# Gold nanoclusters cause selective light-driven biochemical catalysis

## in living nano-biohybrid organisms

## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

John R. Bertram,<sup>1,2,#</sup> Yuchen Ding,<sup>2,3,#</sup> Prashant Nagpal<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Materials Science and Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder
<sup>2</sup> Renewable and Sustainable Energy Institute (RASEI), University of Colorado Boulder
<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder
<sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally to the manuscript
\* Corresponding Author. Email: pnagpal@colorado.edu

### **Supporting Information:**

Figure S1 – DPV Curves of Au<sub>15</sub>, Au<sub>18</sub>, and Au<sub>22</sub>
Figure S2– Biocompatibility tests of small Au NCs
Figure S3– Light-driven ammonia production using nanorgs with small Au NCs
Figure S4 – Combined ammonia and hydrogen production for Au<sub>22</sub>
Figure S5 – NH<sub>3</sub> Production Dependence on Ascorbic Acid
Figure S6 – Representative ammonia assay data and calibration curve
Figure S7 – Selective binding of nanoparticles to the targeted nitrogenase enzyme
Figure S8 – Native PAGE of Au NCs
Table S1 – Representative data for calculating NH<sub>3</sub> TON
Turnover Frequency Calculations
Quantum Efficiency Calculations
Photon-to-Fuel Conversion Efficiency Calculations



Figure S1. DPV curves and electrochemical potentials of  $Au_{15}$ ,  $Au_{18}$ , and  $Au_{22}$  NCs. Differential pulse voltammetry curves for  $Au_{15}$ ,  $Au_{18}$ , and  $Au_{22}$  determining their conduction band and valence band values relative to NHE.



**Fig. S2. Biocompatibility tests of small Au NCs**. Growth curves for (a)  $Au_{10-12}$  and (b)  $Au_{15}$ , compared to cell growth with no Au NCs, under light irradiation. (c) cell viability (resazurin dye assay, compared to no Au NCs as 100%) after treatment under light irradiation for 4 hours.



Fig. S3. Light-driven ammonia production using nanorgs with small Au NCs. Turnover number for the biocatalytic reaction of ammonia production from air using nanorgs formed from *A. vinelandii* DJ995 strain with  $Au_{10-12}$ , and  $Au_{15}$  NCs. The variation of ammonia production with increasing Au NC concentration tracks well with an initial increase in light absorption, subsequent saturation of nano-biohybrids, and subsequent loss of cell viability (Fig. S2) at higher concentrations.



Fig. S4. Time-resolved light-driven ammonia and hydrogen production. Kinetic data for the production of  $H_2$  and  $NH_3$  over 5 hours for *A. vinelandii* DJ995 in the presence of  $8\mu$ M Au<sub>22</sub> NCs. The production of hydrogen in congruence with ammonia is facilitated by the nitrogenase enzyme which produces a 2:1 ratio of ammonia to hydrogen for each reaction.



Figure S5. NH<sub>3</sub> production dependence on ascorbic acid. Au<sub>22</sub>-A. Vinelandii nanorg tests were performed with and without ascorbic acid to demonstrate that ascorbic acid itself minimally affects the cellular metabolism. This indicates that the electrons powering  $N_2$  reduction through nitrogenase come from Au NCs.



**Fig. S6. Representative ammonia assay calibration curve.** Calibration curve for the colorimetric ammonia assay to determine the amount of ammonia generated by the nano-biohybrids in solution.



Fig. S7. Selective binding of nanoparticles to the targeted nitrogenase enzyme. Lane a: Protein molecular weight marker (From top to bottom: 116.0, 66.2, 45.0, 35.0, 25.0, 18.4 kDa). Lane b: Protein from *A. vinelandii* DJ995 cell lysate bound to nanoparticles (Three bands correspond to  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ -unit of MoFe protein and Fe protein, from top to bottom). Lane c: Purified His-tag MoFe-nitrogenase. Lane d: Cell lysate prepared from *A. vinelandii* DJ995.



Fig. S8. Native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) of Au NCs to characterize their purity.

Table S1. Table of representative data for the light-driven production of  $NH_3$  by  $Au_{22} NC - A$ . *vinelandii* nano-biohybrid.

Sample	T <sub>0</sub> (0 hours, PL Intensity)	T <sub>1</sub> (4 hours, PL Intensity)	NH <sub>3</sub> in aliquot (nmols)	NH <sub>3</sub> in solution (nmols)
Au <sub>22</sub> - 4 μM	307	1586	1.98	39.76
Au <sub>22</sub> - 8 μM	362	2304	3.07	61.13
Au <sub>22</sub> - 20 μM	406	2532	3.36	67.29
$\begin{array}{c} Au_{22}-8 \ \mu M \\ Dark \end{array}$	358	427	0.05	1.02
$Au_{22} - 0 \ \mu M$	276	309	0.03	0.63
$Au_{22} - 8 \ \mu M \ No$ Cells	342	401	0.05	0.87

### NH<sub>3</sub> Turnover Number and Turnover Frequency Calculations

NH<sub>3</sub> turnover numbers (TON) were calculated based on the known number of cells in the reaction medium and the measured amount of NH<sub>3</sub> generated by the light-driven process of the nanorgs. This value was taken to be  $7.48 \times 10^{-16}$  mols cells / mL at OD<sub>600</sub> = 1.0.

 $TON = \frac{mols \ NH_3}{mols \ cells}$ 

Similarly, turnover frequency (TOF) was calculated by using the slope of  $NH_3$  TON as a function of time (Figure 4a in the main text), giving a TOF in units of h<sup>-1</sup>.

#### **Estimation of Quantum Efficiency (QE)**

The quantum efficiency (QE), defined as the ratio of electron production to the total amount of photon absorbed, was estimated based on the following parameters:

- 1.  $NH_3$  and  $H_2$  turnover frequency: 6250 and 3075 s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.
- 2. Au<sub>22</sub> QDs: 8  $\mu$ M concentration (c), the extinction coefficient at 400 nm = 10000 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>.
- 3. Cell  $OD_{600} = 1.0$ , corresponding to  $4.5 \times 10^8$  cell / ml for *Azotobacter vinelandii*.
- 4. Light source: 400 nm LED, with 1.6 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> irradiation intensity (I).

- 5. Reactor: a glass vial with ~ 1 cm inner diameter (d), with total reaction volume (V) of 1 ml. Therefore, the irradiation cross section  $S = \pi d^2/4 = 0.52$  cm<sup>2</sup> and the light path b = V/S = 1.91 cm.
- 6. The cellular uptake of the  $Au_{22}$  NCs is 92%.
- 7. The copy number of 7x His-tag MFN per cell is  $\sim 10^5$ , and assuming one Au<sub>22</sub> NC bind to one histidine on the His-tag to form the (Au<sub>22</sub>)<sub>7</sub>-MFN bioconjugates.

The total number of Au<sub>22</sub> NC bound to the MFN in 1 ml mixture is:

 $N = 4.5 \times 10^8 \times 10^5 \times 7 = 3.15 \times 10^{14}$ 

This corresponds to a concentration of  $c' = \frac{N}{N_A V} = \frac{3.15 \times 10^{14}}{6.02 \times 10^{23} mol^{-1} \times 1 ml} = 0.523 \,\mu M$ , which is lower than the total uptaken Au<sub>22</sub> NCs.

Based on the Lambert-Beer's law, the light absorbed A =  $\varepsilon$ bc = 0.01, and the transmittance can be calculated by A =  $2 - \log(\% T)$ , and T = 97.7% and the absorbed part is 1 - T = 2.3 %.

The incident photon number can be calculated from the irradiation intensity:

 $N' = \frac{total \; energy}{photon \; energy} = \frac{intensity * area * time}{hc/\lambda} = \frac{ISt}{hc/\lambda}$ 

And the incident photon flux (F<sub>inc</sub> = N'/t) is  $F_{inc} = \frac{IS\lambda}{hc} = 1.676 \times 10^{15} s^{-1}$ .

The absorbed photon by the nanorgs is  $F_{abs} = F_{inc} \times (1 - T) = 3.82 \times 10^{13} s^{-1}$ .

The total electrons produced from the nanorgs can be calculated from the TOF of  $NH_3$  (3 electrons per  $NH_3$  molecule) and  $H_2$  (2 electrons per  $H_2$  molecule), and for one cell,

the electron flux is  $F_{e/cell} = 3 \times T(NH_3) + 2 \times TOF(H_2) = 24900 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

With  $OD_{600} = 1.0$  cell in 1 ml total volume, the total electron flux is  $F_e = 1.12 \times 10^{13} s^{-1}$ .

Therefore,  $QY = F_e / F_{abs} \times 100\% = 29.3$  %.

### **Photon-to-Fuel Conversion Efficiency Calculations**

To calculate the photon-to-fuel conversion efficiency (PFCE), the following set of parameters were used:

Ammonia: 382.6 KJ/mol

Hydrogen: 286 KJ/mol

For 1 ml nanorgs, the total energy output (as for the formation of ammonia and hydrogen) per second is:

$$E_{out} = (E_{ammonia} \times TOF(ammonia) + E_{hydrogen} \times TOF(hydrogen))$$

$$N_A$$

$$= (382.6 \times 6250 + 286 \times 3075) \times 4.5 \times 10^8 / (6.02 \times 10^{23}) = 2 \times 10^{-9} kJ = 2.445 \, \mu J$$

The energy input (as of 400 nm photon absorbed by the nanorgs) per second is:

 $E_{in} = I \times S \times (1 - T) = 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.52 \times 0.023 = 18.94 \,\mu J$ 

Photon-to-fuel conversion efficiency  $PFCE = E_{out}/E_{in} = 12.9$  %.