Electronic Supplementary Information

Covalent Sulfur Embedding in Inherent N, P Co-doped Biological

Carbon for Ultrastable and High Rate Lithium-Sulfur Batteries

Jiarui Li,‡ Jian Zhou,‡ Tao Wang,* Xu Chen, Yaxuan Zhang, Xianlu Lu, Qiang Wan,* Jian Zhu*

State Key Laboratory for Chemo/Biosensing and Chemometrics, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, and School of Physics and Electronics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: jzhu@hnu.edu.cn (J. Zhu); <u>wanqiang@hnu.edu.cn</u> (Q. Wang); <u>wangtao2014@hnu.edu.cn</u> (T. Wang)

‡These authors contribute equally to this work.



Fig. S1. (a) Digital photos of GPBBS before being activated. (b) GPBBS after being activated, edulcorated, centrifuged and dried. (c) GPBBS mixed with sulfur by ball milling. The color gradually became light.



Fig. S2. (a) XPS survey of NP@BCCSC-40; High definition of (b) C 1s and (c) S 2p spectrum



Fig. S3. SEM images of NP@BCCSC after 500 cycles.



Fig. S4. (a) Impedance curve of NP@BCCSC before cycling. (b) Impedance curve of NP@BCCSC after 500 cycles. (c) The enlarged view of kinetic control impedance of (a), after cycling (d).



Fig. S5. Charge and discharge curves of NP@BCCSC-20 in different current densities.



Fig. S6. Long cyclic stability of NP@BCCSC-20 cathode with high mass loading of 6.22 mg cm^{-2} when the current density is 500 mA g⁻¹.



Fig. S7. Charge and discharge curves of NP@BCCSC-20 in different cycles under the current density of 10000 mA g⁻¹.

Component	Before cycling	After cycling	
R1/ohm	0.1472	5.598	
$Q1(CPE)/(S \cdot sec^n)$	3.837E-5, n=0.5812	0.001736, n=0.75	
R2/ohm	200	293.6	
Q2(CPE)/(S·sec ⁿ)	0.0001755, n=0.8981	2.139E-5, n=0.75	
R3/ohm	6.729E5	29.05	
C1/F	0.0007876	0.0001538	
R4/ohm	1071	816.6	
Error	Error=9.70E-4	Error=5.38E-4	

Table S1. Components parameters and error in the impedance fitted circuit.

Electrode materials	Current	Capacity retention	Cycles	Decay per cycle	Refs
PSDHC-600A	2 C	29%	1000	0.071%	1
GOC@NPBCS	5 C	55%	1000	0.045%	2
Li ₂ S@C-CNT	1 C	89%	220	0.050%	3
CS-LSP	0.5 C	97.6%	300	0.008%	4
FMSiNP	1 C	43%	1500	0.038%	5
MoS ₂ /Celgard	0.5 C	50.2%	600	0.083%	6
CC/TiO ₂ /S	0.2 C	78%	700	0.031%	7
Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x foam/S-1.5	1 C	75%	1000	0.025%	8
S/YSC@Fe ₃ O ₄	0.1 C	85.4%	200	0.073%	9
Mn ₃ O ₄ array@CC/S	2 C	40%	3000	0.020%	10
NP@BCCSC-20	6 C (2000 mA g ⁻¹)	64%	15000	0.0024%	This work
NP@BCCSC-40	0.75 C (500 mA g ⁻¹)	21%	500	0.158%	This work

Table S2. Comparison of capacity decay rates between this work and previous reported works.

Reference

- 1 Zhou J. J., Guo Y. S., Liang C. D., Yang J., Electrochim. Acta 2018, 273, 127-135.
- 2 Wang T., Zhu J., Wei Z., Yang H., Ma Z., Ma R., Zhou J., Yang Y., Peng L., Fei H., Lu B., Duan X. F., *Nano Lett.* 2019, **19**, 4384-4390.
- 3 Ye F., Noh H., Lee J., Lee H., Kim H.-T., J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, 6, 6617-6624.
- 4 Wu F., Chen S., Srot V., Huang Y., Sinha S. K., Aken P. A., Maier J., Yu Y., *Adv. Mater.* 2018, 30, 1706643.
- 5 Xiao X., Jing Y. W., Qing X. S., Qing X., Zhi G. X., Xiao L. X., Yun S. Y. J. Mater. Chem. A 2019, 7, 9110-9119.
- 6 Zahid A. G., Xiao H., Abdul M. K., Khan N. A., Liang B., Iqdal A., Wang J., Sin H., Li L., Tang Z., Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1606817.
- 7 Lei, T., Xie, Y., Wang, X., Miao, S., Yan, C., Small 2017, 13, 1701013.
- 8 Zhao T., Zhai P., Yang Z., Wang J., Qu L., Du F., Wang J., Nanoscale 2018, 10, 22954-22962.
- 9 He J., Liu L., Chen Y., Manthiram A., Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1702707.
- 10 Guo J., Zhang X., Du X., Zhang F., J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 6447-6454.