**Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry.** 

# **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI<sup>†</sup>)**

Droplet-oriented construction of metal oxide hollow

microspheres and their assembly into superstructures

Peihan Zhu, Peng Tian\*\*, Tingting Gao, Hongchang Pang, Junwei Ye, Guiling Ning\*

State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemical Engineering, Dalian

University of Technology.

No.2 Linggong Road, Dalian, China, 116024.

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: ninggl@dlut.edu.cn

\*\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: tianpeng@dlut.edu.cn.

1. Supplementary experimentS1
1.1 Materials
1.2 Preparation of samples
2. Supplementary figures and tablesS3
Fig. S1 XRD patterns of the micron-sized monometallic oxide products
Fig. S2 TEM images of the precursor (NiO-P) and the nickel oxide
<b>Fig. S3</b> Optical microscope photographs of the NiO-P and NiO-450; particle size distribution of the NiO-P and NiO-450
Fig. S4 SEM images of the precursor of MnO <sub>x</sub> S5
<b>Fig. S5</b> Corresponding BJH pore size distributions of the hollow microspheres prepared using a novel spray reaction method
<b>Fig. S6</b> XRD patterns NiO-S and NiO-P; TGA curves of NiO-S and NiO-P; Energy dispersive X-ray spectrum of the NiO-S
<b>Fig. S7</b> TEM images of the as-obtained hierarchical hollow alumina using aluminum sulfate as aluminum sourceS6
Fig. S8 SEM images of the as-obtained alumina
<b>Fig. S9</b> SEM images of the precursor of $Al_2O_3$ -AN (a, b and c); XRD pattern of the calcined $Al_2O_3$ -AN (d); adsorption-desorption isotherms (e) and pore size distribution (f) of $Al_2O_3$ -AN
<b>Fig. S10</b> XRD pattern of the precursor of the hollow MgO/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> microspheres (a) and the MgO/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (b); N <sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms (c) and corresponding BJH pore size distribution (d) of the MgO/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S8
Fig. S11 XRD pattern of the hollow NiO/Ag microspheres
<b>Table S1</b> Texture parameters of the resulting metal oxide hollow microspheres and other relevant metal oxides
References

# CAPTIONS

### 1. Supplementary experiment

#### 1.1 Materials

The analytical reagents including NiSO<sub>4</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, CoSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·18H<sub>2</sub>O, Al(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O, AgNO<sub>3</sub>, NaOH, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, concentrated ammonia water (25 wt.%), ammonium carbonate, polyacrylic acid (PAA, 30 wt.%), polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) K30, absolute ethanol and acetone were applied as received without further purification. And the titanium sulfate was chemically pure reagent, and also was used without further purification. Deionized (DI) water was employed for all preparation and treatment processes.

#### **1.2 Preparation of samples**

The illustration of the experimental setup for the wet-chemical preparation of hollow materials was shown in Scheme 1a. The novel spray reactor system consisted of an ultrasonic nebulizer at 1.7 MHz (YUYUE 402AI, Shanghai, China), a reaction vessel, a inflator pump (0.01MPa) and pipes. Based on acid-base reaction, the hollow precursors in this study were synthesized through the novel droplet-oriented strategy.

1.2.1 Synthesis of hollow  $CoO_x$  microspheres. Similarly, 5.0 g of  $CoSO_4$ ·7H<sub>2</sub>O was dissolved in 20.0 g of water. 75.0 g of absolute ethanol, 2.5 g of NaOH and 3.0 g of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added to the reaction vessel. After atomization, 300.0 g of water was slowly added to the reaction vessel. Next, the mixture was maintained at 80°C for 10 h. The collected precursor was calcined at 450°C for 2 h, the resulting oxides of cobalt can be denoted as  $CoO_x$ .

1.2.2 Synthesis of hollow  $TiO_2$  microspheres. Similarly, 2.5 g of  $Ti(SO_4)_2$  and 0.25 g of PAA (30 wt.%) aqueous solution were dissolved in 10.0 g of hot water, followed by vigorous stirring. 40.0 g of absolute ethanol mixed with 3.0 g of concentrated ammonia water and 3.0 g of ammonium carbonate was added to the reaction vessel. Then, 160.0 g of water was slowly added to the reaction vessel. Next, the mixture was maintained at 60°C for 8 h. Analogously, the resulting precursor was calcined in an oven at temperature of 450°C with a heating rate of 10 K/ min for 2 h to obtain corresponding TiO<sub>2</sub> hollow microspheres.

1.2.3 Synthesis of hollow MgO microspheres. Similarly, 6.0 g of  $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$  was dissolved in 20.0 g of hot water, followed by vigorous stirring until clarification. 75.0 g of absolute ethanol mixed with 0.06 g of PVP-K30, 4.0 g of NaOH and 3.0 g of ammonium carbonate was added to the reaction vessel. After atomization, the reaction mixture was stirred until the reaction was complete. Then, 300.0 g of water was slowly added to the reaction vessel. Next, the mixture was maintained at 80°C for 2 h. The resulting calcined product (450°C for 2 h) was MgO hollow microspheres.

1.2.4 Synthesis of hollow  $MgO/Al_2O_3$  microspheres. Similarly, 3.0 g of  $MgSO_4$ ·7H<sub>2</sub>O and 3.0 g of  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ ·18H<sub>2</sub>O were dissolved in 20.0 g of DI water, followed by vigorous stirring. 75.0 g of absolute ethanol mixed with 0.06 g of PVP-K30, 2.0 g of NaOH and 3.0 g of ammonium carbonate was added to the reaction vessel. After

atomization, 300.0 g of DI water was slowly added to the reaction vessel. Then, the mixture was maintained at 80°C for 3 h. The resulting calcined product (450°C for 2 h) was hollow MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microspheres.

1.5 Synthesis of hollow NiO/Ag microspheres. Similarly, 6.0 g of NiSO<sub>4</sub>· $6H_2O$  and 0.9 g of AgNO<sub>3</sub> were dissolved in 20.0 g of DI water, followed by vigorous stirring. 75.0 g of absolute ethanol mixed with 2.0 g of NaOH and 4.0 g of NaCO<sub>3</sub> was added to the reaction vessel. Then, the hollow NiO/Ag microspheres were synthesized and operated through the similar processes as the MnO<sub>x</sub>-450.

## 2. Supplementary figures and tables



**Fig. S1** XRD patterns of the micron-sized monometallic oxide products, including (a) NiO, (b)  $CoO_x$ , (c)  $MnO_x$ , (d)  $TiO_2$ , (e) MgO and (f)  $Al_2O_3$ -AW microspheres. All of these hollow calcined samples were treated at 450°C.



**Fig. S2** TEM images of the precursor (NiO-P) of nickel oxide microspheres (a, b, c) and the resulting nickel oxide microspheres (d, e, f) with different magnification.



**Fig. S3** Optical microscope photographs of the micron-sized precursor of the NiO (labeled as NiO-P) (a), the as-obtained nickel oxide (labeled as NiO-450) (b), respectively; particle size distribution of the NiO-P (c); particle size distribution of the corresponding NiO-450 (d).



Fig. S4 SEM images of the precursor of  $MnO_x$  ( labeled as  $MnO_x$ -P) with different magnification.



**Fig. S5** Corresponding BJH pore size distributions of (a) NiO, (b)  $CoO_x$ , (c)  $MnO_x$ , (d)  $TiO_2$ , (e) MgO and (f)  $Al_2O_3$ -AW hollow microspheres prepared using a novel spray reaction method.



**Fig. S6** XRD patterns (a) of the sample after spray reaction (NiO-S) and the resulting precursor after aging (NiO-P ); TGA curves (b) of NiO-S and NiO-P; Energy dispersive X-ray spectrum (EDS) of the NiO-S (c).



Fig. S7 TEM images of the as-obtained hierarchical hollow alumina using aluminum sulfate as aluminum source.



**Fig. S8** SEM images of the as-obtained alumina using aluminum sulfate as aluminum source which its precursor was aged for 1 h.



Fig. S9 SEM images of the precursor of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-AN using aluminum nitrate

(a, b and c); XRD pattern of the calcined  $Al_2O_3$ -AN (d); low-temperature  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms (e) of the as-obtained alumina using aluminum nitrate ( $Al_2O_3$ -AN) and the corresponding pore size distribution derived from the desorption branches using BJH model (f).



**Fig. S10** XRD pattern of the precursor of the hollow  $MgO/Al_2O_3$  microspheres (a) and the  $MgO/Al_2O_3$  (b),  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms (c) and corresponding BJH pore size distribution (d) of the hollow  $MgO/Al_2O_3$  microspheres.



Fig. S11 XRD pattern of the hollow NiO/Ag microspheres.

Samples	$S_{\rm BET}$	Total pore	Average pore	References
	(m²/g)	volume (mL/g)	size (nm)	
NiO	351	0.55	6.2	this paper
Ordered NiO-80	108.6	-	-	1
Bowl-like NiO	162.3	-	-	2
Sn-Doped NiO	87.9	-	-	3
Hierarchical NiO hollow	100	0.35	14.2	4
microspheres				
NiO-S	130.2	0.163		5
Hierarchical NiO	164.87			6
$Al_2O_3$	439	0.73	6.7	this paper
Ordered Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	52	0.34	-	7
nano-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	216.1	0.86	-	8
$0.2Pt/m-Al_2O_3-O_2$	227.3	0.56	-	9
OMA-2-FMC	261	0.45		10
MA-400	228	0.41		11
Al-M400-M	207	-	-	12
Ordered Al-9	352	0.68	-	13
MgO	126	0.75	23.6	this paper
MgO core/shell	46.0	-	-	14
microspheres				
MgO-NC	97	9.5	0.22	15
TiO <sub>2</sub>	86	0.20	9.0	this paper
Hollow E1-TiO <sub>2</sub>	75	0.36	-	16
Hollow F-TiO <sub>2</sub>	21.6	-	-	17
MnO <sub>x</sub>	152	0.61	16.0	this paper
MnO <sub>2</sub> shell	123	-	-	18
F-MnO <sub>2</sub>	169.1	0.39	9.3	19

**Table S1** Texture parameters of the resulting metal oxide hollow spheres and other reported metal oxides.

### References

[1] F. Jiao, A. H. Hill, A. Harrison, A. Berko, A. V. Chadwick, P. G. Bruce, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2008, 130, 5262.

[2] J. Liang, H. Hu, H. Park, C. Xiao, S. Ding, U. Paik, X. W. D. Lou, *Energy & Environmental Science* 2015, 8, 1707.

[3] B. Kim, J. Yoon, J. K. Kim, Y. C. Kang, J. Lee, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2018, 10, 16605.

[4] C. Lei, M. Pi, B. Cheng, C. Jiang, J. Qin, Applied Surface Science 2018, 435, 1002.

[5] J. H. Pan, Q. Huang, Z. Y. Koh, D. Neo, X. Z. Wang, Q. Wang, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2013, 5, 6292.

[6] J. Min, J. Liu, M. Lei, W. Wang, Y. Lu, L. Yang, Q. Yang, G. Liu, N. Su, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2016, 8, 780.

[7] Y. Liu, W. Teng, G. Chen, Z. Zhao, W. Zhang, B. Kong, W. N. Hozzein, A. A. Al-Khalaf, Y. Deng, D. Zhao, *Chemical Science* 2018, 9, 7705.

[8] F. Wang, J. Ma, G. He, M. Chen, C. Zhang, H. He, ACS Catalysis 2018, 8, 2670.

[9] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhu, H. Asakura, B. Zhang, J. Zhang, M. Zhou, Y. Han, T. Tanaka, A. Wang, T. Zhang, N. Yan, *Nature Communications* 2017, 8, 16100.

[10] Z. Wu, Q. Li, D. Feng, P. A. Webley, D. Zhao, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2010, 132, 12042.

[11] S. M. Morris, P. F. Fulvio, M. Jaroniec, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2008, 130, 15210.

[12] J. Dacquin, J. Dhainaut, D. Duprez, S. Royer, A. F. Lee, K. Wilson, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2009, 131, 12896.

[13] Q. Yuan, A. Yin, C. Luo, L. Sun, Y. Zhang, W. Duan, H. Liu, C. Yan, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2008, 130, 3465.

[14] T. Wang, W. Cui, M. Peng, S. Ouyang, S. Wang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 2016, 4, 8584.

[15] D. T. Ngo, T. Sooknoi, D. E. Resasco, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 2018, 237, 835.

[16] S. Liu, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec, Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 11914.

[17] J. H. Pan, X. Zhang, A. J. Du, D. D. Sun, J. O. Leckie, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2008, 130, 11256.

[18] X. Liang, L. F. Nazar, ACS Nano 2016, 10, 4192.

[19] H. Kim, A. Watthanaphanit, N. Saito, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* 2017, 5, 5842.