Supporting information

In Situ Engineered ultrafine NiS₂-ZnS Heterostructures in Micro-Mesoporous Carbon Spheres Accelerating Polysulfide Redox Kinetics for High-Performance Lithium-Sulfur Batteries

Zhanshuang Jin,^{a, b, ‡} Tianning Lin,^{a, ‡} Hongfeng Jia,^a Bingqiu Liu,^a Qi Zhang,^a Lihua Chen,^c Lingyu Zhang,^a * Lu Li,^a, Zhongmin Su,^{a, b} Chungang Wang^{a, *}

^a Department of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University 5268 Renmin Street, Changchun, Jilin 130024, P. R. China

^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Yanbian University, Yanji, Jilin, 133002, China

^c Shandong Key Laboratory of Biochemical Analysis; College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, PR China.

*Correspondence: E-mail: zhangly827@nenu.edu.cn; wangcg925@nenu.edu.cn

‡ These authors contributed equally to the work.

Experimental Section

Materials

Polyacrylic acid (PAA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Zinc oxide (ZnO), isopropyl alcohol (IPA), aqueous ammonia solution, nickel chloride hexahydrate (NiCl₂·6H₂O, 99.7%), anhydrous ethanol and sulfur were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Beijing Co., Ltd and used without further purification. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate [Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 99.5%], nitric acid, thioacetamide (TAA), aqueous ammonia solution, anhydrous ethanol, were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Beijing Co., Ltd. Methoxy-poly(ethylene glycol)-thiol (OCH₃-PEG-SH) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Deionized water was used in all experiments.

Characterization

Transmission electron micrographs were taken by JEOLJEM-2100F transmission electron microscope (TEM) under 200 kV accelerating voltage. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained by using an XL30 ESEM-FEG field emission scanning electron microscope (FEI Co.). The X-ray photoelectron spectrum (XPS) was performed with an ECSALAB 250 by using non-monochromated Al Kα radiation. N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements were measured using an intelligent gravimetric analyzer Autosorb-iQ (Quantachrome). The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained on a D8 Focus diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Perkin-Elmer TG-7 analyzer heated from room temperature to 700 °C at a ramp rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in air. The inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) was obtained on Shimadzu, ICPS-8100.

Synthesis of PAA-Zn and Ni(OH)₂/PAA-Zn

In a 2 L conical flask, a PAA aqueous solution (0.2 g mL⁻¹, 3 mL) and 0.12 g ZnO were added in deionized water (0.6 L) and ultrasonically dispersed for 30 min. After that, isopropyl alcohol (1.2 L) was dripped to the flask under magnetic stirring to obtain the PAA-Zn nanospheres suspension. 1 mL of NH₃·H₂O (2 mol L⁻¹) solution was added into the obtained 1.8 L PAA-Zn nanospheres suspension under magnetic stirring. In succession, 2 mL of NiCl₂·6H₂O (60 mg) solution was injected dropwise into the solution at room temperature for 4 h to obtain the Ni(OH)₂/PAA-Zn. The obtained Ni(OH)₂/PAA-Zn were centrifuged and washed several times by isopropyl alcohol and finally dried at 50 °C for 24 h for further experiment.

Synthesis of Ni₃ZnC_{0.7}/MMC, uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC and MMC

The Ni(OH)₂/PAA-Zn were annealed from room temperature to 700 °C at a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ and then maintained at 700 °C for 4 h under a high-purity argon atmosphere to obtain the Ni₃ZnC_{0.7}/MMC. The as-obtained Ni₃ZnC_{0.7}/MMC hybrid material and sublimed sulfur (2:1, weight ratio) were well mixed and annealed under an argon flow at 300 °C for 12 h to obtain the uNiS₂-ZnS@MMC composites. After washing in HCl aqueous solution, the as-synthesized uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC NSs were converted into MMC NSs.

Synthesis of ZnS, OCH₃-ZnS@PAA-NH₄, OCH₃-ZnS@Ni(OH)₂/PAA-NH₄, NiS₂-ZnS

In a typical process, 0.3 g of $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ was dissolved in 20 mL of water in a 50 mL conical flask. Then 12.5 uL nitric acid was added under vigorous stirring. After

10 min of stirring, 0.9 g of TAA was added slowly and the mixture was refluxed at 75 °C for 2 h. After normal cooling, the precipitate washed several times with water and anhydrous ethanol to remove the unreacted reagents. The sample obtained was dried at 50 °C for 24 h for further experiment.

In a 1 L flask, PAA (1 mL, 0.2 g mL⁻¹) and 50 mg of ZnS were mixed in 200 mL of DI water with magnetic stirring for 30 min. To this mixture, 5 mL OCH₃-PEG-SH (0.5 mg mL⁻¹ in DI water) were added. 30 min later, 800 mL of IPA was dripped into the suspension under magnetic stirring to form the OCH₃-ZnS@PAA-NH₄. In succession, 2 mL of NiCl₂·6H₂O (600 mg) solution was injected dropwise into the solution at room temperature for 4 h to obtain the OCH₃-ZnS@Ni(OH)₂/PAA-NH₄. The as-obtained OCH₃-ZnS@Ni(OH)₂/PAA-NH₄ and sulfur (2:1, weight ratio) were well mixed and annealed under an argon flow at 300 °C for 12 h to obtain the NiS₂-ZnS composites.

Synthesis of uNiS₂-ZnS@MMC-S, MMC-S and NiS₂-Zn-S

The prepared 30 mg uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC (or MMC) composites are mixed with 70 mg sulfur, milling for 30 min and sealed in the glass tube. Then the tube is heated at 155 °C for 12 h. All obtained products were immersed in 30 mL ethanol solution at room temperature for 15 min to eliminate the possible sulfur particles attached on the surface of the uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC-S and MMC-S composites. The NiS₂-ZnS-S was obtained by milling NiS₂-ZnS (6 mg), Super P (24 mg) and sulfur (60 mg), named as NiS₂-ZnS-S.

Adsorption simulation experiment

The Li₂S₆ solution is prepared by mixing Li₂S and sulfur at the molar ratio of 1:5 in a mixed solution of DOL and DME (1:1, volume ratio) followed by magnetic stirring for 20 h at 80 °C. Then, 20 mg Super P (MMC or uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC) power are added into as prepared Li₂S₆ (5 mL, 2 mmol L⁻¹) solution, respectively. TheNiS₂-ZnS (4 mg) and Super P (16 mg) are added into as prepared Li₂S₆.

Electrochemical Measurements

Electrochemical measurement was conducted using the 2025 foil coin cell. The sulfur composite cathodes were prepared by mixing the selected samples, acetylene black and binder (polyvinylidene fluoride, PVDF) in N-methy-pyrrolidinone (NMP) solvent with a weight ratio of 80:10:10. The mixture was stirred for 24 h, spread on an aluminum foil, and then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C to remove the solvent. The obtained film was punched into disks with a diameter of 12 mm. The areal mass loading of sulfur was about 1.0 mg cm⁻², and 30 μ L of electrolyte was used in the coin cells. To construct high sulfur loading electrodes, we prepared thicker films with a sulfur areal loading of 4.0 mg cm⁻², and 70 μ L of electrolyte was used in the coin cells. The Li-S batteries were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox with the aboveprepared sulfur composite cathodes and lithium metal foil anodes. A solution of 1.0 mol L⁻¹ bis (trifluoro-methane) sulfonamide lithium (LiTFSI) dissolved in a mixed solvent of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) with a 1:1 volume ratio containing LiNO₃ (0.1 mol L^{-1}) was used as the electrolyte. The cycling performances of Li-S cells were measured on a Neware Battery Measurement System (Neware, China) at different current densities with a potential window of 1.7-2.8 V vs

Li/Li⁺. The CV curves were collected on a CHI760E electrochemical workstation at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ between 1.7 and 2.8 V. EIS analysis was carried out in the range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz. For the symmetric electrochemical cell measurement, 90 wt% active material (MMC, uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC and NiS₂-ZnS/Super P) and 10 wt% PVDF binder were homogenized in NMP to form a consistent slurry, which was then uniformly coated on aluminum current collector. Each coin cell added 30 µL electrolyte (0.5 mol L⁻¹ Li₂S₆ in DME electrolyte). CV curves were measured at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ in a potential window of -1.0 to 1.0 V. All specific capacity values were obtained based on the mass of elemental sulfur. For the nucleation of lithium sulfide, the applied Li₂S₈ electrolyte was prepared by mixing sulfur and Li₂S with a molar ratio of 7:1 in tetraglyme with extra 1.0 mol L⁻¹ LiTFSI under continuous magnetic stirring for 24.0 h. The concentration of Li_2S_8 was 2.0 mol[S] L⁻¹. 20 μL of the Li_2S_8 electrolyte was dropped onto the $uNiS_2\mbox{-}ZnS/MMC$ ((MMC or NiS₂-ZnS/Super P) cathode, while 20 μ L blank electrolyte without Li₂S₈ was added to the anode side. The assembled cells were first galvanostatically discharged at 0.112 mA to 2.06 V and then potentiostatically discharged at 2.05 V for nucleation of Li_2S . The potentiostatic discharge was terminated when the current was below 10⁻⁵ A.



Fig. S1. SEM image of the MMC.



Fig. S2. SEM and TEM images of a) ZnS, b) OCH₃-ZnS@PAA-NH₄, c) OCH₃-ZnS@Ni(OH)₂/PAA-NH₄, d) NiS₂-ZnS. Elemental mapping images of e-h) NiS₂-ZnS.



Fig. S3. XRD pattern of a) NiS₂-ZnS. TGA curves of b) MMC-S under N_2 atmosphere.



Fig. S4. High-resolution XPS spectra at a) C 1s, b) S 2p, c) Ni 2p and d) Zn 2p regions of NiS₂-ZnS/MMC-S.



Fig. S5. Adsorption capability tests of a) blank, b) Super P, c) NiS₂-ZnS/Super P, d) MMC and e) uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC in mixed 5 mL DOL/DME solutions of 2 mmol L^{-1} Li₂S₆.



Fig. S6. CV curves and onset potentials of redox peaks of a) uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC-S, b) MMC-S and c) S/nNiS₂-ZnS/Super P cathodes, and corresponding differential CV curves of d) uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC-S, e) MMC-S and f) S/NiS₂-ZnS/Super P cathodes.



Fig. S7. a) Rate performance and b) galvanostatic discharge-charge profiles of $uNiS_2$ -ZnS/MMC-S cathode with a sulfur loading of 4.0 mg cm⁻² at different current rates.

Table S1. Performance comparison of $uNiS_2$ -ZnS/MMC material with other representative heterostructured host materials.

Material	Rate	Cycles	Initial Capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	Capacity retention (mAh g ⁻¹)	Ref.
NiO-NiCo ₂ O ₄ @C	0.5 C	500	1014	717	[1]
TiO ₂ /BaTiO ₃	0.5 C	500	898	541	[2]
DCC/MoS ₂ /PrNP/CNTs	1.0 C	800	1046	871	[3]
MoN-VN	1.0 C	500	766	555	[4]
ZnS-FeS/NC	1.0 C	200	933	800	[5]
C/SnS ₂ /SnO ₂	2.0 C	500	800	650	[6]
uNiS ₂ -ZnS/MMC	0.1 C	100	1281	1026	
	1.0 C	500	1045	810	Our work
		1000	1045	710	



Fig. S8. The 19 light-emitting diodes lit by the uNiS₂-ZnS/MMC-S cell.



Fig. S9. After cycling at 1 C for 200 cycles, SEM image of the Li metal foil from the MMC-S coin cell after cycling.

References

- [1] L. Hu, C. Dai, H. Liu, Y. Li, B. Shen, Y. Chen, S.-J. Bao, M. Xu, Adv. Energy Mater. 8 (2018) 1800709.
- [2] H. E. Wang, K. Yin, X. Zhao, N. Qin, Y. Li, Z. Deng, L. Zheng, B. L. Su, Z. Lu, Chem. Commun. 54 (2018) 12250-12253.
- [3] M. Chen, W. Xu, S. Jamil, S. Jiang, C. Huang, X. Wang, Y. Wang, H. Shu, K. Xiang, P. Zeng, Small 14 (2018) 1803134.
- [4] C. Ye, Y. Jiao, H. Y. Jin, A. D. Slattery, K. Davey, H. H. Wang, S. Z. Qiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 57 (2018) 16703.
- [5] W. Li, Z. Gong, X. Yan, D. Wang, J. Liu, X. Guo, Z. Zhang, G. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A 8 (2020) 433-442.
- [6] M. Wang, L. Fan, X. Wu, Y. Qiu, Y. Wang, N. Zhang, K. Sun, Chem. 25 (2019) 5416-5421.