Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

I. Materials and instrumentations

All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise indicated. All air and moisture-sensitive reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere in oven-dried glassware. Glassware was dried in an oven at 120 °C and cooled under a stream of inert gas before use. Both toluene and triethylamine were distilled over calcium hydride. ¹H, ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX400 and AVANCE III HD-500 spectrometers at 298 K and referenced to the residual signals of the solvent. HR-MS were recorded on a Thermo Scientific[™] Orbitrap ID-X[™] Tribrid[™] mass spectrometer. All the solvents employed for the spectroscopic measurements were of UV spectroscopic grade (Aldrich).

II Supplementary data



Fig. S1 Absorption spectra of **3a** (a) and **3b** (c), and PL spectra of **3a** (b) and **3b** (d) in several solvents of different polarities. ($\lambda_{ex} = 414$ and 445 nm for **3a** and **3b**, respectively)



Fig. S2 Photoluminescence spectra of **3a** (a) and **3b** (b) under aerated toluene solution (solid lines) and degassed condition (short dashed lines). ($\lambda_{ex} = 414$ and 445 nm for **3a** and **3b**, respectively)



Fig. S3 Prompt fluorescence (red) and emission spectra (black) of **3a** (a) and **3b** (b) with delays of 50 µs based on time-resolved technology at room temperature (RT).



LUMO+3

LUMO+2

LUMO+1



LUMO

LUMO+4



HOMO

HOMO-1

ЧСР НОМО-2

НОМО-3

HOMO-4



HOMO-6



HOMO-7

3b





LUMO



номо-2



HOMO-3



Fig. S4 π -MOs angular nodal patterns of **3a** and **3b**.

номо

	State [a]	Energy	2	f [b]	Orbitals (coefficient) ^[c]
	State	[eV]	[nm]	J	
3a	S_1	3.37	368	0.1153	HOMO->LUMO (87%)
	S_2	3.50	354	0.2741	H-1->LUMO (83%)
	S ₃	3.93	315	0.0487	H-8->LUMO (78%)
	S4	4.00	310	0.2401	H-4->LUMO (40%), H-2->LUMO (37%)
	S ₅	4.02	309	0.2242	H-4->LUMO (32%), H-2->LUMO (55%)
3b	S_1	3.15	394	0.0885	HOMO->LUMO (89%)
	S_2	3.25	382	0.3996	H-4->LUMO (10%), H-1->LUMO (81%)
	S ₃	3.63	341	0.3681	H-4->LUMO (79%)
aza-BF core ^[d]	S_2	3.69	336	0.382	HOMO->LUMO (83%), H-2-> LUMO
					(13%)
CN-BF core ^[d]	S_1	3.32	374	0.426	HOMO->LUMO (93%)

Table S1. (1) Calculated electronic excitation energies, oscillator strengths, and eigenvectors for the TD-DFT spectra of **3a** and **3b** carried out using the CAM-B3LYP functional with 6-31G(d) basis sets.

[a] Excited state: S = Singlet, T = Triplet. [b] Oscillator strength (<0.002 are not included). [c] MOs involved in the transitions with H and L denoting the HOMO and LUMO, respectively. [d] reference data.

(2) Energy levels of the singlet and triplet states of **3a** and **3b** on the basis of TD-DFT results with B3LYP/6-31G(d). The energies are the adiabatic values computed with the optimization geometries of T_1 and S_1 excited states.

	State [a]	Energy	λ	f ^[b]	Orbitals (coefficient) ^[c]
		[eV]	[nm]	·	
3 a	S_1	2.71	458	0.0071	HOMO->LUMO (94%)
	S_2	3.07	403	0.0201	H-1->LUMO (88%)
	T1	1.97	628	0	H-7->LUMO (12%), H-4->LUMO (30%),
					HOMO->LUMO (41%)
	T ₂	2.53	490	0	H-7->LUMO (19%), H-4->LUMO (51%),
					HOMO->LUMO (12%)
	T ₃	2.93	423	0	H-1->LUMO (73%)
	T4	3.06	405	0	H-3->L+3 (12%), H-3->L+4 (18%), H-
					2->L+4 (26%)
	T ₅	3.07	403	0	H-3->L+3 (14%), H-3->L+4 (12%), H-
					2->L+3 (33%)
3b	\mathbf{S}_1	2.47	502	0.0022	HOMO->LUMO (95%)
	S_2	2.85	435	0.0095	H-1->LUMO (87%)
	T ₁	1.46	847	0	H-4->LUMO (84%)
	T ₂	2.39	519	0	H-7->LUMO (20%), HOMO->LUMO (53%)
	T ₃	2.71	457	0	H-1->LUMO (78%)
	T ₄	3.05	407	0	H-3->L+4 (27%), H-2->L+4 (16%)
	T5	3.06	405	0	H-3->L+5 (12%), H-2->L+5 (33%)

[a] Excited state: S = Singlet, T = Triplet. [b] Oscillator strength. [c] MOs involved in the transitions with H and L denoting the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

Compound	3a	3b	
CCDC number	2046309	2046310	
Empirical formula	C ₃₇ H ₂₂ BF ₂ N ₅ O	C ₃₉ H ₂₂ BF ₂ N ₅ O	
Formula weight (g mol ⁻¹)	601.40	625.42	
Temperature	193 K	296 K	
Radiation	GaK_{α} ($\lambda = 1.34139$)	$MoK_{\alpha} (\lambda = 0.71073)$	
Crystal System	Monoclinic	Triclinic	
Space Group	<i>C2/c</i>	P-1	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 27.1150(7) Å, $b = 11.5861(3)$ Å, $c =$	<i>a</i> = 8.939(8) Å, <i>b</i> = 9.493(8) Å, <i>c</i> =	
	18.6719(5) Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}, \beta = 105.101(1)^{\circ},$	17.408(16) Å, $\alpha = 88.857(13)^\circ$, $\beta =$	
	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$	84.196(14)°, <i>γ</i> = 88.477(12)°	
Volume (Å ³)	5663.3(3)	1469(2)	
Ζ	8	2	
$\rho_{\text{calc.}}$ (g cm ⁻³)	1.411	1.414	
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.503	0.096	
F (000)	2480	644	
Crystal size (mm ³)	0.14 imes 0.12 imes 0.11	0.18 imes 0.16 imes 0.15	
2θ _{max} (°)	53.883	26.859	
Index ranges	-32= <h<=32, -13="<k<=13,</td"><td>-11=<h<=11, -12="<k<=11,</td"></h<=11,></td></h<=32,>	-11= <h<=11, -12="<k<=11,</td"></h<=11,>	
	-16=<1<=22	-21=<1<=17	
Reflections collected	20966	12548	
Independent reflections	5159	6178	
Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	3969	3099	
R _{int}	0.0371	0.0602	
Data completeness (to 2θ)	99.4% (53.594°)	98.8% (25.242°)	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	
Data/restraints/parameters	5159/0/415	6178/0/433	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.035	0.957	
R_1 , wR_2 (all data)	0.0579, 0.1016	0.1448, 0.2032	
R_1 , wR_2 , $I > 2\sigma(I)$	0.0399, 0.0920	0.0621, 0.1534	
$\Delta \rho/e Å^{-3} max, min$	0.229, -0.166	0.240, -0.233	

Table S2. Crystal data and experimental details of 3a and 3b.

3a		3b		
Bond	Bond length (Å)	Bond	Bond length (Å)	
N3-C31	1.3735(22)	N3-C32	1.3573(37)	
N3-C37	1.3976(22)	N3-C31	1.4038(37)	
C37-O1	1.2126(22)	C31-O1	1.2088(38)	
N4-C31	1.2879(23)	N4-C34	1.3456(40)	
N4-C32	1.3941(20)	N5-C39	1.1316(45)	
N2-C25	1.4180(22)	N2-C25	1.4101(39)	
N1-C26	1.4126(21)	N1-C29	1.4140(42)	
N5-C32	1.3628(23)	B1-F1	1.3520(42)	
B1-F1	1.3624(21)	B1-F2	1.3688(44)	
B1-F2	1.3781(25)	B1-N4	1.6007(49)	
B1-N5	1.5976(26)	B1-N3	1.5362(43)	
B1-N3	1.5232(23)	C33-C39	1.4222(43)	

Table S3. Selected bond lengths of complexes 3a and 3b determined by X-ray diffraction

 Table S4. Hydrogen bond details of 3a and 3b.

3a			3b			
Bond	Length (Å)	Angle (°)	Bond	Length (Å)	Angle (°)	
N4…H30-C30	2.9195(2)	150.578(1)	F2…H18-C18	2.7173(3)	137.830(2)	
01…H22-C22	2.7610(1)	144.763(1)	F2…H36-C36	2.5109(3)	119.237(2)	
01…H35-C35	2.6689(1)	124.281(1)	F1…H6-C6	2.7735(3)	112.385(2)	
01…Н36-С36	2.6793(1)	124.892(1)	-	-	-	
F1…H16-C16	2.7533(1)	165.280(1)	-	-	-	
F2…H17-C17	2.6891(1)	118.863(1)	-	-	-	
F2…H16-C16	2.5186(1)	126.872(1)	-	-	-	
F2…H10-C10	2.5109(1)	161.487(1)	-	-	-	



Fig. S5 Cyclic voltammograms (CV, black lines) and differential pulse voltametric curves (DPV, red lines) of **3a** and **3b** (1.0 mM) measured in degassed dichloromethane with 0.1M TBAPF₆ at room temperature, using saturated calomel as reference electrode, glassy carbon as working electrode, and platinum wire as auxiliary electrode. Scan rate: 100 mV/s.

Table S5. Electrochemical data acquired and HOMO-LUMO energy band gaps of 3a and 3b.^[a]

	$E_{\rm red}^{1}$ (V)	$E_{\rm red}^2$ (V)	$E_{\rm ox}$ (V)	$E_{\rm red}^{\rm onset}$	$E_{\rm ox}^{\rm onset}$ (V)	LUMO (eV)	HOMO (eV)	E_{g} (eV)
3a	-1.01	-1.50	1.49, 1.79	-1.03	1.36	-3.37	-5.76	2.39
3b	-0.87	-1.39	1.47, 1.78	-0.88	1.41	-3.52	-5.81	2.29

[a] E_{red}^{1} = the first reduction potential; E_{red}^{2} = the second reduction potential (All reversible reduction potentials reported as $E_{1/2} = ((E_{ap}+E_{cp})/2)$ in V where E_{ap} and E_{cp} are the anodic and cathodic peak potentials, respectively); E_{ox} = the irreversible oxidation peak potentials; E_{red}^{onset} = the onset reduction potential; $E_{LUMO} = -e(E_{red}^{onset} + 4.4)$; E_{ox}^{onset} = the onset oxidation potential; $E_{HOMO} = -e(E_{ox}^{onset} + 4.4)$; $E_{g} = E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO}$. The onset potentials are obtained according to DPV traces.



Fig. S6 TGA and DSC (inset) curves of **3a** (Top) and **3b** (Bottom).

III. HRMS















