## Bifunctional metal-organic frameworks for hydrogenation of

## nitrophenol using methanol as hydrogen source

Arianna Melillo,<sup>1</sup> Cristina García-Vallés,<sup>1</sup> Belén Ferrer,<sup>1,\*</sup> Mercedes Álvaro,<sup>1</sup> Sergio

Navalón,<sup>1,\*</sup> Hermenegildo García<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

**Supporting information** 

UiO-66(Zr)-H:  $ZrCl_4$  (0,466 g, 2mmol) and terephthalic acid (0,332 g, 2mmol) in 6 ml of *N*,*N*'dimethylformamide (DMF) were introduced in a vial. The mixture was sonicated for 20 min. When a homogeneous suspension was formed, the mixture was transfer to a Teflon-lined autoclave, sealed and placed in a pre-heated oven at 220 °C, maintaining the temperature for 12 h.

After this time, the resulting white solid was filtered and washed several times with DMF and methanol. The solid was dried under vacuum at 120 °C overnight.

UiO-66(Zr)-NH<sub>2</sub>: The synthesis of Zr-NH<sub>2</sub> MOF was carried out dissolving the metallic salt ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (0.466 g, 2 mmol) and the organic linker 2-amino-terephthalic acid (0.362g, 2 mmol) in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) (6.0 mL, 340 mmol) at room temperature, sonicated for 20 min. The mixture was transferred in a Teflon-lined autoclave, sealed and placed in a pre-heated oven at 100°C for 24 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting yellow solid was filtered and washed several times with DMF and methanol and dried at room temperature.

**UiO-66(Zr)-NO<sub>2</sub>**: The material was synthetized dissolving, in a vessel vial, 0.466g (2mmol) of  $ZrCl_4$ , 0.422g (2mmol) of 2-nitroterephtalic acid in 6 mL of *N*,*N*'- dimethylformamide. The resulting mixed solution was sonicated for 20 minutes; subsequently the homogeneous system was transfer in a Teflon-line autoclave that was sealed and placed in a pre-heated oven at 220°C for 24 h. The resulting dark-yellow solid was collected by filtration and washed several times with DMF and methanol. The solid was dried at room temperature and characterized.

**MIL-125(Ti)-NH**<sub>2</sub> was prepared following a previously reported procedure. In a 30 mL vessel vial was introduced a suspension of 2-aminoterephthalic acid (1.43 g, 7.9 mmol) in 20 mL of anhydrous DMF. Then, methanol (5 mL) was added to the flask and the system was sonicated for 20 min. Before transferred the mixture to a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave titanium isopropoxide (1.36 g, 4.8 mmol) was added. The autoclave was sealed and heated up to 110 °C for 72 h. After cooling to room temperature, the product was obtained by filtration and in a second moment washed by suspending

the powder in DMF for 12 h. Subsequently, the solid was washed with additional DMF at 60 °C for 12 h. This washing procedure was repeated using methanol as solvent to remove the DMF. The material was collected by filtration and dried overnight in an oven at 100 °C.



Figure S1. Photograph of the catalytic device employed for the tandem reaction.



Figure S2. Magnification of the PXRD patterns of UiO-66(Zr)-H (1), UiO-66(Zr)-NH<sub>2</sub> (2), UiO-66(Zr)-NO<sub>2</sub> (3) to mark the difference in the the main peak.



Figure S3. Diffuse UV-Vis (a, c, e) and Tauc plot (b, d, f) for MIL-125(Ti)-NH<sub>2</sub> (a, b), UiO-66(Zr)-NH<sub>2</sub> (c, d), UiO-66(Zr)-H (e, f) and UiO-66(Zr)-NO<sub>2</sub> (g, h).



Figure S4. ATR-FT-IR spectrum recorded for UiO-66(Zr)-H.



Figure S5. ATR-FT-IR spectrum recorded for UiO-66(Zr)-NH<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S6. ATR-FT-IR spectrum recorded for UiO-66(Zr)-NO<sub>2</sub>. The inset shows an expansion of the 1575-1325 cm<sup>-1</sup> region.



Figure S7. ATR-FT-IR spectrum recorded for MIL-125(Ti)-NH<sub>2</sub>. The inset shows an expansion of the 1575-1325 cm<sup>-1</sup> region.



Figure S8. Isothermal  $N_2$  adsorption at 77 K for MIL-125(Ti)-NH<sub>2</sub>



Figure S9. Isothermal  $N_2$  adsorption at 77 K for UiO-66(Zr)-NH<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S10. Isothermal  $N_2$  adsorption at 77 K for UiO-66(Zr)-H



Figure S11. Isothermal  $N_2$  adsorption at 77 K for UiO-66(Zr)-NO<sub>2</sub>



Figure S12. Representative HRSEM images and particle size distribution for MIL-125(Ti)-NH2 (ab), UiO-66(Zr)-NH<sub>2</sub> (c-d), UiO-66(Zr)-H (e-f) and UiO-66(Zr)-NO<sub>2</sub> (g-h) materials.

Table S1. Summary of the average particle size and standard deviation of the MIL-125(Ti)- NH <sub>2</sub> , UiO-66(Zr)-NH <sub>2</sub> , UiO-66(Zr)-H or UiO-66(Zr)-NO <sub>2</sub> materials.							
Entry	Catalyst	Average particle size distribution (nm)					
1	MIL-125(Ti)-NH <sub>2</sub>	$225 \pm 143$					
2	UiO-66(Zr)-NH <sub>2</sub>	$304 \pm 178$					
3	UiO-66(Zr)-H	$274 \pm 112$					
4	UiO-66(Zr)-NO <sub>2</sub>	$272 \pm 129$					



Figure S13. Representative TEM images of MIL-125(Ti)-NH<sub>2</sub> (a), UiO-66(Zr)-NH<sub>2</sub> (b), UiO-66(Zr)-H (c) and UiO-66(Zr)-NO<sub>2</sub> (d) materials.



Figure S14. Photocatalytic reduction of 4-nitrophenol to 4-aminophenol under UV-Vis using different amounts of UiO-66(Zr)-NH<sub>2</sub> solid. Reaction conditions: Catalyst amount as indicated, p-nitrophenol (0.02 mmol), solvent (H<sub>2</sub>O:MeOH, 1.25:1.25 mL), photoreactor volume (51 mL), Xe lamp (150 W), 50 °C.

Table S2. Values of BET surface area before and after reaction of MOFs employed as bifunctional catalysts in this work.

Catalyst	Theoretical catalyst formula	BET	surface	BET	surface	BET	surface
		area	(m²/g);	area (m <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> /g); after	area	(m²/g);
		before	reaction	thermal	induced	after	photo
				reaction		induced	
						reacti	on
MIL-125(Ti)-NH <sub>2</sub>	$Ti_8O_8(OH)_4(C_6H_3C_2O_4NH_2)_6$	1200		1100		997	
UiO-66(Zr)-H	$\operatorname{Zr}_{6}O_{4}(OH)_{4}(OOC-C_{6}H_{4}-COO)_{6}$	1368		840		906	
UiO-66(Zr)-NH <sub>2</sub>	$Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(OOC-C_6H_3NH_2-COO)_6$	923		650		548	
UiO-66(Zr)-NO <sub>2</sub>	$\operatorname{Zr}_{6}O_{4}(OH)_{4}(OOC-C_{6}H_{3}NO_{2}-COO)_{6}$	903		490		471	