### **Supplementary Information**

## Spin-phonon coupling in epitaxial SrRuO<sub>3</sub> heterostructures

Seung Gyo Jeong<sup>1,†</sup>, Soo Yeon Lim<sup>2,†</sup>, Jiwoong Kim<sup>3</sup>, Sungkyun Park<sup>3</sup>, Hyeonsik Cheong<sup>2,\*</sup>, and Woo Seok Choi<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Korea
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, Korea
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Busan 46241, Korea

# Supplementary Note 1 | Raman tensor calculation of SRO for the relative polarization dependencies.

The intensity of each Raman mode is proportional to  $|\langle \hat{e}_s | R | \hat{e}_i \rangle|^2$ , where  $\hat{e}_i (\hat{e}_s)$  is the polarization of the incident (scattered) photons, and *R* is the Raman tensor of each mode. The Raman tensors can be written as

$$R(A_g) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R(B_{1g}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d & 0 \\ d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, R(B_{2g}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R(A_g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f \\ 0 & f & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (S1)$$

where *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, and *f* are constants. Therefore, the Raman intensities depending on the polarization angle of the  $A_g$  mode, for example, can be calculated as

$$I(A_g) \propto \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_s \quad \sin \theta_s \quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_s \right] \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_i \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_i \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_i \end{pmatrix} \right]^2$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{2} (a+c) \cos \theta_s \cos \theta_i + b \sin \theta_s \sin \theta_i \right|^2$$
(S2)

In the case of relative polarization dependence, the relative polarization  $\theta_r$  should be equal to the value of  $\theta_i + \theta_r$ , where  $\theta_i$  and  $\theta_s$  are the polarizations of the incident and scattered photons, respectively. Therefore, the intensity of the  $A_g$  mode is proportional to  $\left|\frac{1}{2}(a+c)\cos\theta_r\right|^2$ . Similarly, the intensity depending on the relative polarization angle of the  $B_{2g}$  mode is proportional to  $\left|\frac{1}{2}e\cos\theta_r\right|^2$ , and the intensities of the  $B_{1g}$  and  $B_{3g}$  modes are proportional to  $\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}d\sin\theta_r\right|^2$  and  $\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}f\sin\theta_r\right|^2$ , respectively.



#### Fig. S1 | XRD RSM of the SRO/STO SL.

RSM of the  $[(SRO)_6|(STO)_8]_{50}$  SL over a wider  $q_z$  range. The Bragg peaks of the SL  $(SL^{\pm n})$  indicate a fully strained state.



Fig. S2 | Polarized Raman spectra of SRO for different relative polarizations between incident and scattered photons.

Angle-resolved polarized Raman spectra of the SRO single film measured at 293 K as a function of the relative polarization  $\theta_r$  between the incident ( $\theta_i$ ) and scattered ( $\theta_s$ ) photon polarizations ( $\theta_s = \theta_i + \theta_r$ ) for  $\theta_i = (a) 0^\circ$  and (b) 90°. In the relative polarization configuration, both  $I(A_g)$  and  $I(B_{2g})$  are proportional to  $|\cos^2\theta_s|$ . This indicates that all observed SRO phonon modes can be attributed to either  $A_g$  or  $B_{2g}$  symmetry.



#### Fig. S3 | Circularly polarized Raman spectra for SRO.

Circularly polarized Raman spectra of the SRO single film measured at 293 K. The P<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>6</sub> modes have different intensities in the two polarization configurations, meaning these modes should be attributed to  $A_g$  symmetry. The  $(\sigma^+\sigma^-)$  [ $(\sigma^+\sigma^-)$ ] configuration indicates the same (opposite) circular polarization between the incident and scattered photons.



Fig. S4 | Lorentzian fitting parameter for SRO phonon modes.

*T*-dependent phonon dynamics of the SRO single film (Fig. 3(a)) obtained by fitting Lorentzian oscillators. The peak positions ( $\omega$ ), FWHM values, and normalized intensities of (a) P<sub>2</sub>, (b) P<sub>3</sub>, (c) P<sub>4</sub>, and (d) P<sub>6</sub> are displayed as functions of *T*, respectively. To investigate the spin-phonon coupling in the SL, we further analyzed the parameters of P<sub>6</sub> for the SL in (d).



#### Fig. S5 | Anharmonic contribution of the P<sub>6</sub> mode.

An anharmonic phonon scattering model was used to remove the thermal contributions.<sup>S1</sup> The anharmonic contribution assuming three phonon processes can be described as,

$$\omega(T) = \omega_0 - A \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{e^{\frac{h\omega_0}{2k_B T}} - 1} \right],$$
(S3)

where  $\hbar$  and  $k_{\rm B}$  are the Planck and Boltzman constants, respectively, and A and  $\omega_0$  are fitting parameters. We subtracted the anharmonic contributions (solid lines) from the *T*-dependent phonon frequencies  $\omega$  (symbols) of the P<sub>6</sub> mode for the SRO single film and SL.

#### Reference

S1. M. Balkanski, R. F. Wallis and E. Haro, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1983, **28**, 1928-1934.



**Fig. S6** | **Subtraction of STO contributions from Raman spectra of SRO heterostructures.** *T*-dependence of the Raman spectra of (a) SRO single film and (b) SL obtained by subtracting the STO contributions at the corresponding temperatures. The set of STO spectra in (a) and (b) are identically drawn in different *y*-scale to match the STO signals in each type of sample. Note that STO peaks in the SL include that from the STO layers within the SL in addition to the substrate.