#### **ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (ESI)**

## Mortise-tenon joint structured hydrophobic surface-functionalized barium

### titanate/polyvinylidene fluoride nanocomposites for printed self-powered

#### wearable sensors

Hai Li<sup>1</sup>, Hoseong Song<sup>1</sup>, Mengjie Long<sup>2</sup>, Ghuzanfar Saeed<sup>1</sup> and Sooman Lim<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Flexible and Printable Electronics, LANL-JBNU Engineering Institute, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, 54896, Republic of Korea.

<sup>2</sup>Wuhan Chamtop New Materials Co., Ltd. Heping Street 1540, Wuhan 430080, China.



**Fig. S1.** (a) The photograph of 3D printing machine and (b) The illustration of printing FD-BTO/PVDF film with complex geometry on the ITO-PET film.



Fig.S2. The relationship between film thickness and air pressure and ink mass



# FD-BTO/PVDF BTO/PVDF

**Fig.S3.** Optical photo after two weeks FD-BTO/PVDF ink and BTO/PVDF ink of standing. there is no noticeable particle sedimentation over two weeks for the resin mixed with functionalized particles



Fig. S4. (a) FT-IR spectra of the printed PVDF films with different contents of FD-BTO nanoparticles with electric poling. (b) The F(β) values in the printed samples. (c) FT-IR spectra of the printed PVDF films with different contents of BTO nanoparticles with electric poling. (d) The F(β) values in the printed samples.



**Fig. S5.** FT-IR spectra of the printed PVDF films of various FD-BTO nanoparticle contents with wavenumber ranging from (a) 3100 to 2900 cm-1 and (b) 1220 to 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S6. The sketch of electron resonance in DMF



Fig. S7. contact angle of different contents of modified BTO/PVDF ink dropped on ITO-PET film.

solvents					
	Watar CA	Diindomothana	Saufa en en en en	Dispersive	Polar
Sample	water CA			surface energy	surface energy
details	(degrees)	CA (degrees)	(mN m <sup>-1</sup> )	$(mN m^{-1})$	(mN m <sup>-1</sup> )
ITO-PET	88.14	43.60	38.02	36.42	1.59
10%m-BTO/PVDF	65.03	35.86	48.20	37.94	10.03
20%m-BTO/PVDF	66.01	36.52	47.55	38.16	9.61
50%m-BTO/PVDF	72.32	33.96	45.88	39.36	6.12

Table S1 Calculated surface energies of samples using the contact angles measured with two different



Fig. S8. Graph of force applied by force machine.

Force F=ma

m: Weight, a: Gravity constant=9.8m/s<sup>2</sup>

Pressure P=F/S

F: Force, S: Force area=1cm<sup>2</sup>



Fig. S9. The dependence of output voltage on applied pressure for different composite films



Fig. S10. Output voltages of printed sensor at different impacting frequencies under a constant pressure force of 50 N.