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## Computation methods

All calculations were performed on the basis of density functional theory ${ }^{1}$ as implemented in the Vienna $a b$ initio simulation package (VASP). ${ }^{2}$ The exchangecorrelation interaction was treated by the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in form of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional. ${ }^{3}$ The cutoff energy was set to 500 eV . The lattice constant and atom position were relaxed until the force on each atom was less than $0.01 \mathrm{eV} / \AA$, and the electronic iterations convergence criterion was set to $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}$. A Monkhorst-Pack (MP) grid of $15 \times 15 \times 1$ was used to sample the Brillouin zone. ${ }^{4}$ To avoid the interactions between adjacent layers, a vacuum space of $20 \AA$ was applied. The spin-orbit coupling (SOC) was considered in the calculations. The vibrational properties were obtained with PHONOPY code, ${ }^{5}$ in which the force constants were calculated with the finite-displacement method.

## Tight-binding model

In this section, we show the details of the tight-binding (TB) model for the checkboard lattice, and two $p$ orbitals were considered in our TB model. There are two sublattices in a unit cell, e.g., $\alpha$ and $\beta$ sublattices, and we denote them as $\mu=\alpha, \beta$. The atom positions are denoted as $r_{n \mu}=R_{n}+r_{\mu}$, where $R_{n}$ is the lattice vector and $r_{\mu}$ is the relative positions of the atoms in unit cell. The atomic orbital wave function is $\varphi_{\gamma}\left(r-r_{n \mu}\right)$, where $\gamma$ refers to $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$. Then, the TB model is represented as

$$
H_{0}=\sum_{n, \mu} \varepsilon_{n \mu} c_{n \mu}^{+} c_{n \mu}+\sum_{n, \alpha, \beta} t_{n}^{\alpha \beta} c_{n \alpha}^{+} c_{n \beta}+\text { h.c.\#(1) }
$$

Under the Fourier Transformation, the TB Hamiltonian in the momentum space can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{0}(k)=H_{+}(k)+H_{-}(k) \#(2) \\
H_{0}(k)=\sum_{n, \mu} \varepsilon_{n \mu} c_{n \mu}^{+}(k) c_{n \mu}(k)+\sum_{n, \alpha, \beta, \delta_{i}} t_{\delta_{i}}^{\alpha \beta} e^{-i k \cdot\left(r_{n \alpha}-r_{n \beta}\right)} c_{n \alpha}^{+} c_{n \beta}+h . c . \#(3)
\end{gathered}
$$

with basis being $\left(\left|p_{x^{\prime}}, \alpha, k\right\rangle,\left|p_{y^{\prime}}, \alpha, k\right\rangle,\left|p_{x}, \beta, k\right\rangle,\left|p_{y^{\prime}}, \beta, k\right\rangle\right)^{T}$, thus the matrix form of the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
h_{\alpha x} & 0 & h_{1} & h_{2} \\
0 & h_{\alpha y} & h_{2} & h_{1} \\
h_{1} & h_{2} & h_{\beta x} & 0 \\
h_{2} & h_{1} & 0 & h_{\beta y}
\end{array}\right) \#(4) \\
h_{\alpha x}=\varepsilon_{\alpha}+\alpha_{1} \cos \left(k_{x} a\right)+\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \cos \left(k_{y} a\right) \#(5) \\
h_{\beta x}=\varepsilon_{\beta}+\beta_{1} \cos \left(k_{x} a\right)+\beta_{1}^{\prime} \cos \left(k_{y} a\right) \#(6) \\
h_{\alpha y}=\varepsilon_{\alpha}+\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \cos \left(k_{x} a\right)+\alpha_{1} \cos \left(k_{y} a\right) \#(7) \\
h_{\beta y}=\varepsilon_{\beta}+\beta_{1}^{\prime} \cos \left(k_{x} a\right)+\beta_{1} \cos \left(k_{y} a\right) \#(8)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
h_{1}=2 \cos \left(\frac{k_{x} a}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{k_{y} a}{2}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right) \#(9) \\
h_{2}=-2 \sin \left(\frac{k_{x} a}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{k_{y} a}{2}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right) \#(10)
\end{array}
$$

The parameters we used to obtain the energy dispersion shown in Fig. 1 are $\varepsilon_{\alpha}=1.2$, $\varepsilon_{\alpha}=-1.1, \alpha_{1}=0.6, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}=0.1, \beta_{1}=-0.5, \beta_{1}^{\prime}=-0.1, \gamma_{1}=0.49, \gamma_{2}=-0.1$ and $a=4.03$.

Then we consider the atomic spin-orbit interaction, the basis is $(|\uparrow\rangle,|\downarrow\rangle)^{T} \otimes\left(\left|p_{x}, \alpha, k\right\rangle,\left|p_{y}, \alpha, k\right\rangle,\left|p_{x}, \beta, k\right\rangle,\left|p_{y}, \beta, k\right\rangle\right)^{T}$, the spin-orbit coupling term is $H_{s o}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & r_{s o} \\ r_{s o}{ }^{*} & 0\end{array}\right)$
$r_{s o}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}r_{a x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_{a y} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_{\beta x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{\beta y}\end{array}\right)$
$r_{a x}=i \lambda_{a 1} \sin \left(k_{x} a\right)+\lambda_{a 2} \sin \left(k_{y} a\right)$
$r_{a y}=i \lambda_{a 2} \sin \left(k_{x} a\right)+\lambda_{a 1} \sin \left(k_{y} a\right)$
$r_{\beta x}=i \lambda_{\beta 1} \sin \left(k_{x} a\right)+\lambda_{\beta 2} \sin \left(k_{y} a\right)$
$r_{\beta y}=i \lambda_{\beta 2} \sin \left(k_{x} a\right)+\lambda_{\beta 1} \sin \left(k_{y} a\right)$
The total Hamiltonian is given by $H=I_{2 \times 2} \otimes H_{0}+H_{s o}+H^{\prime}$, and the perturbation of crystalline asymmetry can be written as $H^{\prime}=\Delta\left(I_{4 \times 4} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{z}\right)$. The model gives a pair of valleys with energy degeneracy at X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ points as shown Figs. S 1 (a)-(d), and the perturbation lifts energy degeneracy between X and X ' points as shown in Figs. $\mathrm{S} 1(\mathrm{e})$ -
(h).
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Table S1 Total energy per atom, Bi-S bond length, and lattice parameters of TL $\mathrm{LaOBiS}_{2}$. The total energy of the pristine structures $\left(\mathrm{DS}_{0}\right)$ is set to zero as a reference.

|  | $\mathrm{DS}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{DS}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{DS}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{DS}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Energy $(\mathrm{meV})$ | 0 | -2.227 | -2.232 | -2.226 |
| Bi-S bond $(\AA)$ | $2.879 / 2.879$ | $2.735 / 3.040$ | $2.736 / 3.038$ | $2.726 / 3.048$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 4.036 | 4.036 | 4.036 | 4.036 |
| $b(\AA)$ | 4.036 | 4.036 | 4.036 | 4.036 |



Fig. S1 Band structures calculated by tight-binding model, in which different SOC strength and perturbation of crystalline asymmetry are applied. The parameters are exhibited in corresponding dispersions.


Fig. S2 Upper panel, energy dispersion of (a) pristine $\mathrm{DS}_{0}$ (b) $\mathrm{DS}_{1}$, (c) $\mathrm{DS}_{2}$, (d) $\mathrm{DS}_{3}$ and (e) $\mathrm{DS}_{3}$ with electric field applied, and the SOC is unconsidered. Lower panel exhibits the corresponding energy structures of (f) pristine $\mathrm{DS}_{0}$ (g) $\mathrm{DS}_{1}$, (h) $\mathrm{DS}_{2}$, (i) $\mathrm{DS}_{3}$ and (j) $\mathrm{DS}_{3}$ with electric field applied, and take SOC into account. The Fermi level is set as zero.

