Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and Owner Societies 2020

## **Supporting Information**

# Unusual absence of FRET in triazole bridged coumarin-hydroxyquinoline, an effective sensor for

### ${\rm Hg}^{2+}$ detection

Surajit Mondal<sup>a</sup>, Niladri Patra<sup>\*a</sup>, Hari Pada Nayek<sup>a</sup>, Sumit K. Hira<sup>b</sup>, Soumit Chatterjee<sup>\*a</sup> and Swapan Dey<sup>\*a</sup>

Sl. No.	Table of content	Page No.
1	Crystal structures and Crystallographic data for <b>R1</b> , <b>R2</b> and <b>R3</b>	2-4
2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR, <sup>13</sup> C NMR, Mass and FT-IR spectra of compound 2, compound 3, compound 4, <b>R1</b> , <b>R2</b> and <b>R3</b>	5-15
3	Mass and FT-IR spectra of complex (R1+Hg <sup>2+</sup> )	15
4	Table T1:Fluorescence decay parameters of coumarin amine (C)-quinoline (Q) mixtures and <b>R1</b> at $\lambda_{em}$ = 485 nm and 420 nm.	16
5	Table T2: Excited state decay parameters of <b>R1</b> with $Hg^{2+}$	16
6	Table T3: Binding energy from DFT calculation	16
7	Fig. S1: Titration spectra; in UV-vis (a) R2:Hg <sup>2+</sup> , (c) R3+ Hg <sup>2+</sup> , and in fluorescence (b) R2:Hg <sup>2+</sup> , (d) R3:Hg <sup>2+</sup>	17
8	Fig. S2: Sensing behavior of R1 towards Hg <sup>2+</sup> under long UV	17
9	Fig. S3:S–V plots from steady state fluorescence emission intensity measurements.	18
10	Fig. S4: Lifetime measurement with other metal ion.	18
11	Fig. S5: Fluorescence decay traces of <b>R1</b> and quinoline-coumarin mixture (2:1) at $\lambda_{em}$ = 485 nm and 420 nm.	19
12	Fig. S6: Binding constant calculation graph of $\mathbf{R1}$ with $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ using Fluorescence titration by using linear regression analysis	20
13	Fig. S7: LOD calculation curve of <b>R1</b> with $Hg^{2+}$	20
14	Reversibility test of receptor	21
15	Fig. S10 Job's Plot	22
16	Fig. S12: Energy diagram for d-PET mechanism.	23
17	Table T4: Comparative table of triazole based sensor for Hg <sup>2+</sup> from reported literature	24
18	Synthesis procedure of coumarin azide	24

# Crystallographic data for R1



Identification code	R1
CCDC number	1944133
Empirical formula	$C_{25}H_{23}N_5O_3$
Formula weight	441.48
Temperature/K	293(2)
Crystal system	triclinic
Space group	P-1
a/Å	9.6779(8)
b/Å	10.4548(10)
c/Å	12.1262(10)
α/°	112.874(9)
β/°	91.882(7)
γ/°	103.594(7)
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	1088.16(18)
Z	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.347
$\mu/\text{mm}^{-1}$	0.091
F(000)	464.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.15 \times 0.13 \times 0.11$
Radiation	MoKa ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )
$2\Theta$ range for data collection/°	4.372 to 53.998
Index ranges	$-12 \le h \le 12, -13 \le k \le 13, -15 \le l \le 15$
Reflections collected	9010
Independent reflections	4680 [ $R_{int} = 0.0204$ , $R_{sigma} = 0.0451$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	4680/0/298
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	0.994
Final R indexes [I>= $2\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0513, wR_2 = 0.1163$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0977, wR_2 = 0.1334$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	0.15/-0.21

# Crystallographic data for R2



Identification code	R2
CCDC number	1904920
Empirical formula	$C_{22}H_{22}N_4O_3$
Formula weight	390.43
Temperature/K	293(2)
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	P2 <sub>1</sub> /c
a/Å	9.5210(11)
b/Å	19.764(2)
c/Å	10.8022(12)
$\alpha$	90
β/°	103.759(12)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	1974.4(4)
Z	4
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.314
$\mu/\text{mm}^{-1}$	0.090
F(000)	824.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.16 \times 0.02 \times 0.01$
Radiation	MoKa ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )
$2\Theta$ range for data collection/°	4.122 to 58.738
Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 11, -25 \le k \le 25, -11 \le l \le 14$
Reflections collected	9990
Independent reflections	4606 [ $R_{int} = 0.0271$ , $R_{sigma} = 0.0468$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	4606/0/264
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.180
Final R indexes [I>= $2\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0546, wR_2 = 0.1366$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0998, wR_2 = 0.1536$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	0.13/-0.20

#### Crystallographic data for R3



# Characteristic experimental data <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of Compound 2:

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of Compound 2:

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of compound 3:

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

## <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of compound 3:

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

# FT-IR spectra of compound 2

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

# FT-IR spectra of compound 3:

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of R1 (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of R1 (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

# FT-IR spectra of R1:

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

### HRMS Spectra (R1):

### Calculated: 441.1801, Found: 442.1874 [M+H]+

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of R2 (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

HRMS Spectra (R2):

Calculated: 390.4351, Mass: 391.1765 [M+H]+

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of Compound 4:

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

### <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of Compound 4:

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of R3 (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

# <sup>13</sup>C NMR of R3 (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

# FT-IR spectra of R3:

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

### HRMS Spectra (R3):

### Calculated: 440.1848, Mass: 441.1915 [M+H]+

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Mass spectra of R1+Hg<sup>2+</sup>

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

FT-IR spectra of R1+Hg<sup>2+</sup>complex:

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

Sample ( $\lambda_{em}$ )	$\tau_1(ns)$	a1	$\tau_2(ns)$	a <sub>2</sub>	<\(\tau>)
С	2.88				
Q	0.29	0.6149	1.075	0.3851	0.5059
Q:C::2:1 (420)	0.704	0.8836	2.51	0.116	0.913
Q:C::2:1 (485)	2.79				
Q:C::1:1 (420)	0.851	0.916	3.17	0.083	0.8053
Q:C::1:1 (485)	2.81				
Q:C::1:2 (420)	0.634	0.7265	1.87	0.2734	0.9718
Q:C::1:2 (485)	2.88				
R1(420)	0.795	0.735	2.54	0.264	1.254
R1(485)	2.428				

**Table T1** Fluorescence decay parameters of coumarin amine (C)-quinoline (Q) mixtures and **R1** at  $\lambda_{em}$ = 485 nm (for coumarin) and 420 nm (for 8-OH quinoline).

**Table T2** Excited state decay parameters of **R1** with  $Hg^{2+}$ ;  $\lambda_{em} = 485$  nm.

Sample ( $\lambda_{em}$ )	$\tau_1(ns)$	a <sub>1</sub>	$\tau_2(ns)$	a <sub>2</sub>	<\tau>(ns)
R1	2.43				
R1Hg <sup>2+</sup> 6	0.623	0.469	2.51	0.530	1.622
R1 Hg <sup>2+</sup> 5	0.628	0.614	2.43	0.385	1.320
R1 Hg <sup>2+</sup> 4	0.77	0.850	3.04	0.149	1.11
R1 Hg <sup>2+</sup> 3	0.779	0.864	3.22	0.135	1.108
R1 Hg <sup>2+</sup> 2	0.817	0.891	3.37	0.108	1.092
R1 Hg <sup>2+</sup> 1	0.791	0.920	3.38	0.079	0.987

 Table T3 Binding energy from DFT calculation.

Binding Mode	<b>R1-Hg</b> <sup>2+</sup> energy (a. u.)	R1 Energy (a. u.)	<b>Hg</b> <sup>2+</sup> energy (a. u.)	Binding Energy (a. u.)
A-mode	-1508.6479788128	-1466.4057308241	-41.7070505095	-0.5351974792
B-mode	-1508.6147256528	-1466.4057308241	-41.7070505095	-0.5019443192

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. S1 Absorption (a),(c) and emission spectra (b), (d) of R2 and R3 respectively with varying concentration of  $Hg^{2+}$ .

Fig. S2 Sensing behavior of R1 towards  $Hg^{2+}$  under long UV.

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Fig. S3 S–V** plots from steady state fluorescence emissionintensity (inset shows deviation from linerity at higher concentration).

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. S4 Lifetime measurement with other metal ion.

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. S5 Fluorescence decay traces of **R1** and quinoline-coumarin mixture (2:1) at  $\lambda_{em}$ = 485 nm and 420 nm.

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Fig. S6** Binding constant calculation graph of **R1** with  $Hg^{2+}$  using Flourescence titration by using linear regreation analysis.

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

Binding constant was calculated using Benesi-Hildebrand linear regression analysis following equation (i) where association constant  $K_a$  = intercept/slope.For this, the reciprocal of intensity difference (1/ $\Delta$ I), where  $\Delta$ I = (I – I<sub>0</sub>), was plotted against the reciprocal of concentration of guest (1/[G])

#### Calculation of limit of detection (LOD):

Fluorescence titration data was used to calculate the limit of detection of **R1** with  $Hg^{2+}$ . To determine the standard deviation for the fluorescence intensity, the emission intensity of the individual receptors without any anion was measured by 10 times and the standard deviation of blank measurements was calculated. The limit of detection (LOD) of the receptor for sensing  $Hg^{2+}$  was determined from the following equation:

#### $LOD = K \times SD/S$

Where K = 2 or 3 (we take 3 in this case); SD is the standard deviation of the blank receptor (**R1**) solution; S is the slope of the calibration curve.

#### For R1 with Hg<sup>2+</sup>:

From the linear fit graph, we get slope =  $5.8 \times 10^6$ , and SD value is 0.51. Thus using the above formula, we get the Limit of Detection =  $1.728 \times 10^{-7}$  M i.e. **R1** can detect **Hg**<sup>2+</sup> up to this very lower concentration by fluorescence techniques.

**Fig. S7** LOD calculation curve of **R1** with  $Hg^{2+}$ .

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **Reversibility Study:**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. S8 Reversibility test of receptor and R1+Hg<sup>2+</sup> complex with EDTA.

Fig. S9 Reversibility test of receptor and R1+Hg<sup>2+</sup> complex with I<sup>-</sup>.

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

Job's plot:

Fig. S10 Job's plot of R1 with  $Hg^{2+}$  indicating the formation of 1: 1 complex species.

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. S11 Titration curve

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fig. S12 Energy diagram for d-PET mechanism.

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Table T4 Comparative table of triazole based sensor for Hg<sup>2+</sup>from reported literature

Structures	Solvent	Selectivity/ Detection limit	Stoichiometry/ Crystal structure of receptors	Cell- Imaging study	Ref
RO O O N-N N N N N R=-CH3 O O A A CI A A CI A A CI A A A CI A A A A A	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/H <sub>2</sub> O(9 :1)	0.01μM 0.44 μM	1:2/Not given	Not performed	1
	THF/H <sub>2</sub> O(1:9)	Not Mentioned	Not Mentioned/ Not Given	Not performed	2
	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/H <sub>2</sub> O(5 :1)	0.09 μM(Hg <sup>2+</sup> ) 1.02 μM(Cu <sup>2+</sup> )	1:2/ Not given	Not performed	3

	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	2.0 μΜ	1:2/ Not Given	Performed	4
$\rightarrow$					
$\begin{array}{c} & & & R_{2} \\ & & & R_{$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	3 ppb	1:1/ Not Given	Performed	5
HO OH N N N	HEPES Buffer	Zn <sup>2+</sup> , Cd <sup>2+</sup> , Hg <sup>2+</sup>	1:2, 1:2, 1:1 Respectively/ Given	Not performed	6
$O = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ N = N \\ R \\ R \\ R \\ HO \\ O \\ HO \\ O \\ O \\ O \\ O \\ O \\ O \\$	CH₃CN	Ni <sup>2+</sup> , Hg <sup>2+</sup>	1:1/ Not given	Not performed	7
	CH <sub>3</sub> OH	Hg <sup>2+</sup> 17.2 μM	1:1/ All the three receptors are given	Performed	Present work

#### 2. Experimental

#### Preparation of 7-(Diethylamino)-3-nitro-2H-chromen-2-one(1):<sup>8</sup>

A mixture containing n-butanol (20 mL), 4-diethylamino salicylaldehyde (1.4 g, 7.2 mmol), ethyl nitroacetate (0.8 mL, 7.2 mmol), molecular sieves 4 Å (100 mg), piperidine (0.1 mL) and acetic acid (0.2 mL) was refluxed for a period of 24 h. Upon cooling to room temperature, a bright yellow solid formed, which was collected and dissolved in DMF (15 mL) at 80 °C. It was filtered again to remove the molecular sieves. The filtrate, upon addition to 100 ml of ice-cold water, yielded 3-nitro-7-diethylamino coumarin as a bright yellow solid: 1.40 g, 73 %. A small amount of this compound was recrystallized from DMF to give an analytical sample; m.p. 193-195 °C.

#### Preparation of 3-amino-7-(Diethylamino)-2H-chromen-2-one(2):

In a 25 mL round bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer, were placed in order, 37.4% HCl (5 mL), stannous chloride dihydrate (1.6 g, 7.12 mmol). To this suspension 3-nitro-7-diethylamino coumarin (0.25 g, 0.95 mmol) was added at room temperature in small portions, over a period of thirty minutes. Stirring was continued for 4 h before the solution was poured onto 20 g of ice and made alkaline using sodium hydroxide solution (5 M) at 15 °C using an ice-water bath. The resulting suspension was then extracted with diethyl ether (2 X 25 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (50 mL), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated to a pasty residue which upon triturating using hexane yielded 3-amino-7-diethylaminocoumarin as a pale yellow solid: 0.15 g, 68%. A small amount of this compound was recrystallized in ethyl acetate / hexane to give an analytical sample; m.p. 85-87 °C.

#### Preparation of 3-azido-7-(Diethylamino)-2H-chromen-2-one(2):

7-Diethylamino-3-amino coumarin (100 mg, 0.43 mmol) was dissolved slowly in HCl aq. (17.2%, 4 mL) at room temperature. Upon cooling to 0-5 °C and addition of a solution of  $NaNO_2$  (30 mg, 0.43 mmol), the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at 0-5 °C. This was followed by the addition of potassium acetate (2 g) in water (5 mL) to adjust the pH of the resulting solution to 4. Sodium azide (57 mg, 0.88 mmol) was added in portions at 0-5 °C, the mixture stirred at 0-5 °C for another five hours. The precipitated product was rapidly filtered, washed with ice-cold water (10 mL) and dried under vacuum to yield the final product as a yellow solid.

#### References

<sup>1.</sup> Shi, W. J.; Liu, J. Y.; Lo, P. C.; Ng, D. K., Selective Detection of Hg2+ Ions with Boron Dipyrromethene-Based Fluorescent Probes Appended with a Bis (1, 2, 3-Triazole) Amino Receptor. *Chem.: Asian J.***2019**, *14*, 1059-1065.

<sup>2.</sup> Wei, G.; Jiang, Y.; Wang, F., A New Click Reaction Generated Aie-Active Polymer Sensor for Hg2+ Detection in Aqueous Solution. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2018**, *59*, 1476-1479.

<sup>3.</sup> Huang, Y.; Li, C.-F.; Shi, W.-J.; Tan, H.-Y.; He, Z.-Z.; Zheng, L.; Liu, F.; Yan, J.-W., A near-Infrared Bodipy-Based Fluorescent Probe for Ratiometric and Discriminative Detection of Hg2+ and Cu2+ Ions in Living Cells. *Talanta* **2019**, *198*, 390-397.

4. Khan, B.; Hameed, A.; Minhaz, A.; Shah, M. R., Synthesis and Characterisation of Calix [4] Arene Based Bis (Triazole)-Bis (Hexahydroquinoline): Probing Highly Selective Fluorescence Quenching Towards Mercury (Hg2+) Analyte. *Journal of hazardous materials* **2018**, *347*, 349-358.

5. Arivazhagan, C.; Borthakur, R.; Ghosh, S., Ferrocene and Triazole-Appended Rhodamine Based Multisignaling Sensors for Hg2+ and Their Application in Live Cell Imaging. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 1147-1155.

6. Areti, S.; Bandaru, S.; Rao, C. P., Triazole-Linked Quinoline Conjugate of Glucopyranose: Selectivity Comparison among Zn2+, Cd2+, and Hg2+ Based on Spectroscopy, Thermodynamics, and Microscopy, and Reversible Sensing of Zn2+ and the Structure of the Complex Using Dft. *ACS Omega* **2016**, *1*, 626-635.

7. Hemamalini, A.; Mudedla, S. K.; Subramanian, V.; Das, T. M., Design, Synthesis and Metal Sensing Studies of Ether-Linked Bis-Triazole Derivatives. *New J. Chem.* **2015**, *39*, 3777-3784.

8. Sivakumar, K.; Xie, F.; Cash, B. M.; Long, S.; Barnhill, H. N.; Wang, Q., A Fluorogenic 1, 3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Reaction of 3-Azidocoumarins and Acetylenes. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 4603-4606.