Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2020

## **Supporting Information**

## 1. General

The chemical reagents were supplied by commercial company (Aladdin LLC). The organic solvents were treated by standard anhydrous procedure before use. Pre-coated glass plates were applied for TLC analysis. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel (200-300 mesh). NMR spectra were performed in the solvent of CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> on the Bruker-ARX 600 instrument at 26 °C. Bruker mass spectrometer was used for recording the MS spectra. Elemental analyses were analysed on Vario EL IIIElemental Analyzer. UV-Vis spectra were measured on Varian UV-Vis spectrometer. Fluorescence spectra were examined on the Hitachi F-4500 spectrometer. Compound **1** and **2a-2c** were prepared according to the literatures, respectively. (*Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, 2017, **15**, 6006-6013, and *J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem.*, 2010, **67**, 49-54).

## 2. The synthetic process and characteristic spectra.



Scheme S1 The synthesis of compounds 3a, 3b and 3c

## 2.1 Synthesis of compounds 3a, 3b and 3c.

Under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, the mixture of compound **1** (0.22 g, 1 mmol) and compound **2a** (**2b** or **2c** ) (0.5 mmol) was stirred and refluxed in 20 mL of dry MeCN for 24 h with dry  $K_2CO_3$  (0.41g, 3 mmol) and KI (0.10g, 0.6 mmol) as catalyst. The disappearance of starting materials was examined by TLC detection. Subsequently, 30 mL of HCl solution (1 M) and 30 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were added in reaction system under stirring. Then the mixture was partitioned and the organic layer was dried by anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The organic layer was further concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by rapid column chromatography (eluent: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). compounds **3a**, **3b** and **3c** were obtained as pale yellow solid in yields of 72%, 70% and 75%, respectively.

Compound **3a**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δppm: 3.28 (s, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.54(s, 4H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.09 (d, 4H, *J* = 8.0Hz,ArH), 7.29 (d, 4H, *J*= 8.0Hz, ArH), 7.43-7.53 (m, 4H, CH and ArH), 7.69 (d, 4H, *J* = 8.0Hz,ArH), 7.90 (m, 4H, ArH), 8.26(s, 2H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δppm: 168.30, 158.92, 141.36, 134.35, 130.74, 130.42, 130.05, 129.38, 129.04, 127.65, 115.85, 110.36, 67.41, 55.34; MALDI-MS (C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) [M]<sup>+</sup>: Calcd.: 582.227. found:605.195 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C 74.21, H 5.19, N 9.62; found C 74.25, H 5.22, N 9.56%.

Compound **3b**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δppm: 1.44(s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.14 (s, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.54(s, 4H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.09 (d, 4H, *J* = 8.0Hz, ArH), 7.29 (d, 4H, *J*= 8.0Hz, ArH), 7.47-7.54 (m, 4H, CH and ArH), 7.71 (d, 4H, *J* = 8.0Hz, ArH), 7.90 (t, 4H, *J* = 4.0Hz, ArH), 8.18(s, 2H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100

MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δppm: 167.64, 158.81, 141.45, 134.01, 130.76, 130.41, 130.06, 129.39, 129.05, 127.67, 115.85, 110.39, 67.49, 55.34, 26.96; MALDI-MS (C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) [M]<sup>+</sup>: Calcd.: 610.258. found: 611.061[MH]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C 74.73, H 5.61, N 9.17; found C 74.76, H 5.65, N 9.11%.

Compound **3c**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ ppm: 1.23(bs, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.41(bs, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.12 (bs, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.50(s, 4H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.09 (d, 4H, *J* = 8.0Hz, ArH), 7.29 (d, 4H, *J* = 8.0Hz, ArH), 7.47-7.54 (m, 4H, CH and ArH), 7.71 (d, 4H, *J* = 8.0Hz, ArH), 7.91 (t, 4H, *J* = 4.0Hz, ArH), 8.11(s, 2H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ ppm: 167.60, 158.77, 141.43, 133.96, 130.69, 130.40, 130.06, 129.37, 129.05, 127.65, 115.97, 113.11, 67.27, 56.52, 29.44, 26.42; MALDI-MS (C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) [M]<sup>+</sup>: Calcd.: 638.289. found: 638.976[M]<sup>+</sup>, 661.293[M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; Anal. calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C 75.21, H 6.00, N 8.77; found C 75.27, H 6.04, N 8.69%.



Figure S1. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound **3a** 



Figure S3. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 3c



Figure S4. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of compound **3a** 



Figure S5. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of compound **3b** 



Figure S6. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of compound **3**c



Figure S7. MALDI-MS spectrum of compound 3a



Figure S8. MALDI-MS spectrum of compound **3b** 



Figure S9. MALDI-MS spectrum of compound 3c



Figure S10 The UV-Vis absorption spectra of compound **3a** in different solvents  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ 



Figure S11 The UV-Vis absorption spectra of compound **3b** in different solvents  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ 



Figure S12 The UV-Vis absorption spectra of compound 3c in different solvents  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ 



Figure S13 The emission spectra of compound 3a in different solvents (1.0×10<sup>-5</sup> M) with the excitation wavelength of 320 nm.



Figure S14 The emission spectra of compound 3b in different solvents  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{M})$  with the excitation wavelength of 320 nm.



Figure S15 The emission spectra of compound 3c in different solvents (1.0×10<sup>-5</sup>M) with the excitation wavelength of 320 nm.



**Figure S16** (left) The emission spectra of compound **3a** in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with different water fractions ( $\lambda_{ex} = 320$  nm,  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M); (right) The changes in peak intensities with different water fractions in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures (*I* and *I*<sub>o</sub> were the fluorescence intensities in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with different water fractions and in pure THF solution); Inserted: the corresponding fluorescence images.



**Figure S17** (left) The emission spectra of comp ound **3c** in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with different water fractions ( $\lambda_{ex} = 320$  nm,  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M); (right) The changes in peak intensities with different water fractions in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures (*I* and *I<sub>o</sub>* were the fluorescence intensities in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with different water fractions and in pure THF solution); Inserted: the corresponding fluorescence images.



**Figure S18** Fluorescence spectra of the compound **3a** in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with 70% of H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 320 nm, 1.0×10<sup>-6</sup> M) in the presence of various metal ions and biomolecules (1.0×10<sup>-6</sup> M).



**Figure S19** Fluorescence spectra of the compound **3c** in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with 70% of H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 320 nm, 1.0×10<sup>-6</sup> M) in the presence of various metal ions and biomolecules (1.0×10<sup>-6</sup> M).



Figure S20 The influence of pH on the maximum fluorescence intensities of **3b** and **3b** with cytosine in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with 70% of H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\lambda_{ex} = 320$  nm,  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M).  $I_o$  was the fluorescence intensities of **3b** or **3b** with cytosine at pH = 7, *I* were the fluorescence intensities of **3b** or **3b** with cytosine at corresponding pH values.



Figure S21 The Job's plot of compound **3b** with cytosine in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with 70% of H<sub>2</sub>O (The total concentration was  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M, I<sub>0</sub> and I were the fluorescence intensity of compound **3b** before and after sensing cytosine)



**Figure S22** Cell viability of MCF-7 cells before and after incubated with compound **3b**  $(1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M})$  for 12 h and 24 h.

Method	LOD	Reference	Selectivity
Surface plasmon resonance sensor	10 nM	[22]	well
Electrocatalysis of uric acid	9.31 μM	[16]	well
Electroanalysis of functionalized	0.23 μΜ	[17]	well
graphene			
Glassy carbon electrode confined	65 nM	[18]	Not
conducting polymer			meentioned
Graphite-Based Nanocomposite	0.9 μΜ	[13]	weak
Electrochemical Sensor			
fluorescent DNA sensor	5.5 nM	[24]	Well
fluorescence sensor of thiophene-	2.1 nM	[23]	weak
based organic nanoparticles			
AIE fluorescence sensor	0.10 μΜ	This work	well

Table S1 Comparison of other methods for sensing cytosine

\_

\_



Figure S23 The UV-Vis absorption spectra of compound 3b in  $H_2O/THF$  mixtures with 70% of  $H_2O$ (1.0×10<sup>-6</sup> M) in the presence of various equivalent concentrations of cytosine.



Figure S24 The Molecular theoretical orbital amplitude plots of HOMO and LUMO energy levels of compound 3b before and after binding cytosine