## A High-throughput Assessing of Adsorption Capacity and Li-ion Diffusion

## Dynamics in Mo Based Ordered Double-Transition-Metal MXenes as Anode

## **Materials for Fast Charging LIBs**

Hangyu Wang<sup>a</sup>, Ziang Jing<sup>a</sup>, Haoliang Liu<sup>a</sup>, Xianghui Feng<sup>a</sup>, Guodong Meng<sup>a</sup>,

Kai Wu<sup>a</sup>, Yonghong Cheng<sup>a</sup>, Bing Xiao\*<sup>a</sup>

a. School of Electrical Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Electrical Insulation and Power Equipment, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

Corresponding Author: bingxiao84@xjtu.edu.cn



**Figure S1.** The total energies of Mo-based MXenes with surface functional groups T (H, O, F, and OH) at different adsorption sites. The most stable adsorption structures and associated total cell energies are highlighted in rectangular box by the red-bashed lines.



Figure S2. The bond population of (a) M-C and (b) Mo-C in Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub> and Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub> (M = Sc, Ti, V, Zr, Nb, Hf, Ta, T = H, O, F, OH).



**Figure S3.** The snapshots of -OH functionalized MXenes placed in between two bulk Li metals in FPMD simulations at 200 K: (a) Mo<sub>2</sub>ScC<sub>2</sub> and (b) Mo<sub>2</sub>TiC<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S4.** The top and side view of initial sandwich structures of (a) Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>, (b) Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, (c) Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (d) Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and the crystalographic plane (111) of bulk Li metal (BCC).



**Figure S5.** Average open circuit voltage and theoretical capacity of Li saturated adsorption structures of  $Mo_2MC_2$  and  $Mo_2MC_2T_2$  (M stands for transition metal, and T = H, and O). The red box area indicates an optimal active voltage window and with storage capacity greater than 180 mAh/g.

$\frac{Mo_2ScC_2}{Mo_2TiC_2} \frac{Mo_2VC_2}{Mo_2ZrC_2} \frac{Mo_2NbC_2}{Mo_2HfC_2} \frac{Mo_2TaC_2}{Mo_2TaC_2}$						-63 <sup>lat</sup>		
Bare	6.110	5.985	5.933	6.203	6.130	6.128	6.085	6.2 for the formation of the formation o
T = H	6.137	5.993	5.934	6.218	6.136	6.147	6.104	6.1 <sup>Li-satura</sup>
T = O	5.935	5.890	5.833	6.052	5.999	6.018	5.995	5.9 Senes
								_2.8

**Figure S6.** Planar lattice constants of 2×2×1 supercell model of Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>, Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M = Sc, Ti, V, Zr, Nb, Hf, Ta) with full Li adsorbed.





**Figure S7.** Diffusion energy profiles of Li-ion for  $Mo_2MC_2$  and  $Mo_2MC_2T_2$  (M = Sc, Ti, V, Zr, Nb, Hf, Ta, and T = H, O) MXenes, calculated using a 2×2×1 supercell model.



Figure S8. Formation energy of a Li vacancy in saturated adsorption structures (Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>Li<sub>8</sub> and (Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>Li<sub>8</sub> (T = H, O).



**Figure S9.** The phonon eigenvector of the soft mode at  $\Gamma$ -point for lithium atom at the saddle point. The direction of the vibration is the same as that of the diffusion.



**Figure S10.** Calculated phonon band vibrational spectra of Li- $(Mo_2MC_2)_4$  (M = Sc, Ti, V, Zr, Nb, Hf, Ta) at the global energy minimum, the saddle-point, and metastable state on the diffusion pathways. Soft modes are displayed as the horizontal lines below 0 THz for all structure at saddle-point.



Figure S11. (a) Top view and (b) side view of the migration pathway of Li atom from FPMD simulation for Mo<sub>2</sub>TiC<sub>2</sub> monolayer at 400K.

 $\label{eq:stablest} \textbf{Table S1}. \hspace{0.5cm} \text{The adsorption energies } E_{ab} \text{ of Li atoms on } Mo_2ScC_2 \text{ and } Mo_2ScC_2T_2 \text{ with spin-polarized and non-spin-polarized calculations}.$ 

	Adsorption energy (eV/cell)			
	Spin-polarized	Non-spin-polarized		
Mo <sub>2</sub> ScC <sub>2</sub>	-0.74	-0.74		
Mo <sub>2</sub> ScC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	-0.438	-0.440		
Mo <sub>2</sub> ScC <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	-3.05	-3.05		
$Mo_2ScC_2F_2$	-0.158	-0.159		
Mo <sub>2</sub> ScC <sub>2</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>	0.66	0.66		

**Table S2.** The bond lengths of Mo-C and C-M bonds for embedded MXene layers in between two overlayers after FPMD simulations $((Mo_2MC_2F_2)_{12}Li_{48}).$ 

	d <sub>мо-с</sub> (Å)	d <sub>с-м</sub> (Å)	d <sub>Mo-F</sub> (Å)
$Mo_2ScC_2F_2$	2.15	2.28	3.63
Mo <sub>2</sub> TiC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	2.13	2.16	3.72
$Mo_2VC_2F_2$	2.09	2.07	3.86
$Mo_2ZrC_2F_2$	2.20	2.38	-
$Mo_2NbC_2F_2$	2.09	2.25	-
$Mo_2HfC_2F_2$	2.11	2.25	-
Mo <sub>2</sub> TaC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	2.10	2.17	3.37

**Table S3.** The soft mode frequencies, diffusion barrier heights and corresponding diffusion coefficient obtained from TST+DFPT method,based on CI-NEB migration pathways for all intrinsic MXenes.

	Virtual frequency	Barrier height	Diffusion coefficient	
	(THz)	(eV)	(m²/s)	
Mo <sub>2</sub> ScC <sub>2</sub>	-2.678	0.055	2.54×10 <sup>-8</sup>	
Mo <sub>2</sub> TiC <sub>2</sub>	-2.466	0.043	3.14×10 <sup>-8</sup>	
Mo <sub>2</sub> VC <sub>2</sub>	-2.582	0.034	4.25×10 <sup>-8</sup>	
Mo <sub>2</sub> ZrC <sub>2</sub>	-2.639	0.049	2.46×10 <sup>-8</sup>	
Mo <sub>2</sub> NbC <sub>2</sub>	-2.646	0.036	4.36×10 <sup>-8</sup>	
$Mo_2HfC_2$	-2.508	0.052	2.60×10 <sup>-8</sup>	
Mo <sub>2</sub> TaC <sub>2</sub>	-2.598	0.033	4.42×10 <sup>-8</sup>	

Table S4. Comparison of diffusion coefficients of Li atoms calculated for Mo<sub>2</sub>MC<sub>2</sub> (M = Sc, Ti, V) at 400K using TST+DFPT and FPMD simulations.

	Diffusion coefficient (m <sup>2</sup> /s)			
	Mo <sub>2</sub> ScC <sub>2</sub>	Mo <sub>2</sub> TiC <sub>2</sub>	Mo <sub>2</sub> VC <sub>2</sub>	
TST analysis	4.21×10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.35×10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.70×10 <sup>-8</sup>	
FPMD	6.36×10 <sup>-9</sup>	2.70×10 <sup>-9</sup>	9.77×10 <sup>-9</sup>	