## Electronic Supplementary Information

## Ionic liquid assisted preparation and modulation photoluminescence kinetics for

## high efficient CsPbX<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals with improved stability

Ting Chen, \*,a,b Yanqiao Xu, a Zhixiang Xie, b Weihui Jiang, a,b Lianjun Wang \*,b,c and Wan Jiang b,c

<sup>a</sup>School of Material Science and Engineering, Jingdezhen Ceramic Institute, Jingdezhen 333001,

China

<sup>b</sup>National Engineering Research Center for Domestic & Building Ceramics, Jingdezhen 333001,

China

<sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory for Modification of Chemical Fibers and Polymer Materials College of

Materials Science and Engineering, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, China



**Fig. S1** TEM images of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs with  $n_{IL}/n_{Pb}$ = (a) 0, (b) 0.125, (c) 0.25, (d) 0.375, and (e) 0.5.



**Fig. S2** Size distributions of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs with  $n_{IL}/n_{Pb}$ = (a) 0, (b) 0.125, (c) 0.25, (d) 0.375, and (e) 0.5.



**Fig. S3** (a) HAADF-STEM image of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs, and the (b) Cs, (c) Pb, (d) Br elemental maps  $(n_{\rm IL}/n_{\rm Pb}=0)$ .



Fig. S4 (a) PL spectra and (b) PLQYs of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs with different amount of ZnBr<sub>2</sub> treatment.



Fig. S5 (a) Storage- and (b) photo-stability of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs after treating with ZnBr<sub>2</sub> ( $n_{ZnBr_2}/n_{Pb}$ =0.375).



**Fig. S6** Size distributions of  $CsPbBr_3$  NCs with cation concentration: (a) 0.06 mol/L, (b) 0.12 mol/L, (c) 0.15 mol/L, and (d) 0.18 mol/L.

**Table S1** FWHM data and intensity comparison of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs with different molar ratios of  $n_{\rm H}/n_{\rm Pb}$ 

$n_{\rm IL}/n_{\rm Pb}$	Plane	FWHM/°	Calculated Size <sup>a</sup> /nm	Intensity
0	(-200)	0.642	12.69	120
0.125	(-200)	0.628	12.96	125
0.250	(-200)	0.581	14.02	132
0.375	(-200)	0.484	16.83	122
0.500	(-200)	0.363	22.44	146

<sup>a</sup> Calculated size is obtained from Scherrer equation:  $D=K\lambda/Bcos\theta$ , where D represents the particle size of NCs, B represents the FWHM of (-200) plane, and  $\theta$  represents the diffraction angle. K is equal to 0.89.

<b>Table S2</b> EDS results of CsPbBr <sub>3</sub> NCs with different molar ratios of $n_{\rm H}/n_{\rm Pb}$	

$n_{\rm IL}/n_{\rm Pb}$	Cs	Pb	Br	Cs:Pb:Br
0	20.66	22.20	57.14	1.00:1.07:2.76
0.125	18.53	20.04	61.43	1.00:1.08:3.32

Table S3 XPS results of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs with different molar ratios of  $n_{\rm H}/n_{\rm Pb}$ 

$n_{\rm IL}/n_{\rm Pb}$	Cs	Pb	Br	Cs:Pb:Br
0	20.39	21.93	57.68	0.93:1.00:2.63
0.125	17.79	18.73	63.48	0.95:1.00:3.39

**Table S4** FWHM data and intensity comparison of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs with different cation concentration

Cation concentration	Plane	FWHM/°	Calculated Size <sup>a</sup> /nm	Intensity
0.06	(-200)	0.586	13.89	226
0.09	(-200)	0.608	13.39	153
0.12	(-200)	0.615	13.24	123
0.15	(-200)	0.632	12.89	128
0.18	(-200)	0.635	12.80	120

<sup>a</sup> Calculated size is obtained from Scherrer equation:  $D=K\lambda/B\cos\theta$ , where D represents the particle size of NCs, B represents the FWHM of (-200) plane, and  $\theta$  represents the diffraction angle. K is equal to 0.89.