1	Supporting Information
2	A Novel Synthesis of MIL 53 (Al)@SiO2: An Integrated Photo Catalyst
3	Adsorbent to Remove Bisphenol A from Wastewater
4	Aditi Chatterjee, Amiya Kumar Jana and Jayanta Kumar Basu*
5	E-mail address: jkb@che.iitkgp.ernet.in (Jayanta Kumar Basu*)
6	Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology-Kharagpur,
7	India-721302
8	
9	

**Table S1** Details of the chemicals used

Component	CAS Reg.	Suppliers	Purity	Purification
	No.		(%)	method
Nitric acid (HNO <sub>3</sub> )	7697-37-2	Merck	70	Used as received
N,N-Dimethylformamide	68-12-2	Merck	98	Used as received
(DMF)				
Terepthealic acid (H <sub>2</sub> BDC)	100-21-0	Merck	98	Used as received
Bisphenol-A (BPA)	1675-54-3	Merck	98	Used as received

-

**Table S2** Experimental range and levels of independent variables

Factor	Name	Minimum	Maximum	Coded Low	Coded High	Mean	Std. Dev.
А	Aluminium foil : fly ash	0.20	1	$-1 \leftrightarrow 0.32$	$\begin{array}{c} +1 \leftrightarrow \\ 0.88 \end{array}$	0.6	0.231
В	Water : DMF	0	4	-1 ↔ 0.59	$+1 \leftrightarrow$ 3.41	2	1.154

**Table S3** Responses for different experimental runs

Run	Factor 1	Factor 2	Response
	A : (Aluminium foil : fly ash)	B: (Water : DMF)	Adsorption uptake of BPA
1	0.60	2.00	45.20
2	0.89	0.59	16.61
3	0.60	2.00	45.20
4	1.00	2.00	15.00
5	0.60	2.00	45.20
6	0.89	3.41	18.25
7	0.32	3.41	24.54
8	0.20	2.00	41.20
9	0.60	2.00	45.20
10	0.60	2.00	45.20
11	0.32	0.59	26.88
12	0.60	4.00	14.07
13	0.60	0.00	12.30

## 23 Table S4 ANOVA for BPA adsorption uptake

Sources	Sum of	df	Mean	F Value	p-value	
	Squares		Square		Prob>F	
Model	2373.29	5	474.46	59.85	< 0.0001	Significant
A- aluminium foil :	359.29	1	359.29	45.32	0.0003	359.29
fly ash						

B- water : DMF	0.41	1	0.41	0.051	0.8273	0.41
AB	3.96	1	3.96	0.50	0.5026	3.96
$A^2$	481.33	1	481.33	60.71	0.0001	481.33
$B^2$	1731.27	1	1731.27	218.38	< 0.0001	1731.27
Residual	55.50	7	7.93			55.50
Lack of Fit	55.50	3	18.50			55.50
Pure Error	0.000	4	0.000			0.000
Cor Total	2427.79	12				2427.79



29

The probability plot of residual in Figure S1, shows the residuals are normally distributed and no response transformation is needed. The studentized residual measures the number of standard deviations, which separates the actual and predicted values.



Figure S1 The studentized residuals and normal percentage probability plot for BPA
adsorption uptake

Figure S2 represents the plot of studentized residual versus the predicted value of BPA uptake. The constant variance of observation for all the values of response is confirmed by the random scatter of the plot. Hence, there no transformation is required for the response variables.



36

**Figure S2** The predicted uptake of BPA and studentized residuals' plot

Figure S3 shows the plot of actual versus predicted values of BPA uptake. This figure confirms that the predicted values are quite close to the actual ones. This signifies that the model is successful to predict the adsorption uptake of BPA.



41

Figure S3 The plot of actual and predicted values of BPA uptake

- 44
- 45

# 46 **Table S5** The optimum process variables for MIL 53 (Al)@SiO<sub>2</sub> synthesis



The PXRD pattern of fly ash shown in Figure S4 confirms the majority of aluminium silicate (sillimanite) [ICDD card no. 000010626] at 33.406° corresponding to (220) facet and 41.180° corresponding to (122) facet, silicon oxide (cristobalite) [ICDD card no. 000040379] peak at 35.891° corresponding to (110) plane, peak at 42.58 corresponding to (211) facet, 60.239° corresponding to (311) facet and 68.536° corresponding to (214) facet, aluminium oxide
hydrate [ICDD card no. 000010259] at peaks 26.75° corresponding to (202) facet, 36.65°
corresponding to (021) facet and 50.37° corresponding to (015) facet. On the other hand the
peak at 16.91° corresponding to (020) plane signifies the presence of iron silicate oxide
[ICDD card no. 000110262] and potassium aluminium silicate [ICDD card no. 000500437] at
21.03° corresponding to (211) plane.





65

66

67



Figure S5 SEM image of Fly ash







Figure S6 The Nitrogen adsorption desorption isotherm plots of fly ash



### Figure S7 PZC of MIL 53 (Al)@SiO<sub>2</sub>

73 **BPA adsorption experiment** 

74 % 
$$R = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)}{C_0} \times 100$$
 (S1)

75 
$$q_e = \frac{\left(C_0 - C_e\right)}{m} \times V \tag{S2}$$

76 
$$q_t = \frac{\left(C_0 - C_t\right)}{m} \times V \tag{S3}$$

where, *R* and  $q_e$  represent the percentage BPA removal and BPA uptake by MIL 53 (Al)@SiO<sub>2</sub> at adsorption equilibrium (mg g<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. While the symbol  $q_t$  represents BPA uptake by MIL 53(Al)@SiO<sub>2</sub> at time *t*. The quantities  $C_0$  and  $C_e$  are the initial and equilibrium concentration of BPA in the aqueous solution, while *V* and *m* are the volume of the solution and adsorbent dosage, respectively.

82

71

72

### 83 Asdorption isotherm models

The Langmuir adsorption isotherm model based on the assumption of identical and energetically equivalent active sites and monolayer adsorption, which follows the equation:

86 
$$q_e = \frac{q_m C_e}{\left(\frac{1}{b} + C_e\right)}$$
(S4)

Where  $q_e$  and  $q_m$  are the dye or metal equilibrium uptake (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and maximum monolayer adsorption capacity (mg L<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. b (l/mg) represents Langmuir equilibrium constant. Whereas, Freundlich adsorption isotherm model describes a heterogeneous adsorption model, which is represented as:

91 
$$q_e = K_f C_e^{\frac{1}{N}}$$
(S5)

Where  $K_f$  is the empirical constant, which is used to indicate the adsorption capacity (mg<sup>1-</sup> 1/N.L<sup>1/N</sup>.g<sup>-1</sup>) and N is the heterogeneity factor depending on the adsorbent and adsorbate of the system. Concurrently Temkin adsorption isotherm follows the equation:

95 
$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b_T} \ln(AC_e)$$
(S6)

96  $(RT/b_T)$  and *A* are Tempkin constants, here  $(RT/b_T)$  depends on the heat of adsorption (J mol<sup>-</sup> 97 <sup>1</sup>) and *A* is the equilibrium of binding constant corresponding the maximum binding energy

98 (L  $g^{-1}$ ). R and T are the universal gas constant and the absolute temperature [1,2],

99 respectively.

Adsorption isotherm Model	Parameters	rs Values		
		Те	Temperature (K)	
		283	303	318
Langmuir adsorption isotherm	$q_m(mg/g)$	125.52	134.68	95.41
	В	0.010	0.021	0.011
	$R^2$	0.940	0.970	0.970
Freundlich adsorption	$k_{f}$	3.45	7.71	3.06
Isotherm				
	Ν	1.58	1.83	1.65
	$R^2$	0.891	0.952	0.921
Tempkin adsorption isotherm	В	128.28	94.26	131.57
	$A_t$	0.240	0.270	0.125
	$R^2$	0.909	0.957	0.961

**Table S6** Adsorption isotherm parameters for BPA adsorption on MIL 53 (Al)@SiO<sub>2</sub>

102 Adsorption kinetics model

103 The pseudo first order model [2] is established on the assumption that the rate of change of 104 adsorption over time is directly proportional to the difference in saturation concentration and 105 the amount of solid uptake over time. The model follows the equation:

106 
$$\ln\left(\frac{q_e}{q_e - q_t}\right) = k_1 t$$
 (S7)

107 Where,  $q_e (\text{mg g}^{-1})$  and  $q_t (\text{mg g}^{-1})$  are the mass of solute adsorbed on adsorbent at equilibrium 108 and at agitation time *t* (min), respectively and  $k_1$  (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) the rate constant for pseudo-first 109 order adsorption.

Pseudo second order equation [2] is based on the assumption that adsorption capacity is
proportionate on the active sites on the adsorbent surface. The pseudo second order [2,3]
model is represented by the equation:

113 
$$\frac{1}{q_e - q_t} = \frac{1}{q_e} + k_2 t$$
 (S8)

Here,  $k_2$  is the adsorption rate constant. Elovich adsorption kinetics model [3] is represented by

116 
$$q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\beta \alpha) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(t)$$
(S9)

117  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the initial adsorption rate (mg g<sup>-1</sup> min) and the desorption constant (g mg<sup>-1</sup>), 118 respectively.

119 Intraparticle diffusion model,[3] follows the equation:

120 
$$q_t = k_{int} t^{0.5} + C$$
 (S10)

- 121 Where,  $k_{int}$  is the rate constant of intra-particle diffusion model and C is related to the
- 122 thickness of the boundary layer.

### 123 Table S7 Adsorption kinetics fitting parameters and correlation coefficient for BPA

124

adsorption on MIL 53 (Al)@SiO<sub>2</sub>

Adsorption Kinetic Model	Parameters	Values		
		30 mg/l	80 mg/l	110 mg/l
Pseudo-first order model	$k_1(\min^{-1})$	0.020	0.081	0.287
	$q_e(\text{mg g}^{-1})$	22.110	38.007	68.560
	$R^2$	0.990	0.860	0.952
Pseudo-second order model	$k_2(g mg^{-1} min^{-1})$	0.0005	0.003	0.007
	$q_e(\mathrm{mgg}^{-1})$	32.360	42.760	72.410
	$R^2$	0.991	0.931	0.985

Elovich kinetic model	$\beta$ (mgg <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )	0.157	0.138	0.164
	$\alpha (\text{mg g}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1})$	1.190	21.644	1139.100
	$R^2$	0.973	0.970	0.996
Intra particle diffusion model	$k_{int}(\text{mg g}^{-1} \min^{-0.5})$	2.355	2.710	2.412
	С	-3.014	16.53	49.30
	$R^2$	0.973	0.924	0.911

#### Thermodynamic parameters

The values of  $\Delta H^0$ ,  $\Delta S^0$  and  $\Delta G^0$  can be calculated from the following equations: 

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K_d \tag{S11}$$

$$130 K_{a} = \frac{q_{e}}{C_{e}} (S12)$$

131 
$$\ln K_d = \left(\frac{-\Delta H}{RT}\right) + \left(\frac{\Delta S}{R}\right)$$
 (S13)

132 
$$\Delta S = \left(\frac{\Delta H - \Delta G}{T}\right) \tag{S14}$$

### Here R is the universal gas constant with the value of 8.314 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> and T is the temperature in K [2].

#### Table S8 Thermodynamic parameters for BPA adsorption on MIL 53 (Al)@SiO<sub>2</sub>

$K_d$			$\Delta G^0$		$\Delta H^0$	$\Delta S^{O}$
(L mol	l <sup>-1</sup> )		(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )		(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )
283(K) 303(I	K) 318(K)	283(K)	303(K)	318(K)	-	
1.0436 0.91	8 0.4657	-0.1004	0.208	1.990	-20.53	-0.072

### 142 **Reference**

- 143[1]S. Deng, Y.P. Ting, Fungal Biomass with Grafted Poly(acrylic acid) for Enhancement of144Cu(II) and Cd(II) Biosorption, Langmuir. 21 (2005) 5940–5948. doi:10.1021/la047349a.
- 145 [2] N.M. Mahmoodi, B. Hayati, M. Arami, Textile dye removal from single and ternary systems
  146 using date stones: Kinetic, isotherm, and thermodynamic studies, J. Chem. Eng. Data. 55
  147 (2010) 4638–4649. doi:10.1021/je1002384.
- H. Mazaheri, M. Ghaedi, A. Asfaram, S. Hajati, Performance of CuS nanoparticle loaded on activated carbon in the adsorption of methylene blue and bromophenol blue dyes in binary aqueous solutions : Using ultrasound power and optimization by central composite design, J. Mol. Liq. 219 (2016) 667–676. doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2016.03.050.