Electronic Supplementary Information for

Controlling the Liberation Rate of the In Situ Release of a Chemical Fuel for the Operationally Autonomous Motions of Molecular Machines

Chiara Biagini, Giorgio Capocasa, Daniele Del Giudice, Valerio Cataldi, Luigi Mandolini and Stefano Di Stefano*

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", and Istituto CNR per i Sistemi Biologici (ISB-CNR), Sezione Meccanismi di Reazione, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy. E-mail: stefano.distefano@uniroma1.it

Contents

¹ H NMR and ¹³ C spectra of 5 a	S3
¹ H NMR and ¹³ C spectra of 5b	S4
¹ H NMR and ¹³ C spectra of 5 c	S5
¹ H NMR and ¹³ C spectra of 5d	S6
Reaction between anhydride 3 and 4a	S7
Reaction between anhydride 3 and 4b	S8
Reaction between anhydride 3 and 4 c	S9
Reaction between anhydride 3 and 4d	S10
Reactions between C1 and excess 1	S11
Chromatograms of pure anilides 5a-d	S13

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of all *N*-methylanilide compounds recorded at room temperature are characterized by broad signals due to the partially hindered rotation of the C-N amide bond. However, the purity of all *N*-methylanilide compounds was > 99 % as shown by GC analyses (*vide infra*).



δ (ppm): 7.46-7.09 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 3.25 (bs, 3H, -NCH₃), 1.86 (s, 3H, -CH₃)

¹³C NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 75 MHz, 25°C) of **5a**.



δ (ppm): 165.7, 140.9, 138.1, 129.5-128.8, 128.2, 127.9, 125.0, 119.6, 47.7, 40.6, 30.0

¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 300MHz, 25°C) of **5b**.





δ (ppm): 7.41-7.12 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 3.22 (bs, 3H, -NCH₃), 1.87 (s, 3H, -CH₃)



 $\delta \text{ (ppm): } 165.6, 142.0, 137.6, 134.2, 129.9 \text{-} 129.2, 128.5, 128.2, 124.9, 119.4, 47.8, 40.4, 29.7$



δ (ppm): 7.27-7.09 (*m*, 9H, Ar-*H*), 3.21 (bs, 3H, -NC*H*₃), 1.85 (s, 3H, -C*H*₃)





 δ (ppm): 165.7, 139.3, 137.9, 134.2, 130.7, 129.4, 129.1, 128.1, 125.0, 119.6, 47.8, 40.5, 29.8





δ (ppm): 7.49-6.86 (*m*, 9H, Ar-*H*), 3.23 (s, 3H, -NC*H*₃), 2.27 (s, 3H, Ar-C*H*₃), 1.85 (s, 3H, -C*H*₃)



¹³C NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 75 MHz, 25°C) of **5d**.

δ (ppm): 165.8, 138.3, 129.3-128.8, 127.8, 125.0, 119.7, 47.7, 40.6, 30.1, 21.0



Equation $\begin{bmatrix} c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_o \end{bmatrix}^2$ (see main text) was fitted to the data shown in the inset, to find the value of k_2 (slope = 0.013 min⁻¹ = 0.00022 s⁻¹, R² = 0.992, Δ = 0.0026 M, k_2 = 0.085 M⁻¹s⁻¹). In the equation, c_o and c refer to the concentration of aniline **4a** at time 0 and t respectively

and $\Delta = [anhydride]_o - [aniline]_o$ (see note S1). The second-order curve was calculated and drawn using such k_2 value.



Equation $\begin{bmatrix} c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \end{bmatrix}^2$ (see main text) was fitted to the data shown in the inset to find the value of k_2 (slope = 0.00039 min⁻¹ = 6.5×10⁻⁶ s⁻¹, R² = 0.998, Δ = 0.0014 M, k₂ = 0.0046 M⁻¹s⁻¹). In the equation, c_o and c refer to the concentration of anhydride **3** at time 0 and t

respectively and $\Delta = [aniline]_o - [anhydride]_o$ (see note S1). The second-order curve was calculated and drawn using such k_2 value.



$$\ln \left[\frac{c+\Delta}{c}\right] = \ln \left[\frac{c_o+\Delta}{c_o}\right] + \Delta \times k_2 \times t$$
Equation
(see main text) was fitted to the data shown in the
inset to find the value of k_2 (slope 0.0090 min⁻¹ = 1.5×10⁻⁴ s⁻¹, R² = 0.992, Δ = 0.0047 M, k_2 = 0.032
M⁻¹s⁻¹). In the equation, c_o and c refer to the concentration of anhydride **3** at time 0 and t
respectively and $\Delta = [aniline]_o - [anhydride]_o$ (see note S1). The second-order curve was
calculated and drawn using such k_2 value.



Equation $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \end{bmatrix}$ (main text) was fitted to the data shown in the inset to find the value of k_2 (slope = 0.030 min⁻¹ = 5.0×10⁻⁴ s⁻¹, R² = 0.989, Δ = 0.0023 M, k_2 = 0.22 M⁻¹s⁻¹). In the equation, c_0 and c refer to the concentration of aniline **4d** at time 0 and t respectively and

 $\Delta = [anhydride]_o - [aniline]_o (see note S1).$ The second-order curve was calculated and drawn using such k_2 value.

In the following two pages ¹H NMR monitoring of the reaction between C1 and excess 1 is reported in order to show that as long as excess acid 1 is present along the reaction path, the presence of the protonated catenane paired to $\text{RCO}_2^{-\cdots}\text{HO}_2\text{CR}$ is revealed by the insurgence of a complex pattern of signals from 0.2 to 0.8 ppm. The two experiments were already reported in the SI of J. A. Berrocal, C. Biagini, L. Mandolini and S. Di Stefano, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, **55**, 6997-7001.



Fig. S1. ¹H NMR monitoring from bottom to top of the reaction between C1 (2 mM) and fuel 1 (4 mM) in CD_2Cl_2 . Data from ref S1. The bottom trace is the spectrum of C1. The second trace from bottom is the first spectrum taken after the addition of 1. In the presence of excess of 1, the structured pattern of signals from 0.2 to 0.8 ppm is indicative of the presence of the $RCO_2^{-\cdots}HO_2CR$ ion paired to the protonated catenane. Such signals persist as long as excess 1 is present.



Fig. S2. ¹H NMR monitoring from bottom to top of the reaction between C1 (0.5 mM) and fuel 1 (5 mM) in CD_2Cl_2 . Data from ref S1. The bottom trace is the spectrum of C1. In the presence of excess of 1, the structured pattern of signals from 0.2 to 0.8 ppm is indicative of the presence of the $RCO_2^{-\cdots}HO_2CR$ ion paired to the protonated catenane.

GC-FID analyses were carried on an HP CP-3800 equipped with a capillary methylsilicone column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 25 μ m) Chrompack CP-Sil 5 CB with the following method: (0-5)min: isotherm at T= 60°C; (5-17)min: temperature ramp of 15°C/min until T = 240°C; (17-40)min: isotherm at T = 240°C. Pressure = 12.0 psi; T(injector) = 250°C; T(FID)= 250°C; split 1/10.



Fig. S3. Chromatogram of a pure sample of 5a. RT = 22.103 min.



Fig. S4. Chromatogram of a pure sample of 5b. RT = 25.385 min.



Fig. S5. Chromatogram of a pure sample of 5c. RT = 25.672 min.



Fig. S6. Chromatogram of a pure sample of 5d. RT = 23.345 min.

Notes and References

Note S1: c_0 and c are defined as the concentration of the limiting reagent (either anhydride 3 or anilines 4a-d) at time 0 and t respectively; Δ is defined as the difference between the initial concentration of the excess reactant and that of the limiting reagent.

Ref S1: J. A. Berrocal, C. Biagini, L. Mandolini and S. Di Stefano, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 6997-7001.