## **Supporting Information**

**Rapid Production of Multiple Transition Metal Carbides via Microwave Combustion under Ambient Conditions** 

Huiyu Jiang,  $\ddagger^a$  Junfeng Li,  $\ddagger^a$  Zhiheng Xiao,<sup>b</sup> Bo Wang,<sup>c</sup> Mingzhao Fan,<sup>a</sup> Siqi Xu,<sup>a</sup> and Jun Wan<sup>\*a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Hubei Key Laboratory of Biomass Fiber and Ecological Dyeing and Finishing, Wuhan Textile University, Wuhan 430200, Hubei, China.

<sup>b</sup>State Key Laboratory for Hubei New Textile Materials and Advanced Processing Technology, Wuhan Textile University, Wuhan 430200, Hubei, China.

<sup>c</sup>School of Electrical Engineering and Automation, Luoyang Institute of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471023, Henan, China.

‡ These authors contributed equally to the work.

\*Correspondence author: wanj@wtu.edu.cn



**Figure S1.** A series of photographs showing the sample during flash ignition with the time interval labeled in two seconds.



**Figure S2.** XRD patterns of intermediate reaction condition samples. (a) XRD pattern of the precursor of WO<sub>3</sub>/GO composite after microwave treating for 30 s. (b) XRD patterns of V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/GO composites after microwave treating for 30 s and 60 s. (c) XRD pattern of Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/GO composite after microwave treating for 90 s. (d) XRD patterns of Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/GO composites after microwave treating for 30 s and 90 s.



Figure S3. (a) XPS survey spectra and (b) C 1s peaks of the W<sub>2</sub>C-90s sample.



Figure S4. (a) XPS survey spectra and (b) C 1s peaks of the VC-120s sample.



Figure S5. (a) XPS survey spectra and (b) C 1s peaks of the NbC-120s sample.



Figure S6. (a) XPS survey spectra and (b) C 1s peaks of the TaC-140s sample.



**Figure S7.** (a) XRD patterns of the pre-synthesis and completed reaction condition samples. (b) XPS survey spectra. (c) Core level Fe 2p XPS spectra and (d) C 1s peaks of the Fe<sub>3</sub>C-120s sample.



Figure S8. EDS elemental mapping scanning of the W<sub>2</sub>C-90s sample from TEM.



Figure S9. EDS elemental mapping scanning of the VC-120s sample from TEM.



Figure S10. EDS elemental mapping scanning of the Fe<sub>3</sub>C-120s sample from TEM.



Figure S11. EDS elemental mapping scanning of the NbC-120s sample from TEM.



Figure S12. EDS elemental mapping scanning of the TaC-140s sample from TEM.



**Figure S13.** (a) SEM of the MoO3/GO composite. (b,c) TEM and High-resolution images of the Mo2C-120s sample.



**Figure S14.** The High-resolution TEM images of the Mo-6s and Mo-100s samples. (a) The reduction process from  $MoO_3$  to  $MoO_2$ . (b) The transition boundary of reduction between two crystalline phases. (c) The carbonization process from  $MoO_2$  to  $Mo_2C$ . (d) The transition boundary of carbonization between  $MoO_2$  and  $Mo_2C$  phases.



Figure S15. (a) XPS survey spectra and (b) C 1s peaks of the Mo<sub>2</sub>C-120s sample.



Figure S16. Nyquist plots of the above carbide electrocatalysts.



Figure S17. The long-term durability test of VC-120s sample at  $\eta$ =102 mV in 0.5 M

 $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{SO}_4.$ 

Catalyst	Loading	Electrolyte	Onset potential	Overpotential at $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	Tafel slope	Ref.
	(mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )		(mV vs RHE)	(mV vs RHE)	(mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	56476
W2C/rGO	0.55	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	38	120	51	This work.
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/rGO	0.55	0.5 M H2SO4	45	138	55	This work.
VC/rGO	0.55	0.5 M H2SO4	21	88	56	This work.
<sup>7</sup> e3C/rGO	0.55	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	30	116	68	This work.
NbC/rGO	0.55	0.5 M H2SO4	126	265	112	This work.
ſaC/rGO	0.55	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	48	166	93	This work.
W <sub>2</sub> C/MWNT	0.556	$0.5MH_2SO_4$	50	123	45	Nat. Commun. 2016. 7. 13216
WC NPs	1	$0.5MH_2\!SO_4$	100	125	84	2013, 7, 13210. ChemSusChem 2013, 6, 168
P-W2C@NC	3.5	$0.5~\mathrm{MH_2\!SO_4}$	45	89	53	J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 765
Fe-WCN	0.4	PH=1 H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	~100	220	47.1	Angew. Chem., Int Ec 2013, 52, 13638
a-WC/CB	0.724	$0.5~\mathrm{MH_2\!SO_4}$	<50	260		Angew. Chem., Int Ed
WC-CNTs		0.05 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	15	145	72	2014, 53, 5131. ACS Nano
						2015, 9, 5125.
Porous WC hin film	0.16	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	120	274	67	J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 5798.
Thin film $W_2C$		$0.5\ M\ H_2SO_4$		>300	69	J. Am. Chem. Soc
W <sub>2</sub> C microspheres		$1~\mathrm{MH_2\!SO_4}$	50	~170	118	Int. J. Hydrogen. Ener
$Co_6W_6C$	0.28	$0.5MH_2SO_4$	26	200	75	Nanoscale
MosC@NPC/NPRGO	0.14	05MH-SO	0	34	33.6	2015, 7, 3130. Nat Commun
nojo (graf ostando	0.11	0.5 11112504		5.	55.0	2016, 7, 11204
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/NCF	0.28	$0.5MH_2SO_4$	40	144	55	ACS Nano. 2016-10, 11337
2D-N,Co-Mo <sub>2</sub> C	0.55	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	25	71	40	Adv. Funct. Mater 2017, 1703933.
Co-Mo <sub>2</sub> C-0.020	0.14	$0.5MH_2SO_4$	40	= 140	39	Adv. Funct. Mater.
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/RGO	0.285	$0.5\mathrm{M}\mathrm{H_2SO_4}$	70	130	57.3	2016, 26, 5590. Chem. Commun.
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/CNT-GR	0.65	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	62	130	58	2014, 50, 13135 ACS Nano
						2014, 8, 5164.
Mo <sub>2</sub> C@NC	0.28	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		124	60	Angew. Chem. Int. E 2015, 54, 10752
Mo <sub>2</sub> C nanotubes	0.75	$0.5MH_2SO_4$	82	172	62	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed
np-Mo <sub>2</sub> C NWs	0.21	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	70	130	53	2015, 54, 15395 Energy Environ. Sc
L. CIONT	20			150	<i>(</i> <b>5</b>	2014, 7, 387
M02C/CN1	2.0	0.1 M HCI04		152	65	2013, 6, 943.
<sup>7</sup> e <sub>3</sub> C-GNRs		$0.5MH_2SO_4$	32	49	46	ACS Nano 2015 9 7407
Fe <sub>3</sub> C-Mo <sub>2</sub> C/NC	0.14	$0.5~MH_2SO_4$	42	116	43	ChemSusChem
Fe <sub>3</sub> C/Mo <sub>2</sub> C@NPGC	0.14	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	18	98	45.2	2017, 10, 2597. J. Mater. Chem. A
				- Second	2010-10	2016, 4, 1202.
VC-NS	0.28	$0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{SO}_4$		98	56	Nano Energy 2016 26 603
2D TaC-RGO	0.64	$0.5~MH_2SO_4$	19	167	58	Chem. Commun.
NbC100		0.1 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	>100	470	35 A	2010, 52, 8810. ACS Appl. Mat. Interfac
						2017 0 20972