## Article type: Communication

## Polarization Detection of Deep-ultraviolet Light with Monoclinic Gallium Oxide Nanobelts

Quan Chen, Yonghui Zhang\*, Tao Zheng, Zhun Liu, Liangwei Wu, Zhaoxiong Wang, Jingbo Li\*

Institute of Semiconductors, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, China

E-mail: <u>yhzhang@m.scnu.edu.cn</u> (Y. Zhang), jbli@m.scnu.edu.cn (J. Li)

## Supporting information table of contents

S1. Screenshot of AFM measurement for the determination of nanobelt thickness.

S2. HRTEM and FFT conducted at different spots on the nanobelt.

S3. Photocurrent characteristics with various strain and the strain calculation method.

S4. FDTD simulation on Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanobelts with width ranging from 10 nm to 280 nm.



S1. Screenshot of AFM measurement for the determination nanobelt thickness.

Figure S1 According to the AFM measurement, the thickness of a typical  $Ga_2O_3$  nanobelt is around 50 nm and the width is approximately 280 nm. (The measurement was stopped before it was fully completed at that time, as the value of the thickness could be read out already.)

S2. HRTEM and FFT conducted at different spots on the nanobelt.



Figure S2 HRTEM and FFT conducted at different spots on the nanobelts. All the FFT show similar hexagonal patterns as in the SAED, indicating that most area of the nanobelt is single crystal.



S3. Photocurrent characteristics with various strain and the strain calculation method.

Figure S3 Photocurrent characteristics shows little difference with various tensile strain. The flexible device was bent with a clamp. The tensile strain can be acquired through the equations above, where  $\varepsilon$  is the strain, d is the substrate thickness, R is radius, D is chord length, L is arc length and  $\theta$  is bending angle.



S4. FDTD simulation on Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanobelts with width ranging from 10 nm to 280 nm.

Figure S4 (a) Schematics of the FDTD simulation on  $Ga_2O_3$  nanobelts on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate. (Similar anisotropic response is found in devices on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate, so the simulation result on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate also applies to device on PEN substrate.) (b1)–(b6) Simulation of photoelectric field with nanobelt width from 10 nm to 280 nm, radiated by 254 nm 90° polarized light (E//Y axis). (c1)–(c6) Simulation of photoelectric field with nanobelt width from 10 nm to 280 nm, radiated by 254 nm 90° polarized light (E//Y axis). (c1)–(c6) Simulation of photoelectric field with nanobelt width from 10 nm to 280 nm, radiated by 254 nm 90° polarized light (E//Y axis). (d) The absorption of 254 nm 90° polarized light (E//Y axis) within nanobelts of different width. It is obvious that the absorption was reduced more with narrower nanobelts. (e) The absorption of 254 nm 0° polarized light (E//X axis) within nanobelts of different width. The absorption shows no obvious change with the nanobelts width.

The simulation is performed with lumerical FDTD solutions software. The refractive index of  $Ga_2O_3$  is set to be 2 at 254 mn (according to C.V. Ramana, Properties of sputter-deposited gallium oxide, in: Gallium Oxide, Elsevier, 2019: pp. 47–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814521-0.00003-8.).