## **Supporting information for:**

## Significant Role of Thorny Surface Morphology of Polyaniline on Adsorption of Triiodide Ions towards Counter Electrode in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells

Muhammad Reza<sup>a</sup>, Annisa Nurul Utami<sup>a</sup>, Auliya Nur Amalina<sup>a</sup>, Didi Prasetyo Benu<sup>a,b</sup> Alvian Ikhsanul Fatya<sup>a</sup>, Mohammad Kemal Agusta<sup>c,d</sup>, Brian Yuliarto<sup>c,d</sup>, Yusuf Valentino Kaneti<sup>e\*</sup>, Yusuke Ide<sup>f</sup>, Yusuke Yamauchi<sup>ef</sup>, and Veinardi Suendo<sup>a,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,
 Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia, Jl. Ganesha No. 10, Bandung 40132, Indonesia
 <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Universitas Timor, Jl. Eltari, Kefamenanu, 85613, Indonesia

<sup>c</sup> Department of Engineering Physics, Faculty of Industrial Engineering, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia

<sup>d</sup> Research Center for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Jl. Ganesha No. 10, Bandung 40132, Indonesia

<sup>e</sup> School of Chemical Engineering and Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology (AIBN), The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia.

<sup>f</sup>JST-ERATO Yamauchi Materials Space-Tectonics Project and International Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics (WPI-MANA), National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-1 Namiki, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan.

## **Additional Figures**



**Fig. S1.** UV-vis spectra of the redox pair electrolyte used in this study. Triiodide ion  $(I_3)$  has two strong absorption bands at 289 and 366 nm.



Fig. S2. An example of the fitted UV-vis spectra using the Gaussian function to obtain the corresponding absorption band area.



**Fig. S3.** SEM image of NPES at 10000× magnification (a) and the high-magnification SEM image of NPES at 50000× (b).



Fig. S4. The simulated dual-site Langmuir–Freundlich adsorption isotherms with various combinations of heterogeneity factors, where  $n_1$  is the heterogeneity factor of type 1 site, while  $n_2$  is the heterogeneity factor of type 2 site. Case 1:  $k_{DSLF1} \ll k_{DSLF2}$ .



Fig. S5. The simulated dual-site Langmuir–Freundlich adsorption isotherms with various combinations of heterogeneity factors, where  $n_1$  is the heterogeneity factor of type 1 site, while  $n_2$  is the heterogeneity factor of type 2 site. Case 2:  $k_{DSLF1} \sim k_{DSLF2}$ .



Fig. S6. The simulated dual-site Langmuir–Freundlich adsorption isotherms with various combinations of heterogeneity factors, where  $n_1$  is the heterogeneity factor of type 1 site, while  $n_2$  is the heterogeneity factor of type 2 site. Case 3:  $k_{DSLF1} >>> k_{DSLF2}$ .

## Additional table

CE	Parameters							
	$R_{ m s}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{ m ct}\left(\Omega ight)$	CPE 1 (F $s^{\alpha-1}$ )	α1	$R_{ m w}\left(\Omega ight)$	CPE 2 (F $s^{\alpha-1}$ )	α2	Error (%)
PANI ES	0.25	44.50	$4 \times 10^{-6}$	1	28.00	0.0044	0.75	< 1.0
NPES	0.30	27.05	$9 \times 10^{-6}$	1	45.00	0.0049	0.60	< 1.5

**Table S1.** Parameters determined from EIS spectra of the fabricated DSSCs