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Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (A) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (B) spectra of 4,4-dimethyl-5-methyleneoxazolidin-2one (DMOx) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{DMOx}-c o-\mathrm{VAc})\left(F_{\mathrm{DMOx}}=0.08\right.$; entry 3 Table 2$)$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S3. $\operatorname{COSY}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{HSQC}(\mathbf{B})$ spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{DMOx}-\mathrm{co}-\mathrm{VAc})\left(F_{\mathrm{DMOx}}=0.08\right)$ prepared by conventional radical polymerization with V70 as initiator (Table 2, entry 3).


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (A), COSY (B) and HSQC (C) spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ of the P (DMOx-coVAc) $\left(F_{\text {DMOx }}=0.10\right)$ prepared by OMRP $($ Table 3, entry 1$)$.


Figure S5. (A) Time dependence of $\ln [\mathrm{M}]_{0} /[\mathrm{M}]$ and (B) evolution of $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ (full symbols) and $\doteq$ (hollow symbols) on the total monomer conversion for the $\operatorname{OMRP}\left(f^{\circ} \mathrm{DMOx}=0.4\right.$, $[\mathrm{DMOx}] /[\mathrm{VAc}] /[\mathrm{RCo}]=200 / 300 / 1)$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\boldsymbol{\square})$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})$.
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Figure S6. Overlay of SEC traces for the OMRP of DMOx and VAc performed at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $f^{\circ}$ DMOx equal to (A) 0.2 and (B) 0.4 (Table 3, entries 3 and 4)

Table S1. Data used for the determination of the reactivity ratios for the OMRP of DMOx and VAc at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Entry | Feed composition |  | Time | Conv. | $\boldsymbol{F}_{\text {DMOx }}{ }^{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{f}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\mathbf{D M O x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}^{\circ}{ }_{\text {VAc }}$ | (h) | ${ }^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{( \% )}$ |  |
|  | 0.19 | 0.81 | 2 | 12 | 0.12 |
| 2 | 0.31 | 0.69 | 2 | 10 | 0.19 |
| 3 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 2 | 8 | 0.26 |
| 4 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 2 | 7 | 0.29 |
| 5 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 3 | 5 | 0.31 |

Conditions: bulk polymerization, $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, [comonomers $]_{0} /[\mathrm{RCo}]_{0}=500 / 1$, a total monomer conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ after purification of the copolymers.
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Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (A) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (B) spectra of methyl (ethoxycarbonothioyl)sulfanyl acetate in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.
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Figure S8. $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (A), COSY (B) and $\mathrm{HSQC}(\mathbf{C})$ spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ of the $\mathrm{P}($ DMOx-coVAc) $\left(F_{\text {dmox }}=0.12\right)$ prepared by RAFT (Table 4, entry 2$)$.
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Figure S9. (A) The total monomer conversion dependence of $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ (full symbols) and $\doteq$ (hollow symbols) and (B) Time dependence of $\ln [\mathrm{M}]_{0} /[\mathrm{M}]$ for the RAFT of DMOx and VAc ([comonomers]/[Xanthate]/[AIBN] $=150 / 1 / 0.2)$ with different initial rate: $f_{\text {DMOx }}^{\circ}=0.2(■), 0.4$ (•), 0.6 ( $\mathbf{\Delta}$ ).


Figure S10. Overlay of SEC chromatorgrams for the RAFT of DMOx/VAc using (A)
$[\mathrm{DMOx}]_{0} /[\mathrm{VAc}]_{0} /[\text { xanthate }]_{0} /[\mathrm{AIBN}]_{0}=30 / 120 / 1 / 0.2$ (Table 4, entry 2), (B) $[\text { DMOx }]_{0} /[\mathrm{VAc}]_{0} /[\text { xanthate }]_{0} /[\mathrm{AIBN}]_{0}=60 / 90 / 1 / 0.2 \quad$ (Table 4 , entry 3 ), (C) $[\mathrm{DMOx}]_{0} /[\mathrm{VAc}]_{0} /[\text { xanthate }]_{0} /[\mathrm{AIBN}]_{0}=90 / 60 / 1 / 0.2($ Table 4 , entry 4$)$.

Table S2. Data used for the determination of the reactivity ratios for the RAFT of DMOx and VAc at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Entry | Feed composition |  | Time <br> (h) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conv. } \\ & { }^{\mathrm{a}}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | $F$ dmox $^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f^{\circ}$ DMOx | $f^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {ac }}$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 1 | 5 | 0.06 |
| 2 | 0.23 | 0.77 | 2 | 6 | 0.12 |
| 3 | 0.36 | 0.64 | 3 | 6 | 0.20 |
| 4 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 3 | 8 | 0.28 |
| 5 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 4 | 6 | 0.39 |

Conditions: bulk polymerization, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, [comonomers]/[Xanthate]/[AIBN] $=150 / 1 / 0.2,{ }^{\text {a }}$ total monomer conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ after purification of the copolymers.


Figure S11. Overlay of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of (A) P(DMOx-co-VAc) $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}: 10000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}, F_{\mathrm{DMOx}}\right.$ $=0.24$ ) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, (B) P(DMOx-co-VA) in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ and (C) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{AMBO}-c o-\mathrm{VA})$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


Figure S12. HSQC spectra of (A) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{DMOx}-c o-\mathrm{VAc})$ in $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$, (B) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{DMOx}-c o-\mathrm{VA})$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ and (C) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{AMBO}-c o-\mathrm{VA})$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


Figure S13. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyses of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{DMOx}-\mathrm{co}-\mathrm{VAc})\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$ : $\left.10000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}, F_{\mathrm{DMOx}}=0.24\right)$ and the corresponding $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{DMOx}-c o-\mathrm{VA})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{AMBO}-\mathrm{co}-\mathrm{VA})$.

