Electronic Supplementary Information

# Enhanced Type I photoreaction of indocyanine green *via* electrostatic-force-driven aggregation

Huizhen Fan,<sup>ac</sup> Yu Fan,<sup>b</sup> Wenna Du,<sup>a</sup> Rui Cai,<sup>ac</sup> Xinshuang Gao,<sup>ac</sup> Xinfeng Liu,<sup>ac</sup> Hao Wang,<sup>bc</sup> Lei Wang\*<sup>bc</sup> and Xiaochun Wu\*<sup>ac</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Standardization and Measurement for Nanotechnology, CAS
Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology,
Beijing 100190, China
<sup>b</sup> CAS Key Laboratory for Biomedical Effects of Nanomaterials and Nanosafety, CAS
Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology of China, Beijing 100190, China
<sup>c</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100049, China
\* Corresponding authors: wanglei@nanoctr.cn, wuxc@nanoctr.cn

#### **Experimental Procedures**

## 1. Materials

Chloroauric acid (HAuCl<sub>4</sub>•3H<sub>2</sub>O), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), Lascorbic acid (AA) and poly (sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. (3-Aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES) and propidium iodide (PI) were purchased from Solarbio life science. Sodium ascorbate (NaA), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), Poly dimethyl diallyl ammonium chloride (PDDAC), 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4piperidine (TEMP), superoxide dismutase (SOD), poly(acrylic acid) (PAA, Mw 100,000), 9,10-anthracenediyl-bis(methylene) dimalonic acid (ABDA) and indocyanine green (ICG) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was purchased from Sinopharm chemical reagent corporation. 3'- (p-amino phenyl) fluorescein (APF) was purchased from Life technologies corporation. Poly (allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH, Mw 15,000) was purchased from Macklin. 5-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-5-methyl-1-pyrroline Noxide (BMPO) and WST-1 was purchased from Dojindo molecular technologies. 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine dihydrochloride hydrate (TMB) was purchased from Lablead. Ophenylenediamine (OPD) and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) were purchased from Aladdin. Calcein-AM was purchased by Biotium. CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCFDA was purchased from Introgen. Milli-Q water (18 MQ $\cdot$ cm<sup>-1</sup>) was used for preparation of all solutions.

#### 2. Calculating the molar concentration of nanoparticles

The molar concentration of AuNSs and AuNRs in the suspension was estimated using extinction spectra and TEM result. The molar concentration of Au atom was determined by the extinction value at 400 nm ( $\text{Ext}_{400 \text{ nm}}$ ) of nanoparticles suspension via  $C_{Au} = \text{Ext}_{400}$  nm/( $1^{*}\epsilon_{400 \text{ nm}}$ ), where 1 and  $\epsilon_{400 \text{ nm}}$  are optical path length (cm) and molar extinction coefficient of Au atom at 400 nm ( $2.02^{*}10^{3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), respectively.<sup>1</sup> TEM was used to determine the mean size (diameter and length) of nanoparticles. Then, by assuming AuNSs as a sphere and AuNR as a cylindrical shape with two half-sphere endcaps, we could obtain

the volume of single nanoparticle ( $V_{rod}$  in cm<sup>3</sup>). Through formula  $C_{rod} = V_{Au} * C_{Au} / (A * V_{rod})$ , where  $V_{Au}$  and A are molar volume of Au (10.2 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol) and Avogadro's constant, we could obtain the molar concentration of AuNSs and AuNRs in the suspension.

## 3. Detection of absorption and fluorescence spectra

The reductant or ROS probe was added to the ICG mixed solution (containing CTAB or other molecules, nanoparticles) and transferred to the quartz cuvette. The solution was irradiated with an 808 nm continuous-wave diode laser (Daheng Science & Technology, China) for various time and recorded the spectra in Varian Cary 50<sup>®</sup> UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies, USA) or Horiba Fluoro-Max4 spectrometer (HORIBA Ltd., Japan).

#### 4. Transient absorption measurement

Femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy measurements were performed using a commercial fs-TAS system, i.e., HELIOS (Ultrafast Systems). The 800 nm pulse from a Coherent Astrella regenerative amplifier (100 fs, 1 kHz, 2.5 mJ/pulse), seeded by a Coherent Vitara-s oscillator (35 fs, 80 MHz), was used to pump an optical parameters amplifier (Coherent, OperA Solo) to generate the excitation pulse at 610 nm for the excitation of ICG. A small fraction of the 800 nm output from the Astrella was fed to a sapphire crystal in the HELIOS for generating the white light continuum (WLC). The focused pump and probe spot sizes were 150 and 100  $\mu$ m, respectively. A 750 nm SPF was placed in the probe path before the sample to filter out the residual 800 nm in the WLC. The system has an ultimate temporal resolution of ~130 fs.

## 5. Cell culture and cytotoxicity experiment

Human hepatocytes (LO2) cells were incubated at  $10^4$  cells per well in 96-well plates at 37 °C for 24 h. Then, the medium was replaced by fresh medium containing different materials (ICG, 2  $\mu$ M; AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>, 0.04 nM) and irradiated with an 808 nm laser for 1 min. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay was used to determine the cell viability.



**Figure S1.** Photo-oxidation of NaA (50  $\mu$ M) by ICG (5  $\mu$ M) in the mixtures of ICG and CTAB with CTAB concentration of (a) 10  $\mu$ M, (b) 50  $\mu$ M and (c) 500  $\mu$ M, respectively. Effect of CTAB amount after normalized by the absorbance at 808 nm (d). All experiments were conducted using 4 W/cm<sup>2</sup> 808 nm laser.



**Figure S2.** Photo-oxidation of NaA (50  $\mu$ M) by ICG (5  $\mu$ M) in the mixtures of ICG with different molecules monitored by absorption spectra. (a) SDS, (b) PSS, (c)PAA, (d) PDDAC and (e) PAH. SDS, 500  $\mu$ M; PSS, PDDAC, PAA, PAH, 1mg/L. All experiments were conducted using 4 W/cm<sup>2</sup> 808 nm laser.



**Figure S3.** (a) Representative TEM image of AuNSs with a mean diameter of  $32.1 \pm 1.1$  nm as measured using Image Pro Plus. (b) Zeta potentials of negatively charged AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH and positively charged AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>. Electrostatic interactions of AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH (c) and AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> (d) with ICG monitored by absorption spectra and calculation of ICG (5 µM) loading precentage (e). AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH, AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 nM.



Figure S4. TMB (500  $\mu$ M) photo-oxidation by (a) ICG (5  $\mu$ M), (b) 0.1 nM AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH, (c) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>, (d) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH-ICG (0.1 nM/5  $\mu$ M), and (e) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>-ICG (0.1 nM/5  $\mu$ M), respectively. All experiments were conducted using 4 W/cm<sup>2</sup> 808 nm laser.



**Figure S5.** ABDA (100  $\mu$ M) photo-oxidation by (a) ICG (5  $\mu$ M), (b) 0.1 nM AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH, (c) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>, (d) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH-ICG (0.1nM/5  $\mu$ M), and (e) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>-ICG (0.1 nM/5  $\mu$ M), respectively. All experiments were conducted using 4 W/cm<sup>2</sup> 808 nm laser.



**Figure S6.** WST-1 (100  $\mu$ M) photo-reduction by (a) ICG (5  $\mu$ M), (b) 0.1 nM AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH, (c) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>, (d) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-OH-ICG (0.1 nM/5  $\mu$ M), and (e) AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>-ICG (0.1 nM/5  $\mu$ M), respectively. All experiments were conducted using 4 W/cm<sup>2</sup> 808 nm laser.



Figure S7. Absorption spectra of ICG (50  $\mu$ M) before and after mixing with AuNS@SiO<sub>2</sub>

(1

nM).



**Figure S8.** Absorption (a) and fs TA spectra (b-d) monitored at indicated wavelength for ICG itself and its mixtures with PDDAC or PSS.

	~ 680 nm		~ 770 nm	
$\lambda_{exe} = 610$ nm	T <sub>1</sub> (ps)	T <sub>2</sub> (ps)	T <sub>1</sub> (ps)	T <sub>2</sub> (ps)
ICG	62.8 ± 11.2 (33%)	$4.2 \pm 0.5$ (67%)	131.4 ±11.1 (67%)	3.1 ± 0.7 (33%)
ICG-PDDAC	74.9 ± 22.9 (21%)	5.9 ±0.7 (79%)	66.4 ± 16.1 (23%)	$4.9 \pm 0.5$ (77%)
ICG-PSS	50.5 ± 13.2 (28%)	4.2 ± 0.7 (72%)	114.4 ± 15.6 (49%)	2.8 ± 0.5 (51%)

Table S1. Decay times obtained by using bi-exponential decay fitting.



**Figure S9.** NaA (150  $\mu$ M) photo-oxidation by ICG upon 660 nm laser irradiation (200 mW). (a, b) Spectral evolutions of NaA upon laser irradiation in the aqueous solution of 5  $\mu$ M ICG (a) and that containing 5  $\mu$ M ICG and 100  $\mu$ M CTAB (b), respectively. (c) NaA photo-oxidation percentage vs irradiation time. (d) Comparison of 1 min laser irradiation after normalized the absorbance of 660 nm.



Figure S10. E. coli and S. aureus viability upon sample exposure in dark.



Figure S11. Brightfield images of ROS detection in bacteria (Figure 4c) incubated with different samples. Scale bar,  $10 \mu m$ .



**Figure S12.** (a) Representative TEM image of AuNRs with a mean rod length and width of  $66.4 \pm 3.5$  nm and  $18.9 \pm 2.0$  nm, respectively, as measured using Image Pro Plus and (b) that of AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S13.** (a) Electrostatic interactions of positively charged 0.1 nM AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> with ICG monitored by absorption spectra. (b) Absorption spectra of ICG (5  $\mu$ M) before and after mixing with 0.1 nM AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>. The extinction spectrum of AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> and the spectral overlap of AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> and ICG are shown to indicate the formation of ICG aggegrates by mixing ICG and AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> together.

From Figure S13a, the optimzied loading ratio of ICG to AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> is roughly 5 µM ICG/0.1 nM AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S14.** (a) Temperature-rising curves of 2  $\mu$ M ICG, 0.04 nM AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>, and AuNR@SiO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>–ICG (0.04 nM/2  $\mu$ M) upon laser illumination at a power density of 1 W/cm<sup>2</sup>. (b) Absorption spectra of three samples before and after laser illumination.



Figure S15. The toxicity of samples to bacteria and cells in dark. (a) Viability of *S. aureus*.(b) Viability of LO2.



**Figure S16.** Typical photographs of the wounds at day 1, 3 and 5 after different treatments in dark.



**Figure S17.** (a) Wound healing ratio on day 1, day 3 and day 5 and (b) bacterial viability at infected wounds treated by different particles in dark on the day 5.

# References

1. Z. Hu, S. Hou, Y. Ji, T. Wen, W. Liu, H. Zhang, X. Shi, J. Yan and X. Wu, *AIP Adv*. 2014, **4**, 117137.