Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2021

Electronic Supporting Information

Photocatalytic Activity of New Nanostructures of Ag(I) Metal-Organic

Framework (Ag-MOF) for the Efficient Degradation of MCPA and 2,4-D

Herbicides under Sunlight Irradiation

Sadegh Rostamnia^{a*}, Payam Hayati^{b*}, Zohreh Mehrabadi^{c*}, Khosro Mohammadi^d, Ghodrat

Mahmoudi^e, Fatemeh Dadi^c, Mohammad Jaafar Soltanian Fard^c, Jan Janczak^f

^aOrganic and Nano Group (ONG), Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Maragheh, 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran ^bPersian Gulf Science and Technology Park, Nano Gostaran Navabegh Fardaye Dashtestan

Company, Borazjan, Iran

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Firoozabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Firoozabad, Iran

^dDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Persian Gulf University, Bushehr 75169, Iran

^eDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Maragheh, P.O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran

^fInstitute of Low Temperature and Structure Research Polish Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 1410 Okolna 2 str., 50-950 Wroclaw, Poland

Corresponding authors: Email: <u>srostamnia@gmail.com</u>, <u>payamhayati@yahoo.com</u>, <u>z.mehrabadi@iauf.ac.ir</u>

Experimental Materials and physical techniques

Starting reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck company. Elemental analyses (C, H as well as N) are carried out using a rapid analyzer from Perkin Elmer,2400 series(II) CHNO. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were collected as KBr pellets in the spectral range of 400-4000 cm⁻¹ and Agilent company model Cary 660 FTIR. The FT-IR is fitted with an IR (from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹) and a room temperature detector. The intensity of X-Ray data for the 1 was obtained doing graphite monochromatic MoKa radiation on a four-circle k geometry KUMA KM-4 diffractometer with a 2D area CCD detector. The ω -scan method with $\Delta \omega = 1.0^{\circ}$ permanent picture was obtained for data collection. After ever 40 images, one image was applied as a norm for tracking crystal balance and data collection as well as no modification was expected concerning the general strength parameters. The data collection was created utilizing the CrysAlis CCD technique at room temperature.³¹ Integration, scaling of the reflections, a modification for Lorenz and polarization effects and, absorption modifications were done utilizing the CrysAlis Red program ³¹. The structure was solved by the primary techniques applying SHELXT and refined applying SHELXL-2014 program.³² Information on data collection variables, crystallographic data, and ultimate deal parameters are obtained in Table S1. Selected geometrical parameters are shown in Tables S2 and S3. Visualization of the structure was created with the mercury applications. ³³ Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD) measurements were done utilizing X'pert diffractometer made by Philips with monochromatized Cukα radiation. ³⁴ The samples were indicated with a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (HITACHI- SU5000) in the style function of secondary electrons (SE) with a beam voltage between 10 and 20 KV. The samples were

organized by depositing a drop of substance formerly distributed ineffectively solvents on aluminum stubs followed closely by evaporation of the solvent under normal conditions. US generator used by (ultrasonic-Scientz-750F-CHINA). Melting points were determined on a 1102D device. The concentration of pollutants was measured using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) method by use of an Agilent 1100, Wilmington, DE, USA instrument. A Shimadzu TOC-VCSN, Japan analyzer evaluated total organic carbon (TOC). A Garmin company, model etrex 10, Taiwan, utilized the Global Positioning System (GPS) to determine the geographic location of the position of sunlight irradiation.

Photo-induced degradation of the pollutants

For degradation experiments under sunlight irradiation, 10 mL (0.5-6.5 mgL⁻¹) of every pollutant were moved into a quartz beaker, the [Ag(PHBA)₂(NO₃)]_n as photocatalyst (0.2-1.0 gL⁻¹) was added to the solution, the pH was modified (3-11), and the mixture was thirty minutes in the dark until the cycle was balanced. The solution to the degradation was agitated to a predetermined time (10-90 min). The catalyst was then detached, and the HPLC process determined the contaminant content of the solution. For having a constant illumination of sunlight, the irradiation was done at 13 o'clock each day. A Garmin company, model etrex 10, Taiwan, measured the geographic location from sea level through the Global Positioning System (GPS) including latitude, longitude and altitude of the area. The experiments on photodegradation were conducted beneath the direct sunlight irradiation under maximum sunshine. The Longitude and Latitude of the geographic location were respectively 52.13, 32.24 degrees, and the attitude was 1825 m from sea level. The amount of deterioration percentage had been estimated as:

$$\% D = [(C_0 - C_t)/C_0] \times 100$$
(1)

 C_{o} and $C_{\text{t}},$ respectively, are blank and sample concentration.



Fig.S1 Eight chains surrounding the central one (up), a fragment of the 3D framework in complex 1 (down)



Fig.S2 Topological representation of coordination networks in complex 1



Fig.S3 View of the interacted {Ag(p-OH-C₆H₄COO)₂(NO₃)}n polymers via O—H...O hydrogen bonds between the COOH groups with a graph of R22(8) forming layer parallel to (001) plane. Symmetry code: (ii) 1-x, -y, 1-z



(a)



(b)

Fig.S4 Arrangement of neighbouring 2D-coordinating polymer viewed along b-axis (a) and c-axis (b). The layers are marked with different colour (black and pink)











Fig.S5 Normal probability plot of residuals (a and b); Plot of actual vs predicted values (c and d) and Box-Cox plot (e and f) for 2,4-D and MCPA respectively in degradation of mixture of 2,4-D and MCPA





Fig.S6 Reusability of photocatalyst in photocatalytic degradation of (a) 2,4-D (150 °C, 350 °C), and (b) MCPA (150 °C, 350 °C)

Empirical formula	$C_{14}H_{12}NO_9Ag$			
Formula weight (g·mol ⁻¹)	446.12			
Crystal system, space group	orthorhombic, Pcca (No. 54)			
Temperature (K)	295(2)			
<i>a</i> (Å)	28.094(4)			
<i>b</i> (Å)	4.5817(4)			
<i>c</i> (Å)	11.7941(8)			
$V(Å^3)$	1518.1(3)			
Z	4			
$D_{\text{calc}} \left(\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3} \right)$	1.952			
μ (mm ⁻¹)	1.381			
F(000)	888			
Crystal size (mm)	0.29 imes 0.25 imes 0.22			
Radiation type, wavelength, λ (Å)	Μο Κα , 0.71073			
θ range(°)	$2.773 \div 28.066$			
Absorption correction	multi-scan			
T_{\min}/T_{\max}	0.82665 / 1.000			
Reflections collected / unique / observed	19217 / 1752 / 1473			
$R_{ m int}$	0.018			
Refinement on	F^2			
$R[F^2>2\sigma(F^2)]$	0.031			
$wR(F^2 \text{ all reflections})$	0.088			
Goodness-of-fit, S	1.002			
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	+0.581, -0.754			
$wR = \{ \sum \left[w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 \right] / \sum wF_0^4 \}^{\frac{1}{2}}; w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0471P)^2 + 2.0814P \text{ where } P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2) / 3. $				

Table S1. Crystallographic data for [Ag(*p*-OH-C₆H₄COO)₂(NO₃)]_n 1

Table S2. Selected geometrical parameters (Å, °) for $\{Ag(p-OH-C_6H_4COO)_2(NO_3)\}_n$ complex

Ag1—O3	x2	2.238(2)	Ag1—O5	x2	2.583(3)
O3—Ag1—O5		96.09(8)	-	O3—Ag1—O3 ^{<i>i</i>}	146.83(13)
$05 - Ag1 - 05^{i}$		95.76(17)		O3—Ag1—O5 ^{<i>i</i>}	106.07(9)

Symmetry code: (*i*) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y+2, z;$

D - H - A	D··· H	$H^{}A$	$D^{}A$	D - H - A
O3—H3O···O4 ^{<i>i</i>}	0.86(1)	2.28(2)	3.028(3)	147(4)
O2—H2O…O1 ^{<i>ii</i>}	0.85(1)	1.81(1)	2.654(3)	175(4)

Table S3. Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$)

Symmetr code: (*i*) x, -y+2, z+¹/₂; (*ii*) -x+1, -y, -z+1

Effective variables	symbol	Real values of coded levels				
	X _i	-2(-a)	-1	0	1	+2(+a)
pH	X_1	3	5	7	9	11
pollutant concentration (mgL ⁻¹)	X_2	0.5	2.0	3.5	5.0	6.5
Catalyst dosage (gL ⁻¹)	X_3	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
Irradiation time (min)	X_4	10	30	50	70	90

Table S4. Coded and actual levels of the experimental variables