Electronic Supplementary Information for:

Stereoselective Cationic Polymerization of Vinyl Ethers by Easily and Finely Tunable Titanium Complexes Prepared from Tartrate-Derived Diols: Isospecific Polymerization and Recognition of Chiral Side Chains

Hironobu Watanabe, Takuya Yamamoto, Arihiro Kanazawa, and Sadahito Aoshima

Department of Macromolecular Science, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka,

Osaka 560-0043, Japan.

Contents:

Experimental Section

Scheme S1 Synthesis procedure of Cl₂Ti-TADDOLate

Scheme S2 Polymerization scheme by the simple method mixing TADDOL, TiCl₄, and isobutyl VE

Fig. S1 ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra of isotactic poly(VE)s.

Table S1 Cationic polymerization of IBVE using various metal TADDOLates

Table S2 Cationic polymerization of IBVE using other ligands than TADDOL

 Table S3 Cationic polymerization of various VEs using the titanium TADDOLate

Table S4 Solubility of polymers synthesized from chiral VEs using the chiral titanium TADDOLates

Table S5 Cationic polymerization of chiral VEs using the titanium TADDOLate

Fig. S2 Cationic polymerization of chiral VEs using the titanium TADDOLate

Fig. S3 DSC profiles of poly(bornyl VE)s and poly(menthyl VE)s

Fig. S4 Analysis of triad sequences of isotactic poly(VE)s

Materials

Isobutyl vinyl ether (IBVE) (TCI; >99.0%), *n*-propyl vinyl ether (*n*-PrVE) (TCI; >97.0%), ethyl vinyl ether (EVE) (TCI; >98.0%), *n*-butyl vinyl ether (*n*-BuVE) (TCI; >98.0%), cyclohexylmethyl vinyl ether (CMVE) (Maruzen Petrochemicals) and t-butyl vinyl ether (t-BuVE) (Aldrich; \geq 98.0%) were washed with 10% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution and then with water, dried overnight over potassium hydroxide, and distilled twice over calcium hydride before use. (R,R)- and (S,S)- α , α , α , α , ', α '-tetraaryl-1,3dioxolane-4,5-dimethanol (TADDOL) ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$, Ar = Ph) (TCI; > 97.0%), (*R*,*R*)-TADDOL ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$), $R^2 = Me$, Ar = Ph) (TCI; > 97.0%), $R^2 = R^2 = Me$, $R^2 = Me$, $R^2 = Me$, $R^2 = Me$), $R^2 = R^2 = Me$, $R^2 = R^2 = Me$ Me, R^2 =Ph, Ar = Ph) (TCI; > 95.0%), (S,S)-TADDOL (R¹, R² = -(CH₂)₅-, Ar = Ph) (Daicel; \geq 98.0%), (S,S)-TADDOL ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$, Ar = 1-naphthyl) (Aldrich; 99.0%), and (R,R)-TADDOL ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$, Ar = 2-naphthyl) (Aldrich; > 99.0%) were used without further purification. Commercially obtained TiCl₄ (Aldrich; 1.0 M solution in toluene), SnCl₄ (Aldrich; 1.0 M solution in heptane), ZrCl₄ (Aldrich; 99.99%), EtAlCl₂ (Wako; 1.0 M solution in hexane), and MoCl₅ (Aldrich; 99.99%) were used without further purification. A stock solution of NbCl₅ in a mixture of dichloromethane and ethyl acetate was prepared from commercial anhydrous NbCl₅ (Strem; 99.99%). A stock solution of TaCl₅ in ethyl acetate was prepared from commercial anhydrous TaCl₅ (Strem; >99.99%). 1-(Isobutoxy)ethyl chloride [IBVE-HCl; CH₃CH(OiBu)Cl] was prepared via the addition reaction of IBVE with dry HCl, according to the reported method.^{S1} Hexane (Wako; 96.0%), toluene (Wako; 99.5%), and dichloromethane (Wako; 99.0%) were dried by passage through solvent purification columns (glass contour). In the syntheses of the monomers, palladium acetate (Aldrich; 98%), 1,10-phenanthroline (Aldrich; ≥99%), EVE (TCI; >98.0%), 2-phenylethanol (Nacalai Tesque; ≥98.0%), (-)-borneol (TCI; >95.0%), (-)-menthol (TCI; >99.0%), (+)-fenchyl alcohol (Aldrich; 96%), methyl L-(-)-lactate (TCI; >98.0%), (S)-(-)-2-methyl-1->98.0%), butanol (TCI; (S)-(+)-3-octanol (Aldrich: 97%). and (R)-1-[3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethanol (TCI: >98.0%) were used without further purification.

Procedure for the Synthesis of Vinyl Ethers

2-Phenylethyl vinyl ether (2-PhEtVE), benzyl vinyl ether (BnVE), bornyl vinyl ether, menthyl vinyl ether, fenchyl vinyl ether, methyl (*S*)-2-(vinyloxy)propanoate, (*S*)-2-methylbutyl vinyl ether (MeBuVE), (*S*)-3-octyl vinyl ether (3-OcVE), and (*R*)-1-[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethyl vinyl ether ([(CF₃)₂Ph]EtVE) were synthesized via the transvinylation of the corresponding alcohols [2-phenylethanol, benzyl alcohol, (–)-borneol, (–)-menthol, (+)-fenchyl alcohol, methyl L-(–)-lactate, (*S*)-(–)-2-methyl-1-butanol, (*S*)-(+)-3-octanol, and (*R*)-1-{3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl}ethanol, respectively] using Pd(OAc)₂ according to the reported method.^{S2} The typical synthesis procedure is as follows. Pd(OAc)₂ (0.465 g = 2.07 mmol = 1 mol% with respect to 2-phenyl ethanol) and 1,10-phenanthroline (0.448 g = 2.49 mmol) were dissolved in 140 mL of ethyl vinyl ether (1.45 mol). 2-Phenylethanol (25.3 g = 0.207 mol) was added to the solution, and the flask was stirred at 55 °C for 11 h (conversion: 80% by NMR). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane) and distilled twice over calcium hydride before use.

2-Phenyl Ethyl vinyl ether (2-PhEtVE)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 7.34-7.20 (5H, m), 6.46 (1H, dd), 4.19 (1H, dd), 4.00 (1H, dd), 3.90 (2H, t), 2.98 (2H, t).

Benzyl vinyl ether (BnVE)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 7.43-7.26 (5H, m), 6.56 (1H, dd), 4.76 (1H, s), 4.30 (1H, dd), 4.08 (1H, dd).

(1S,2R,4S)-Bornyl vinyl ether

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 6.39 (1H, dd), 4.11 (1H, dd), 4.0 (1H, m), 3.93 (1H, dd), 2.27-2.17 (1H, m), 2.04-1.95 (1H, m), 1.78-1.64 (2H, m), 1.32-1.18 (2H, m), 1.11-1.01 (1H, dd), 0.88 (9H, t).

(1S,2R,4R)-Menthyl vinyl ether

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 6.31 (1H, dd), 4.27 (1H, dd), 3.93 (1H, dd), 3.51 (1H, dt), 2.17-2.02 (2H, m), 1.71-1.62 (2H, m), 1.47-1.29 (2H, m), 1.07-0.73 (12H, m).

(1R,2S,4S)-Menthyl vinyl ether

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 6.31 (1H, dd), 4.27 (1H, dd), 3.93 (1H, dd), 3.51 (1H, dt), 2.17-2.02 (2H, m), 1.71–1.62 (2H, m), 1.47–1.29 (2H, m), 1.07–0.73 (12H, m).

(1R,2R,4S)-Fenchyl vinyl ether

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 6.31 (1H, dd), 4.24 (1H, dd), 3.88 (1H, dd), 3.34 (1H, d), 1.81-1.64 (3H, m), 1.51–1.35 (2H, m), 1.15–0.95 (8H, m), 0.86 (3H, s).

methyl (S)-2-(vinyloxy)propanoate

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 6.18 (1H, dd), 4.35 (1H, q), 4.16 (1H, dd), 4.25 (1H, dd), 3.60 (3H, s), 1.42 (3H, d).













¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 6.36 (1H, dd), 4.14 (1H, dd), 3.94 (1H, dd), 3.58–3.43 (2H, m), 1.71 (1H, m), 1.46 (1H, m), 1.13~1.25 (1H, m), 0.86~0.96 (6H, m).

(S)-3-octyl vinyl ether (3-OcVE)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 6.31 (1H, dd), 4.26 (1H, dd), 3.94 (1H, dd), 3.64 (1H, m), 1.22~1.61 (10H, m), 0.90 (6H, m).

<u>(R)-1-[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethyl vinyl ether ([(CF₃)₂Ph]EtVE)</u>

¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 400MHz): δ 7.80 (1H, s), 8.44 (1H, s), 7.77 (1H, s), 6.33 (1H, dd), 5.02 (1H, q), 4.24 (1H, dd), 4.09 (1H, dd), 1.56 (3H, d).



O

C₅H₁₁

Polymerization Procedures

The typical polymerization procedure is as follows. A glass tube equipped with a three-way stopcock and a magnetic stirrer bar was dried using a heat gun (Ishizaki, PJ-206A; blow temperature ~450 °C) under dry nitrogen. Hexane and isobutyl vinyl ether were sequentially added to the tube using dry syringes. In another tube, a TADDOL solution was added to a solution of TiCl₄ in toluene, and the mixture was kept at -78 °C for at least 30 min to achieve quantitative complexation. The polymerization was initiated by adding the Ti-TADDOLate solution to the monomer solution at -78 °C. After a predetermined time, the reaction was terminated by the addition of methanol containing a small amount of aqueous ammonia. The quenched mixture was washed with water. Then, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure at 50 °C to yield the polymer. The monomer conversion was determined by gas chromatography (column packing material: PEG-20M-Uniport B; GL Sciences Inc.) using hexane as an internal standard.

Characterization

The molecular weight distributions (MWDs) of the polymers were measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in chloroform at 40 °C with polystyrene gel columns [TSKgel GMH_{HR}-M × 2 (exclusion limit molecular weight = 4×10^6 ; bead size = 5 µm; column size = 7.8 mm I.D. × 300 mm); flow rate = 1.0 mL/min] connected to a Tosoh DP-8020 pump, a CO-8020 column oven, a UV-8020 ultraviolet detector, and an RI-8020 refractive-index detector. The number-average molecular weight (M_n) and polydispersity ratio [weight-average molecular weight/number-average molecular weight (M_w/M_n)] were calculated from the chromatographs with respect to 14 polystyrene standards (Tosoh; M_n = 5.0×10^2 - 1.09×10^6 , $M_w/M_n < 1.2$). NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-ECA 500 (500.16 MHz for ¹H) and JEOL JNM-ECS 400 (399.78 MHz for ¹H and 100.53 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometers. Differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) was operated using Shimadzu DSC-60 Plus.



(*S*,*S*)-TADDOL ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$, Ar = Ph) (0.840 g = 1.80 mmol) was dissolved in dry toluene (11 mL) and treated with Ti(*OiPr*)₄ (0.283 mL = 0.95 mmol) under dry nitrogen. The suspension was stirred at 40 °C for 12 h, and heated to reflux temperature for an additional 5 h. The solvent was then evaporated in vacuo, and Ti(TADDOLate)₂ was obtained as a slightly yellow solid. The product was stored under dry nitrogen. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃; 500MHz): δ 7.57-7.54 (m, 8H), 7.42-7.38 (8H, m), 7.27-7.08 (24H, m), 5.03 (4H, s), 0.70 (12H, s).

The Ti(TADDOLate)₂ was dissolved in dry toluene, and the 100 mM solution of Ti(TADDOLate)₂ (1.00 mL) was added to a 33.3 mM TiCl₄ solution (3.00 mL in toluene) under dry nitrogen. The mixture solution was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h, and a yellow $Cl_2Ti(TADDOLate)$ solution was obtained.

Scheme S1 Synthesis procedure of Cl₂Ti-TADDOLate



Scheme S2 Polymerization scheme by the simple method mixing TADDOL, TiCl₄, and isobutyl VE



Fig. S1 ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra of (A) poly(IBVE), (B) poly(*n*-PrVE), and (C) poly(2-PhEtVE); in CDCl₃ at 50 °C (The same samples as those shown in Fig. 2A, 2B, and 2C, respectively; see also Table S2 and S3).



Fig. S1 (continued)

¹³C NMR



Fig. S1 (continued)

| | TAL | DOL str | ucture | | | 4 | conv. | M ~ 10-3h | Mar /Mark | m ^c |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|---------------------|---|-------|-------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| entry | R ¹ | R ² | Ar | metal hande | solvent | time | (%) | M _n ×10 ⁰⁰ | ///w////n° | (%) |
| 1 | Me | Me | Ph | TiCl ₄ | hexane/toluene (9/1) | 30 s | ~100 | 45.4 | 6.67 | 87 |
| 2 | | | | TiCl ₄ | hexane/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (9/1) | 30 s | ~100 | 60.5 | 4.75 | 87 |
| 3 | | | | TiCl ₄ | toluene | 30 s | 96 | 31.3 | 10.48 | 85 |
| 4 | | | | TiCl ₄ | CH ₂ Cl ₂ | 30 s | ~100 | 14.9 | 1.68 | 81 |
| 5 | | | | SnCl ₄ | hexane/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (9/1) | 30 s | ~100 | 42.4 | 1.83 | 78 |
| 6 | | | | ZrCl ₄ | toluene | 480 h | 66 | 16.5 | 3.74 | 70 |
| 7 | | | | EtAlCl ₂ | toluene/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (9/1) | 5 min | 98 | 33.1 | 1.98 | 76 |
| 8^d | | | | NbCl ₅ | hexane/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (9/1) | 4 h | 54 | 25.3 | 2.19 | 79 |
| 9 ^e | | | | TaCl ₅ | toluene/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (9/1) | 1 h | 95 | 40.2 | 2.67 | 76 |
| 10 | | | | MoCl ₅ | toluene/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (9/1) | 30 s | 76 | 19.6 | 2.52 | 70 |

Table S1. Cationic polymerization of IBVE using various metal TADDOLates^a

^{*a*} [IBVE]₀ = 0.76 M, [MCl_n]₀ = 5.0 mM, [TADDOL]₀ = 5.5 mM at -78°C. ^{*b*} Determined by GPC in chloroform on the basis of polystyrene calibration. ^c Calculated by ¹³C NMR. ^d In the presence of 25 mM of ethyl acetate. ^e In the presence of 250 mM of ethyl acetate.

Note for Table S1: The number-average molecular weight and molecular weight distribution were influenced by the polymerization solvents, most likely because of the solubility of the polymer and the catalyst. The catalyst was completely soluble in toluene and CH₂Cl₂, but not in hexane. For fast reactions, exotherm of the polymerization could influence the polymerization control.

4a: R = Ph

4b: R =

он

tBu

4

tBu

Table S2. Cationic polymerization of IBVE using other ligands than TADDOL^a

<Ligand structures> 2a: R = H 2b: F -N^{,R}N-3a: R = он .OH tBu он но tBu 3b: R =

1

| entry | ligand | temp. (°C) | time | conv. (%) | $M_{ m n} 	imes 10^{-3 b}$ | $M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}{}^{b}$ | <i>m</i> (%) ^c |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|-------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | -78 | 1 min | 84 | 59.1 | 5.60 | 79 |
| 2 | 2a | -78 | 30 s | 99 | 21.2 | 2.41 | 73 |
| 3 | 2b | -78 | 30 s | ~100 | 14.6 | 2.64 | 75 |
| 4 | 2c | -78 | 3 min | ~0 | _ | _ | _ |
| $5^{d,e}$ | 3a | 0 | 72 h | 6.4 | - | _ | _ |
| 6 ^{<i>d,f</i>} | 3b | 0 | 168 h | 12 | _ | _ | _ |
| 7^g | 4a | -78 | 7 h | 94 | 29.9 | 1.11 | 59 |
| 8^g | 4b | -78 | 168 h | 64 | 41.6 | 5.33 | 74 |
| 9 ^g | 4c | -78 | 19 h | 97 | 12.3 | 1.88 | 72 |
| 10 | None | -78 | 5 min | ~100 | 4.6 | 1.28 | 71 |

*t*Bu

3

ťBu

a [IBVE]₀ = 0.76 M, [TiCl₄]₀ = 5.0 mM, [ligand]₀ = 11 mM (for entry 1) or 5.0 mM (for entries 2–9) in toluene. ^b Determined by GPC in chloroform on the basis of polystyrene calibration. ^e Calculated by ¹³C NMR. ^d Results taken from reference S3. ^e In the presence of 0.10 M of ethyl acetate. f In the presence of 1.0 M of ethyl acetate. g Results taken from reference S4.

Table S3. Cationic polymerization of various VEs using the titanium TADDOLate^a

<Monomer structures>

| °, | 0 | 0 | |) 0 | َمَار : 0 | 0 | 0 | O , / |
|-------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | | \succ |
| IBVE | E <i>n</i> -P | rVE 2- | PhEtVE E | VE <i>n</i> - | BuVE | CMVE | BnVE | <i>t</i> -BuVE |
| entry | monomer | [monomer] ₀ | solvent | time | conv. (%) | $M_{\rm n} 	imes 10^{-3 b}$ | $M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}{}^{b}$ | <i>m</i> (%) |
| 1 | IBVE | 0.76 M | hexane/toluene | 30 s | ~ 100 | 45.4 | 6.67 | 87 |
| 2 | | 0.20 M | hexane/toluene | 30 s | 78 | 302 | 1.86 | 89 |
| 3 | | 0.10 M | hexane/toluene | 1 min | ~100 | 84.9 | 2.63 | 90 |
| 4 | | 0.05 M | hexane/toluene | 1 min | ~100 | 50.0 | 2.77 | 90 |
| 5 | <i>n</i> -PrVE | 0.76 M | hexane/toluene | 15 min | ~100 | 117 | 3.60 | 82 |
| 6 | | 0.10 M | hexane/toluene | 30 min | 69 | 31.7 | 5.76 | 83 |
| 7 | 2-PhEtVE | 0.76 M | toluene | 5 min | 97 | 214 | 2.59 | 87 |
| 8 | | 0.10 M | toluene | 15 min | ~ 100 | 108 | 2.58 | 94 |
| 9 | EVE | 0.76 M | hexane/toluene | 5 h | ~100 | 37.4 | 2.77 | 75 |
| 10 | <i>n</i> -BuVE | 0.76 M | hexane/toluene | 5 min | 97 | 113 | 2.65 | 79 |
| 11 | | 0.10 M | hexane/toluene | 10 min | 42 | 62.0 | 3.59 | 82 |
| 12 | CMVE | 0.76 M | hexane/toluene | 1 min | 56 | 121 | 3.15 | 78 |
| 13 | BnVE | 0.76 M | toluene | 15 min | 59 | 49.2 | 3.87 | 70 |
| 14 | t-BuVE | 0.76 M | hexane/toluene | 30 sec | ~100 | 15.2 | 4.92 | 68 ^c |

^{*a*} [TiCl₄]₀ = 5.0 mM, [TADDOL ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$, Ar = Ph)]₀ = 5.5 mM in hexane/toluene (9/1 v/v) or toluene at -78 °C. ^{*b*} Determined by GPC in chloroform on the basis of polystyrene calibration. ^{*c*} Calculated from triad values.

Table S4. Solubility of polymers (1 wt%) synthesized from chiral VEs using the chiral titanium TADDOLates

| | (5) | (R) (S) | Poly{(1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>R</i> ,4 <i>R</i>)- menthyl VE} | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------|--|--------------------------|
| | Poly{(1) born | 5,2 <i>R</i> ,4 <i>S</i>)- yl VE} | | | Poly{(1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>S</i> ,4 <i>S</i>)- menthyl VE} | |
| | (R,R)- | (<i>S</i> , <i>S</i>)- | (R,R)- | (S,S)- | (R,R)- | (<i>S</i> , <i>S</i>)- |
| | TADDOL | TADDOL | TADDOL | TADDOL | TADDOL | TADDOL |
| Chloroform | Soluble | Insoluble ^a | Insoluble | Soluble | Soluble | Insoluble |
| Dichloromethane | Soluble | Insoluble | Insoluble | Soluble | Soluble | Insoluble |
| 1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane | Soluble | Insoluble | Insoluble | Soluble | Soluble | Insoluble |
| o-Dichlorobenzene | Soluble | Insoluble | Insoluble | Soluble | Soluble | Insoluble |
| Hexane | Soluble | Insoluble | Insoluble | Soluble | Soluble | Insoluble |
| Toluene | Soluble | Insoluble | Insoluble | Soluble | Soluble | Insoluble |
| THF | Soluble | Insoluble | Insoluble | Soluble | Soluble | Insoluble |

^{*a*} Soluble at a very low concentration.

Table S5. Cationic polymerization of chiral VEs using the titanium TADDOLate^a



(1*S*,2*R*,4*S*)bornyl VE

(1*S*,2*R*,4*R*)- (1*R*,2*R*,4*S*)menthyl VE fenchyl VE

(*R*)-1-[(CF₃)₂Ph]EtVE (*S*)-2-MeBuVE

(S)-3-OcVE Me-(S)-2-(vinyloxy) propanoate

| entry | monomer | TADDOL chirality | [monomer] ₀ | time | conv. (%) | $M_{ m n} 	imes 10^{-3 b}$ | $M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}{}^{b}$ |
|-------|---|---------------------|------------------------|-------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | (1S2RAS)-bornyl VE | (S,S) | 0.51 M | 5 min | 79 | 128 | 2.06 |
| 2 | (15,2 <i>K</i> ,45)-00111y1 v E | (R,R) | | 2 min | 82 | 30.5 | 3.38 |
| 3 | (1S2RAR) menthul VE | (S,S) | 0.46 M | 1 min | ~100 | 55.8 | 2.38 |
| 4 | (15,2 <i>K</i> ,4 <i>K</i>)-incituity1 v L | (R,R) | | 1 min | ~100 | | _c |
| 5 | (1 R 2 R AS) feachyl VE | (S,S) | 0.51 M | 5 min | ~100 | 48.1 | 1.59 |
| 6 | (1 <i>K</i> ,2 <i>K</i> , 4 5)-ielieliyi v E | (R,R) | | 5 min | 56 | 30.4 | 2.39 |
| 7 | $(R)_{1}[(CE_{2})_{2}Ph]EtVE$ | (S,S) | 0.46 M | 4 h | ~50 | 3.7 | 1.15 |
| 8 | (K)-1-[(CF3)2FII]EtVE | (R,R) | | 4 h | ~50 | 3.8 | 1.15 |
| 9 | (S)-2-MeBuVE | (S,S) | 0.67 M | 30s | 48 | 25.4 | 2.81 |
| 10 | (5)-2-webu v E | (R,R) | | 30 s | 66 | 18.9 | 3.60 |
| 11 | (S)-3-OcVE | (S,S) | 0.50 M | 30 s | 79 | 8.7 | 2.66 |
| 12 | (5)-5-00 V E | (R,R) | | 30 s | 89 | 11.0 | 2.46 |
| 13 | Me-(S)-2-(vinyloxy) | (S,S) | 0.75 M | 44 h | ~0 | _ | _ |
| 14 | propanoate | (R,R) | | 44 h | ~0 | _ | _ |

^{*a*} [TiCl₄]₀ = 5.0 mM, [TADDOL ($R^1 = R^2 = Me$, Ar = Ph)]₀ = 5.5 mM in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (9/1 v/v) at -78 °C. ^{*b*} Determined by GPC in chloroform on the basis of polystyrene calibration. ^{*c*} The polymer was insoluble in chloroform.



Fig. S2 ¹³C NMR spectra of poly(chiral VE)s synthesized by TiCl₄ with (*S*,*S*)- or (*R*,*R*) TADDOL; in CDCl₃ (for A, B, and C), $C_2D_2Cl_4$ (for D) or CD₂Cl₂ (for E) at 30 °C (The same samples as those shown in Fig. 3 and Table S5).



Fig. S2 (continued)

Note for **Fig. S2:** The titanium TADDOLate catalyst did not likely recognize chirality in small pendant groups such as those of 2-methylbutyl VE and 3-octyl VE (D and E). Appropriate bulky chiral pendant groups are most likely needed to this "chiral recognition" phenomenon under the examined conditions. Methine carbon signals were shown for polymers of secondary alkyl VEs (A–C and E), while methylene carbon signals were shown for a primary alkyl VE (D). This is because the methylene carbon signals of secondary VEs were broad and thus not suitable for analysis of tacticity.



Poly (bornyl VE) from (*S*,*S*)-TADDOL showed exothermic signals after T_g (~ 160 °C) on the 1st scan, while the counterpart from (*R*,*R*)-TADDOL did not. These exothermic signals are most likely assigned to T_c . However, T_m was difficult to observe because the value was estimated^{S5} to be over the degradation temperature.



From the 2nd scan, poly(menthyl VE) from (*R*,*R*)-TADDOL appeared to have T_g around 200 °C, while poly(menthyl VE) from (*S*,*S*)-TADDOL clearly showed T_g around 80 °C. T_m was difficult to observe because of the same reason as poly(bornyl VE)s.

Fig. S3 DSC profiles (1st and 2nd heating scan) of poly(bornyl VE)s (A and B) and poly(menthyl VE)s (C and D). The heating and cooling rates were 10 °C min⁻¹ in the range from 30 to 300 °C.

Chain-end model is represented by Bernoullian statistics, and the triad distribution can be described by a single value of σ_1 , the probability that a new monomer will react with a growing chain end to give the same configuration as that of the last unit on the chain^{S6}:

$$(mm) = \sigma_1^2$$
$$(mr) = 2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_1^2)$$
$$(rr) = (1 - \sigma_1)^2$$

In catalyst-site control model, the triad distribution can be described by a parameter σ_2 , the probability of forming a (*S*) [or (*R*)] unit at the (*S*)- [or (*R*)-] selective site^{S7}:

$$(mm) = 1 - 3(\sigma_2 - \sigma_2^2)$$
$$(mr) = 2(\sigma_2 - \sigma_2^2)$$
$$(rr) = \sigma_2 - \sigma_2^2$$

These relationships are plotted in the following figures for the poly(VE)s obtained by TADDOL/TiCl₄ system ("P" is the probability of the each triad).



Even though the statistics for the highly isotactic polymers are closer to the theoretical values of catalyst-site control, we need more detailed investigation to determine the exact mechanism of the stereoregulation.

The ratios of the triads of the obtained polymers calculated from ¹³C NMR analyses are in the following Table. $4(mm)(rr)/(mr)^2$ and (mr)/2(rr) should be 1 if a polymerization completely follows chain-end model and catalyst-site control model, respectively.

| | (100) | (100) (100,000) | (| (1414) | 4(<i>mm</i>)(<i>rr</i>) | (mr) |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | (<i>m</i>) | (mm) | (mr) | (rr) | $(mr)^2$ | $\overline{2(rr)}$ |
| Poly(2-PhEtVE) | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 19 | 1.2 |
| Poly(IBVE) | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 5.0 | 2.0 |
| Poly(<i>n</i> -PrVE) | 0.83 | 0.69 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 3.4 | 1.7 |
| Poly(EVE) | 0.75 | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
| Poly(<i>t</i> -BuVE) | _ | 0.49 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 2.0 | 1.3 |

Fig. S4 Analysis of triad sequences of isotactic poly(VE)s

- S1 T. Higashimura, M. Kamigaito, M. Kato, T. Hasebe and M. Sawamoto, *Macromolecules*, 1993, **26**, 2670.
- S2 M. Bosch and M. Schlaf, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 5225.
- S3 S. Kigoshi, A. Kanazawa, S. Kanaoka and S. Aoshima, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. 2019, 57, 989.
- S4 S. Kigoshi, A. Kanazawa, S. Kanaoka and S. Aoshima, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. 2019, 57, 2021.
- S5 W. A. Lee and G. J. Knight, Br. Polym. J., 1970, 2, 73.
- S6 F. A. Bovey, and G. V. D. Tiers, J. Polym. Sci. 1960, 44, 173.
- S7 R. A. Shelden, T. Fueno, T. Tsunetsugu and J. Furukawa, J. Polym. Sci. Part B: Polym. Lett., 1965, **3**, 23.