external AP ESI ion source. All UV-Vis spectra was obtained on a Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR.

## Methods

Preparation of TEMPO-chloroacetate intermediate ${ }^{1,2}$


An oven-dried round bottom flask (RBF) was purged with vacuum and $N_{2}$. 4hydroxy TEMPO ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and chloroacetic acid ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added to the flask, followed by dichloromethane (DCM) ( 30 mL ). The flask was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an ice water bath under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. 4Dimethylaminopyrdine (DMAP, $0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 0.006 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodimide (DCC, 2.62 g , 0.025 mol ) were dissolved with $\operatorname{DCM}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution added dropwise. Once added, the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 5 hrs at 400rpm. Upon completion,
the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an ice water bath, the white precipitate was filtered with a Buchner funnel. The filtrate was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated NaCl ( 25 mL ) (chilling and filtering organic layer between each wash). The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and solvent removed under reduced pressure using the rotary evaporator. The product was purified through column chromatography, eluted with a 9:1 hexane: ethyl acetate. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the product dried under vacuum. Product was an orange solid, with a $77 \%$ yield ( 2.5 g ).

## Preparation of Compound 1



In an oven-dried RBF, TEMPO-chloroacetate intermediate (1.0 g, 0.004 mol) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 30 mL ). 1-methylimidazole was added slowly. The reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at 400 rpm for 48 hrs .

Reaction was monitored with thin layer chromatography (TLC). Upon completion, the reaction was cooled with an ice water bath and diethyl ether ( 30 mL ) was added. The precipitate was filtered and washed with acetone ( 40 mL ) and diethyl ether ( 40 mL ) successively. The product obtained was as red powder and dried under vacuum in $83 \%$ yield (1.1g).

## Preparation of Compound 2



In an oven-dried RBF, TEMPO-chloroacetate intermediate (0.79g, 0.0032 mol ) was dissolved in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 20 mL ). A solution of 1 M trimethylamine in THF ( $2.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.046 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added slowly. The reaction was stirred at room temperature at 400 rpm for 24 hrs under $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$.

Reaction was monitored with TLC. Once complete the reaction was cooled with an ice water bath and diethyl ether ( 30 mL ) was added. The precipitate was filtered and washed with acetone $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ successively. The product obtained was a red powder in $57 \%$ yield $(0.56 \mathrm{~g})$ and dried under vacuum.

## Preparation of Compound 3



In an oven-dried RBF TEMPO-chloroacetate intermediate (3.0g, 0.012 mol ) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 70 mL ). Pyridine ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added slowly. The reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at 400 rpm for 72 hrs . Reaction was monitored with TLC. Once complete the reaction was cooled with an ice water bath and ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The precipitate was filtered and washed with acetone $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diethyl ether ( 50 mL ) successively. The product obtained was a red powder in $49 \%$ yield (1.95g) and dried under vacuum.

## Preparation of ethaline and redox-active DESs

Ethaline was prepared by mixing 1:2 molar ratio of choline chloride (ChCl) to ethylene glycol (EG) stirring at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 300 rpm for 30 minutes in a 20 mL glass vial. In a typical ethaline preparation, approximately 10.12 g of ChCl was mixed with 9.03 g of EG to make a $1: 2$ molar ratio of ChCl to EG. The hot plate was turned off and the solution was cooled slowly to room temperature. In a typical redox-active DES preparation, 0.16 g of TEMPO was mixed with 7.79 g of ethaline to make a 0.15 M concentration of TEMPO in ethaline. Water contents of the ethaline stock solution and the redox-active DES solutions prepared from TEMPO, 4HT and derivatives 1, 2, $\mathbf{3}$ at
concentrations ranging from $0.25-1.2 \mathrm{M}$ were measured by a Karl Fischer titrator (Metrohm Coulometric KF 899 D) as shown in Table S1.

## Solubility measurements

Solubility limits of TEMPO and TEMPO derivatives in ethaline were determined by calibration curves obtained from UV-Vis (Figure S1). Five solutions of pre-determined concentration were made for each compound in DMSO. The UV-vis spectra were taken from 200-800nm. The calibration curves were plotted at a specific absorption wavelength for each compound. To make the concentrated samples, each TEMPO derivative was added to ethaline $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated on a hot plate. This process was repeated till solid remained present in solution. The saturated samples were cooled to room temperature and stood for at least one hour. A solution was made with DMSO and UV-vis obtained from $200-800 \mathrm{~nm}$. The solubility limit was obtained as in Table

## S1.

Density

Densities were measured with an oscillating U-tube density meter (Anton Paar DMA 4500) from $25-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an uncertainty of $\pm 0.0005 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. A linear line fit was performed to determine the temperature dependence of density according to (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=A+B T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ is the density at temperature $T(K)$ and $A$ and $B$ are fitting parameters.

## Viscosity

Viscosities were measured by a microchannel viscometer (MicroVISC, Rheosense) at a temperature range of $25-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Approximately $10-45 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of solution was injected into the microchannel with a flow rate between $10-450 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ for all measurements. At each temperature, the viscosity was measured in triplicate and the variability was observed to be $5 \%$. The temperature dependence of viscosity was obtained by the Vogel-Fulcher-Tamann (VFT) model shown in (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\eta_{o} \exp \left(\frac{B_{\eta}}{T-T_{o}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(c P)$ is the viscosity at temperature $T(K), \eta_{o}(c P)$ is the pre-exponential factor, $B_{\eta}(K)$ is activation temperature and $T_{0}(\mathrm{~K})$ is the ideal glass transition temperature.

## Ionic Conductivity

The ionic conductivities were measured by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using a potentiostat equipped with frequency response analyzer (BioLogic SP 240). A two-electrode cell (Biologic MCM-CC) with parallel, non-platinized Pt electrodes was used as for the conductivity measurements. A $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl}_{(\text {aq) }}$ solution was used to determine the conductivity cell constant (1.38 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). About $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sample was loaded into the conductivity cell inside an argon-filled glovebox. The voltage amplitude was set at 10 mV and the applied frequency range was 100 kHz to 100 Hz from $25-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For every sample, EIS was repeated three times. The bulk resistance of each sample was determined from the real intercept of Nyquist plot where the imaginary impedance is plotted with respect to the real part of the impedance (See Figure S6). The $x$ -
intercept of the fitted line was taken as $R_{b}$, the resistance of the bulk solution. The ionic conductivity was then calculated using (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{d}{R_{B} A}=\frac{\text { Cell Constant }}{R_{B}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{cm})$ is the distance between the two parallel Pt electrodes, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (ohm) is the bulk resistance of the solution and $A\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ is the area of the $P t$ electrode. The ratio $d / A$ constitutes the cell constant. The VFT model was used to express temperature dependence of conductivity shown in (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\sigma_{o} \exp \left(\frac{B_{\sigma}}{T-T_{o}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the conductivity $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ for temperature $T(K), \sigma_{0}(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ is the pre-exponential, $B_{\sigma}$ $(K)$ is the activation temperature and $T_{0}(K)$ is the ideal glass transition temperature obtained from the viscosity VFT fit.

## Electrochemical Characterization: Electrochemical Window and Diffusivity

Unless noted otherwise, cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed in a 3-electrode cell inside an Argon purged glovebox (VTI Super, 1> ppm water and oxygen) to determine the electrochemical windows (EW) and study the redox potentials and reversibility of DESs with TEMPO functionality. A glassy carbon disc electrode (BASi MF-2012, 3 mm dia, surface area $=0.0707 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) was used as the working electrode. Pt wire was used as the counter electrode, and $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ wire was the reference electrode. The $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ wire reference electrode was prepared using chronoamperometry as described in Shen et al. ${ }^{3}$, where the chloride containing solution for AgCl
deposition was ethaline. The step potential for AgCl deposition was +1.5 V versus a graphite rod.

With the exception of the microelectrode experiments, the electrodes were arranged in a T-cell configuration for CV (Figure S5). The fabricated $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode was first wrapped with Teflon tape and then isolated from the coiled Pt counter electrode around it with heat-shrink tubing. The Pt-wire counter electrode was wound around the first layer of heat-shrink tubing along the length of the $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ wire, and then coiled eight times near the tip of the exposed $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ wire. The counter and reference electrodes were then placed over the working electrode with approximately 0.5 cm distance between the reference and the working electrode. About $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of solution was injected into the liquid reservoir over the working electrode (created by heat-shrink tube) for each CV measurement. Before each measurement, the glassy carbon disk electrode was polished with $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ alumina suspension ( $\mathrm{BASi}, \mathrm{CF}-1050$ ) and ultrasonicated with deionized (DI) water. All CV measurements are iR-compensated (85\%). For the iR-compensation, EIS was performed with 100 kHz single-frequency and a voltage amplitude of 20 mV . EW was determined using a scan rate of $10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ from -2.5 V to +1.5 V vs. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl} .50$ cycles were performed to confirm redox reversibility within the potential region of interest that is identified from the initial EW measurements. The CV measurements for studying the redox reactions were started from open circuit potential and then scanned to the negative direction to -1.5 V and then scanned to the positive direction to +1.1 V vs. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$. CV was performed for 50 cycles between -1.5 V to +1.1 V vs. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$.

Diffusion coefficients of redox active solutes were measured using CV at a restricted potential range from +0.5 V to +1.1 V . Like the EW measurements, voltammetry measurements for measuring diffusion coefficient were started from open circuit potential and scanned to the positive direction that includes the redox potentials of TEMPO and derivatives in ethaline. Scan rates of $5,10,20,50,100$, and $200 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ were used for application of the Randles-Sevcik (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{p}=0.4463 n F A C\left(\frac{n F v D}{R T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ is the electro-active surface area of the working electrode $\left(A=0.0707 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right), C(m o l$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ) is the bulk concentration of the redox-active small molecule salt, $v\left(\mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is the scan rate, D $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is the diffusion coefficient of the redox active solute and $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-2}\right)$ is the peak current density. Figure S4 illustrates the application of the Randles-Sevcik equation in estimating the diffusion coefficients reported.

## Flow Cell Experiments

Flow cell testing was performed using an in house $2.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ flow cell design. The cell uses a flow through electrode configuration. Graphite current collectors were machined in-house from impervious graphite (Graphite Store). Morgan Advanced Materials WDF 3 mm thick felt compressed to 2.5 mm was used as the flow through electrode. The felts were heat treated at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours prior to use in the flow cell to improve their wettability. Polyvinyl-alcohol hydrogel coated Daramic 175 (200 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wetted thickness) was used as a porous separator. ${ }^{4}$ This separator was developed in house. The Daramic was soaked in 2-propanol for 10 minutes to
remove residual oil remaining after manufacture and then dried in air prior to use. Charging of the electrolyte was performed with a constant current of $20 \mathrm{~mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to ensure that at $0 \%$ SOC the cell overpotential was less than 200 mV . A constant electrolyte flow rate of 40 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ was used. A 20 ml sample takes approximately 1.3 hours to charge to $50 \%$ SOC under these conditions with a final overpotential of approximately 400 mV . All 'dry' flow cell experiments were performed in a nitrogen purged, dry glove box with an approximate dew point of $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The voltammetry of the positive and negative electrolytes following a flow cell experiments was performed on with $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter platinum microdisk purchased from BASi. A platinum mesh counter electrode was used. A chloridized silver wire placed directly into the electrolyte was used as reference for all three electrode experiments. Aqueous microelectrode voltammetry was performed using a similar working and counter electrode but with a commercial $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode purchased from BASi. Microelectrode CVs and flow cell experiments were performed with a Solartron 1280B Potentiostat.

For the microelectrode CVs, the following equation holds at sufficiently slow scan rates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{ss}}=4 n \mathrm{FDCr} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ is the steady state current determined from the voltammetry, $n$ is the number of electrons transferred, F is Faraday's constant, D is the diffusion coefficient of the active species, C is the bulk concentration of the active species and r is the radius of the micro-disk. For a viscous electrolyte such as used here, the diffusion coefficient of the reacting species is small enough
that true steady-state behavior is only observed at very slow scan rates, on the order of $0.5 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. However, at $5 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ as shown in Figure 6, the current observed on the reverse scans are steady and consistent with the micro-disk equation.


Figure S1. UV-Vis spectra of saturated solutions of TEMPO and its derivatives in in DMSO, calibration curves, and UV-Vis spectra of saturated solutions of TEMPO and its derivatives in ethaline for (a) TEMPO, (b) 4HT, (c) 1, (d) 2, and (e) 3.

Table S1. Structure, molecular weight (MW), solubility, melting point (Tm), and the decomposition temperature ( $\mathrm{T}_{0.05}$ loss: temperature corresponding to $5 \mathrm{w} \%$ mass loss during the Thermal Gravimetric Analysis) of the redox active compounds studied in ethaline. The prepared solution concentrations of the compounds in ethaline for physical property and electrochemical characterization are provided with their respective water content, measured by KF titrator.

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} \text { MW } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ | Solubility in ethaline ( $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{0.05} \text { loss } \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Solutions studied ( $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Water content (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEMPO | 156 | 0.17 | 36-38 | -- | 0.05 | 136.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 120.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.15 | 113.2 |
| 4HT | 172 | 1.93 | 69-71 | 155.5 | 0.5 | 178.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 148.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 74.0 |
|  | 330 | 0.94 | 209-212 | 244.5 | 0.25 | 210.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 141.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 117.5 |
|  | 307 | 1.35 | 165-174 | 199.1 | 0.5 | 274.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 260.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 387.2 |
|  | 327 | 0.85 | 200-204 | 224.8 | 0.25 | 77.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 105.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 114.8 |

Table S2. Linear fit parameters for the temperature dependence of the measured densities ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}>$ 0.999 for all solutions).

| Compound | $\rho=A+B T$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Concentration (M) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ \left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} \times 10^{-4} \\ \left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| TEMPO | 0.05 | 1.13029 | -5.675 |
|  | 0.10 | 1.12917 | -5.682 |
|  | 0.15 | 1.12790 | -5.688 |
| 4-hydroxyTEMPO | 0.5 | 1.12594 | -5.755 |
|  | 0.8 | 1.12273 | -5.808 |
|  | 1.2 | 1.11793 | -5.887 |
| 1 | 0.25 | 1.13520 | -5.762 |
|  | 0.50 | 1.13695 | -5.684 |
|  | 0.80 | 1.14371 | -5.767 |
| 2 | 0.50 | 1.13284 | -5.698 |
|  | 0.80 | 1.13213 | -5.658 |
|  | 1.2 | 1.13442 | -5.677 |
| 3 | 0.25 | 1.13510 | -5.696 |
|  | 0.50 | 1.13736 | -5.643 |
|  | 0.80 | 1.14321 | -5.692 |

Table S3. VFT fit parameters for temperature dependence of the measured viscosities ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}>0.998$ for all solutions).

|  | $\eta=\eta_{o} \exp \left(\frac{B_{\eta}}{\left(T-T_{o}\right)}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Concentration (M) | $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{o}(\mathrm{cP})$ | $B_{\eta}(\mathrm{K})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ (K) |
|  | 0.05 | 0.58 | -455.88 | 195.80 |
| TEMPO | 0.10 | 0.56 | -474.46 | 192.69 |
|  | 0.15 | 0.08 | -1075.37 | 134.81 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.25 | -688.30 | 171.84 |
| 4HT | 0.8 | 0.12 | -921.71 | 151.55 |
|  | 1.2 | 0.58 | -485.13 | 197.77 |
|  | 0.25 | 0.16 | --811.43 | 163.13 |
| 1 | 0.50 | 0.37 | -634.29 | 181.30 |
|  | 0.80 | 1.67 | -366.28 | 212.33 |
|  | 0.50 | 0.66 | -462.21 | 199.73 |
| 2 | 0.80 | 0.14 | -883.75 | 162.50 |
|  | 1.2 | 0.68 | -512.27 | 204.84 |
|  | 0.25 | 0.52 | -487.13 | 196.46 |
| 3 | 0.50 | 0.04 | -1409.80 | 114.18 |
|  | 0.80 | 0.12 | -970.29 | 158.76 |

Table S4. VFT fit parameters for temperature dependence of the measured conductivites ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}>$ 0.990 for all solutions). $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ is the same as in Table S3.

| Compound | $\sigma=\sigma_{o} \exp \left(\frac{B_{\sigma}}{\left(T-T_{o}\right)}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Concentration (M) | $\sigma_{o}\left(\mathrm{mS} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{B}_{\sigma}(\mathrm{K})$ |
| TEMPO | 0.05 | 340.88 | 393.55 |
|  | 0.10 | 411.77 | 429.59 |
|  | 0.15 | 1747.82 | 902.01 |
| 4HT | 0.5 | 533.98 | 564.86 |
|  | 0.8 | 1902.82 | 867.42 |
|  | 1.2 | 311.36 | 425.97 |
| 1 | 0.25 | 1296.52 | 725.90 |
|  | 0.50 | 611.88 | 568.28 |
|  | 0.80 | 243.94 | 368.80 |
| 2 | 0.50 | 367.59 | 427.25 |
|  | 0.80 | 1589.92 | 824.71 |
|  | 1.2 | 331.21 | 461.07 |
| 3 | 0.25 | 400.13 | 430.63 |
|  | 0.50 | 7163.30 | 1347.60 |
|  | 0.80 | 4152.81 | 767.82 |



Figure S2. Voltammetry of 50 mM TEMPO in acetonitrile with 100 mM TEAP supporting electrolyte. Scan rate is $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.

Table S5. Measured diffusion coefficients ( D ), Cathodic ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cathodic }}$ ) and anodic ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {anodic }}$ ) limits, electrochemical windows (EW) and oxidation ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ox}}$ ) and reduction ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{red}}$ ) potentials of TEMPO for $\mathrm{E}_{1}$. All potentials are vs. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ and with a cut-off current density of $0.5 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}$. D was calculated using Randles-Sevcik equation from the $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{CV}$ cycle (Figure S3) of 0.5 M solutions.

|  | $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-8}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{~ s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\text {anodic }}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cathodic }}(\mathrm{V})$ | $\mathrm{EW}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ox}}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {red }}(\mathbf{V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 HT | 4.37 | +1.27 | -1.96 | 3.23 | +0.88 | +0.71 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.48 | +1.29 | -1.99 | 3.28 | +0.91 | +0.74 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2.43 | +1.22 | -1.71 | 2.93 | +0.88 | +0.73 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.44 | +1.24 | -1.27 | 2.51 | +0.91 | +0.75 |



Figure S3. Electrochemical windows of 0.5 M concentration (a) 4 HT , (b) 1, (c) 2, and (d) 3 dissolved in ethaline. Three full cycles are shown at a scan rate of $10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.


Figure S4. (a) CV of compound 2 ( 0.5 M in ethaline) at various scan rates; (b) Current density vs. square root of scan rate. The dashed line demonstrates the linear fit for the estimation of the diffusion coefficients according to Randles-Sevcik equation (5).


Figure S5. T-cell used for cyclic voltammetry. Shown is a Pt-wire coil counter electrode around the $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference (separated by an insulator) on top of the disc glassy carbon working electrode. The liquid is housed in the reservoir on top of the working electrode created by heat shrink tube around the insulator body of the working electrode.


Figure S6. Nyquist plot for ethaline from electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. Voltage Frequency range is $100 \mathrm{kHz}-100 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Inset displays the x-intercept of the linear fit representing the bulk resistance for conductivity calculations.

Scheme S1. Possible deactivation (electrochemical) reaction of TEMPO ${ }^{+}$in ethylene glycol





|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{C l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theoretical | 54.46 | 7.62 | 12.70 | 10.72 |
| Experimental | 54.54 | 7.78 | 12.73 | 10.78 |

Figure S7. Chemical characterization of compound 1. (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, (c) FTIR, (d) ESI, (e) elemental analysis.
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|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{~ C l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theoretical | 54.62 | 9.17 | 9.10 | 11.52 |
| Experimental | 51.82 | 9.65 | 8.61 | 10.96 |

Figure S8. Chemical characterization of compound 2. (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, (c) FTIR, (d) ESI, (e) elemental analysis.
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e

|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{C l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theoretical | 58.62 | 7.38 | 8.55 | 10.81 |
| Experimental | 57.92 | 7.45 | 8.39 | 10.95 |

Figure S9. Chemical characterization of compound 3. (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, (c) FTIR, (d) ESI, (e) elemental analysis.
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