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## 1. Experimental Section

Materials. HPLC solvents (tetrahydrofuran, $N, N$-Dimethylformamide, n-hexane and isoproponal), anhydrous solvents (dichloromethane and methanol) and common organic solvents were purchased from Xilong Scientific, Concord Technolggy, 3A Chemicals and Tongguang Chem. N-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-(S)-prolinal was purchased from OuheChem. Isocyanate reagents were purchased from Energy Chemical, HEOWNS, and Shanghai Dibai Biotech. Racemates were purchased from Energy Chemical, Bide Pharmatech Ltd., HEOWNS, Accela, Shanghai Dibai Biotech and Aladdin. $[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ was purchased from Alfa Aesar. 4 M HCl -dioxane was purchased from Energy Chemical. Dimethyl (1-Diazo-2-oxopropyl) phosphonate was purchased from Accela. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ were purchased from Tongguang Chem. Wide-pore silica gel (Daiso gelSP-1000) with a mean particle size of $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a mean pore-diameter of 100 nm , which was kindly supplied by Daiso Chemical (Osaka, Japan), was silanized using (3aminopropyl)triethoxysilanein toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Instrumentation and Analysis.

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX 400 instrument at ambient temperature using either $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-THF as the solvent and tetramethylsilane as the internal standard ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and 101 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Bruker BIFLEX III mass spectrometer. The number-averaged molecular weight $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, weight-averaged molecular weight $\left(M_{w}\right)$, and polydistribution index $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of polymer were estimated on a gel permeation chromatography (GPC) apparatus equipped with a Waters 2410 refractive index detector and a Waters 515 pump. THF was employed as the eluent at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All GPC curves were calibrated against a series of monodispersed polystyrene standards. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were carried out on a TA Instrument Q600 analyzer at a heating rate of $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow rate of $100 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Laser Raman spectra were measured on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet NXR FT-Raman Spectrometer. UV-Vis absorption measurements were conducted on a Varian Cary 1E UV-Vis spectrometer. Optical rotations were recorded on a JASCO Model P-1030 digital polarimeter. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were performed on a JASCO J-810 spectrometer. The light path length of the quartz cell used was 10 mm . The samples were dissolved in THF and DMF at a concentration of around $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.

Chromatographic experiments were performed using a JASCOPU-2089 chromatograph equipped with UV-Vis (JASCO UV-2070) and circular dichroism (JASCO CD-2095) detectors at room tem-perature. A solution of a racemate $(3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ was injected into the chromatographic system through an intelligent sampler (JASCO AS-2055).

The 3D skeleton of polymeric stationary phase was conducted in the Material Studio software (version 5.0; Accerlys Software Inc.). First, the structures of repeated units were optimized using the geometry optimization of the Forcite module. To build polymer conformation, the dihedral angles of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$, hereafter named $\theta$ and $\varphi$, were varied systematically in steps of $10^{\circ}$. The atactic polymers with 50 repeating units were then built up using Polymer Builder in the Material Studio, in which the dihedral angles were constrained to specific degrees. The structures were subjected to energy minimization with Smart Minimizer of the Discover module at first. Atomistic MD simulations were conducted with the Dynamics of Discover module with a NVT ensemble at 298 K . The total simulation time is 5.0 ps , and time step is 1 fs . The lowest energy 50 -mer was only remained the middle 10 repeating units for the docking study. ${ }^{2}$

The docking study was carried out with AutoDock 4.2. The polymeric 10 -units were loaded Gasteiger charges and calculated the affinity maps on a $70 \times 70 \times 70(0.375 \AA$ spaced $)$ rectangular box centered on the target structure. The molecular docking of enantiomers was subjected to a total of 50 Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm runs. Set the population size and the number of energy evaluations to 150 and 2.5 million, respectively. The value of the initial coordinate for the center of the ligand, ligand rigid-body orientation, and relative dihedral angles were randomized each run. All conformations were then clustered to RMSD of 2.0, and ranked according to the relative free energy of binding (FEB). The average energy of the best cluster with the lowest docking energy result was chosen as the model for mechanism studies. ${ }^{3}$

## Synthesis procedures.

2-(S)-Acetenyl- $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-pyrrolidine (mBoc). The detailed synthetic process could be obtained from our previous work. ${ }^{1}$ Yield: $90 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $4.62-$ $4.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.56-3.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.29-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.17-1.96(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.96-1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 154.0,84.3,79.7$,
69.5, 47.9, 45.9, 45.4, 33.6, 32.9, 28.4, 24.4, 23.5. HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 196.1259; found, 196.1327.

2-(S)-Acetenyl- $N$-(3', 5'-di(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)carbamoyl-pyrrolidine ( $\mathbf{m 2 C F}_{3}$ ). Under the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, $4.0 \mathrm{~g} 2-(S)$-Acetenyl- $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-pyrrolidine was added 35 mL 4 M HCl dioxane in 150 mL round-bottom flask. After stirring for 2 h , the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was mixed with 40 mL anhydrous dichloromethane and 7 mL triethylamine at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .7 \mathrm{~g}$ 3,5-di(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocynate was soluble in 15 mL anhydrous dichloromethane and added to the solution dropwise. After stirring for 24 h , the solution was washed by water and NaCl aqueous solution. The organic solution was dried by $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered to remove salt. The crude product was obtained by the removal of solvent under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether mixtures as the eluent to yield 4.7 g of faint yellow solid. Yield: $66 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 7.96-7.86(m, 2H, ArH), 7.53-7.44 (m, 1H, ArH), $7.07-6.94(m, 1 H, N H), 4.63-4.46(d, 1 H$, $\mathrm{NCH}), 3.65-3.44\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.53-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.32-2.08\left(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.06-1.93\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 153.0,140.5,131.9,124.6$, 119.1, 116.1, 82.6, 73.1, 47.8, 46.3, 33.8, 24.1. HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 351.1323; found, 351.0930.

2-(S)-Acetenyl- $N$-(3', 5'-dichlorophenyl)carbamoyl-pyrrolidine (m2Cl). Yield: 76\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 7.43-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.03-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.82-6.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.61-4.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.66-3.46\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.53-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.32$ $-2.08\left(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.06-1.93\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $152.9,140.9,135.0,122.8,117.5,82.7,72.5,47.7,46.2,33.7,24.1 . \operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 283.2220; found, 283.0399.

2-(S)-Acetenyl-N-(3', 5'-dimethylphenyl)carbamoyl-pyrrolidine (m2Me). Yield: $82 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 7.09-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.70-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.60-6.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 4.60-4.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.61-3.45\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.48-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.31-$ $2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.23-2.09\left(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.03-1.93\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 153.8,138.8,138.5,124.7,117.3,83.3,72.3,47.7,46.1,33.8,24.2,21.4$. HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 243.1889$; found, 243.1492 .

2-(S)-Acetenyl- $N$-(4'-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl-pyrrolidine $\left(\mathbf{m}^{4} \mathbf{C l}\right)$. Yield: $82 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.28-7.19(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.75-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 4.60-4.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.64-3.44\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.51-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.29-$ 2.08 (ddt, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $\left.2.06-1.93\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(101} \mathrm{MHz} d-,\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 153.5$, 137.7, 128.8, 127.8, 120.8, 83.0, 72.6, 47.7, 46.1, 33.8, 24.1. HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 249.1186$; found, 249.0789 .

2-(S)-Acetenyl-N-phenylcarbamoyl-pyrrolidine (mPh). Yield: $71 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): 7.46-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.33-7.23$ (dd, 2H, ArH), 7.06-6.99 (t, 1H, ArH), 6.74-6.61 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.61-4.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.63-3.44\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.49-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$, $2.28-2.07\left(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.03-1.93\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$, ppm): $153.8,139.0,128.9,123.0,119.7,83.2,72.4,47.7,46.1,33.8,24.1 . \operatorname{HRMS}(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 215.1576; found, 215.1176.

2-(S)-Acetenyl-N-(4'-methylphenyl)carbamoyl-pyrrolidine ( $\mathbf{m}^{4} \mathbf{M e}$ ). Yield: $73 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 7.28-7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.05-6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.54-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 4.53-4.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.56-3.38\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.40-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.26-$ $2.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18-2.00\left(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.96-1.85\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 153.9,136.4,132.5,129.4,119.8,83.3,72.3,47.7,46.1,33.8,24.2,20.8$. HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 229.17326$; found, 229.1336.

2-(S)-Acetenyl-N-(4'-tert-butylphenyl)carbamoyl-pyrrolidine (m'Bu). Yield: 80\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.48-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.59-4.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NCH}), 3.62-3.46\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.46-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.28-2.07\left(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.03-1.92\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.33-1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $153.9,145.9,136.3,125.7,119.5,83.3,72.4,47.7,46.1,33.9,31.4,24.1 . \operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 271.2202; found, 271.1809.

2-(S)-Acetenyl- $N$-(3'-chloro-4'-methylphenyl)carbamoyl-pyrrolidine ( $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}^{4} \mathbf{M e}$ ). Yield: $94 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 7.55-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.14-7.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.71-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.62-4.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.64-3.43\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, $2.49-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.36-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.26-2.07\left(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.03-1.92$
(dt, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $153.5,137.9,134.2,130.8,120.1,117.9$, 83.1, 72.5, 47.7, 46.1, 33.8, 24.2, 19.3. HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 263.1342$; found, 263.0949.

2-(S)-Acetenyl- N -(3'-chloro-5'-methylphenyl)carbamoyl-pyrrolidine ( $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{M e}$ ). Yield: $83 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 7.32-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.87-6.77$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.70-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.58-4.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.62-3.46\left(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, $2.51-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 2.31-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.26-2.08\left(\mathrm{ddt}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.04-$ 1.94 (dt, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 153.4, 140.2, 139.9, 134.1, 123.7, 118.2, 116.6, 83.0, 72.7, 47.7, 46.2, 33.8, 24.1, 21.3. HRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 263.1342; found, 263.0944.

## Polymerization.

Monomer $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}(7.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~g})$ and THF $(16.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a dry ampule. After three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, to the ampule was added a solution of $[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and TEA $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in THF $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The concentrations of monomer and the rhodium catalyst were 0.36 and 0.0072 M , respectively. The color of the reaction mixture turned dark red within 1 h . After stirring for 24 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the resulting polymer was precipitated into a large amount of methanol and collected by filtration and washed by methanol. After drying under vacuum at room temperature for $24 \mathrm{~h}, 1.8 \mathrm{~g}$ of paint yellow solids $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ were obtained. Yield: $74 \%$. The other polymers were with the same synthetic procedure as $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$. All the polymers showed good solubility in THF and slight solubility in DMF. $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ were slightly soluble in isopropanol so the HPLC eluent solvent for these two was pure $n$-hexane.

## Preparation of chiral stationary phase.

 silanized silica gel according to previous method. ${ }^{4}$ The fed ratio between $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ to silica gel was 1:4 for $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$-based CSPs. The silica gels coated with polymers were packed in a stainless-steel tube $(25 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~cm}$ i.d. $)$ by a slurry technique. The plate numbers of the packed columns were 1300-2500 for benzene using a hexane/2-propanol $(90 / 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ mixture as the eluent at a flow rate
of $0.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. $1,3,5-$ Tri- $t$-butylbenzene was used as a non-retained compound to estimate the dead time $\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)$. The retention time of enantiomers is $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{2}$. The retention factor $k_{1}=\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}-\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) / \mathrm{t}_{0}$, the separation factor $\alpha=\left(t_{2}-t_{0}\right) /\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)$.

## 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and FTMS Spectra of Important Compounds and Polymers



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of mBoc measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of mBoc measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S3. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of m 2 Cl measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S5. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of m 2 Cl measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of m 2 Me measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S7. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of m 2 Me measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S9. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of mPh measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure $\mathbf{S 1 1 .}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of mPh measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S13. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S15. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S16. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S17. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S18. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S19. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.


Figure S20. FTMS spectrum of mBoc.


Figure S21. FTMS spectrum of $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$.


Figure S22. FTMS spectrum of m 2 Cl .


Figure S23. FTMS spectrum of m2Me.


Figure S24. FTMS spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$.


Figure S25. FTMS spectrum of mPh.


Figure S26. FTMS spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$.


Figure S27. FTMS spectrum of mibu.


Figure S28. FTMS spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$.


Figure S29. FTMS spectrum of $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$.


Figure S30. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of p 2 Cl measured in $\mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ at room temperature.


Figure S31. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of p 2 Me measured in $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ - THF at room temperature.


Figure S32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ measured in $\mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ at room temperature.


Figure S33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of pPh measured in $\mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ at room temperature.


Figure $\mathbf{S 3 4} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-THF at room temperature.


Figure S35. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ measured in $\mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ at room temperature.


Figure S36. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ at room temperature.


Figure S37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ measured in $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ - THF at room temperature.


Figure S38. 3D model of pendants in $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$.



Figure S39. Schematic diagram of inductive effect on $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ and p 2 Me .


Figure S40. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{mPh}, \mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\mathrm{a}) ; \mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{pPh}, \mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ in $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-THF (b).
(a)

(b)




Figure S41. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (a);
$\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ in $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-THF (b).
3. Raman spectra of Polymers


Figure S42. Raman spectra of helical polyacetylenes.

## 4. DSC Curves of Polymers



Figure S43. DSC curves of polymers recorded under nitrogen at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.

## 5. UV-Vis Absorption and CD Spectra



Figure S44. UV-Vis absorption and CD spectra of $\mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{~m} 2 \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{m} 2 \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{mPh}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ (a); $\mathrm{mPh}, \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{b})$ in THF with a concentration of 0.1 mM .


Figure S45. UV-Vis absorption and CD spectra of m 2 Cl and p 2 Cl (a); m 2 Me and p 2 Me (b) in THF and DMF at room temperature with a concentration of 0.1 mM .


Figure S46. UV-Vis absorption and CD spectra of $\mathrm{m}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (a); mPh and $\mathrm{pPh}(\mathrm{b})$ in THF and DMF at room temperature with a concentration of 0.1 mM .


Figure S47. UV-Vis absorption and CD spectra of $m^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{a})$; $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathrm{b})$ in THF and DMF at room temperature with a concentration of 0.1 mM .


Figure S48. UV-Vis absorption and CD spectra of $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{a}) ; \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{b})$ in THF and DMF at room temperature with a concentration of 0.1 mM .

Table S1. UV-Vis adsorption and CD results of polymers in DMF

| Polymer | $\lambda_{\text {UV-Vis }}{ }^{a}$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{CD}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (nm) | $[\theta]{ }^{c}\left(10^{4} \mathrm{deg} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{dmol}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | (nm) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{CF} 3$ | 336 | 326 | 4.44 |
| p2Cl | 336 | 330 | 4.16 |
| p2Me | 332 | 330 | 4.78 |
| $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 335 | 329 | 4.16 |
| pPh | 332 | 330 | 4.60 |
| $\mathbf{p}^{4} \mathbf{M e}$ | 338 | 328 | 4.87 |
| $p^{t} \mathbf{B u}$ | 326 | 329 | 3.80 |
| $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ | 318 | 328 | 3.98 |
| $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ | 319 | 329 | 3.81 |

${ }^{a}$ Maximum absorption wavelength of main-chain. ${ }^{b}$ Wavelength of Cotton effect on main-chain. ${ }^{c}$ Partial molar ellipticity of main-chain.


Figure S49. UV-Vis absorption and CD spectra of $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{g}$ in THF at room temperature with a concentration of 0.1 mM .

## 6. HPLC Results



Figure S50. HPLC chromatograms for resolution of racemates $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ on $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$-based CSP.


Figure S51. HPLC chromatograms for resolution of racemates $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ on p2Cl-based CSP.


Figure S52. HPLC chromatograms for resolution of racemates 1-9 on p2Me-based CSP..


Figure S53. HPLC chromatograms for resolution of racemates 1-2 and 4-5 on $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$-based CSP.


Figure S54. HPLC chromatograms for resolution of racemates 1-2 and 4-5 on pPh-based CSP.


Figure S55. HPLC chromatograms for resolution of racemates $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ and $\mathbf{4 - 5}$ on $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$-based CSP.

Table S2. HPLC results of nine enantiomers on novel CSPs (100/0). ${ }^{a}$

| Racemates | $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ |  | $\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{B u}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ |
| 1 | $1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 7.63(+) | 1.22 | 5.39(+) | 1.22 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 4.61 | 1.00 | 3.58 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 3.67 | 1.00 | 3.88 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 7.02 | 1.00 | 8.10 | 1.00 |
| 9 | 10.9 | 1.00 | 9.52 | 1.00 |

${ }^{a}$ Column: $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ID. Flow rate: $0.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The signs in parentheses represent the circular dichroism detection at 254 nm of the first-eluted enantiomer. Eluent: $n$-hexane $/ 2$-propanol $=100 / 0, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. ${ }^{b}$ Could not be obtained.

Table S3. HPLC results of nine enantiomers on novel CSPs (95/5). ${ }^{a}$

| Racemates | $\mathrm{p} 2^{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ |  | p2Cl |  | p2Me |  | $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{l}^{\prime}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\alpha$ |
| 1 | 0.56(+) | 1.42 | 1.19(+) | 1.63 | 1.28(+) | 1.51 | 4.26(+) | 1.62 |
| 2 | 0.48 | 1.00 | 1.16 | 1.00 | 3.02(+) | 1.91 | 3.57(+) | 1.26 |
| 3 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | 3.80 | 1.00 | 9.08 | 1.00 | 15.6(-) | $c a .1$ | 22.3(-) | 1.12 |
| 5 | 0.74(+) | ca. 1 | 2.61(+) | 1.48 | 0.82(+) | 1.18 | 4.09(+) | 2.26 |
| 6 | 0.09 | 1.00 | 0.38(-) | $c a .1$ | 0.24 | 1.00 | 0.26 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.22 | 1.00 | 0.30 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
| 9 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.59(-) | $c a .1$ | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
| Racamates | pPh |  | $\mathbf{p}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ |  | $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $k_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\alpha$ |  |  |
| 1 | $2.26(+)$ | 1.42 | 2.99(+) | 1.56 | 3.50(+) | 1.66 |  |  |
| 2 | 7.57(+) | 1.43 | 3.89(+) | 1.62 | 3.19(+) | 1.44 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.49 | 1.00 | 0.44(+) | $c a .1$ |  |  |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | 22.3(-) | 1.16 | 21.7(-) | 1.12 | 28.5 | 1.00 |  |  |
| 5 | 4.09(+) | 2.07 | 7.82(+) | 2.76 | 4.14(+) | 1.81 |  |  |
| 6 | 0.26 | 1.00 | 0.46(+) | $c a .1$ | 0.49 | 1.00 |  |  |
| 7 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 0.34 | 1.00 | 0.41 | 1.00 |  |  |
| 8 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 1.00 | 1.18 | 1.00 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 1.00 | 1.70 | 1.00 |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Column: $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ID. Flow rate: $0.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The signs in parentheses represent the circular dichroism detection at 254 nm of the first-eluted enantiomer. Eluent: $n$-hexane $/ 2$-propanol $=95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} .{ }^{b}$ Flow rate: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S56. HPLC results of $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}(\mathrm{a}), \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Cl}$ (b) and p 2 Me (c).


Figure S57. HPLC results of $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (a), $\mathrm{pPh}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ (c) and $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ (d).

Table S4. HPLC results of $\mathbf{3}$ on nine CSPs under pure $n$-hexane. ${ }^{a}$

| Racemates | $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ |  | p2Cl |  | p2Me |  | $\mathbf{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ |  | pPh |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ |
| 3 | 0.83(+) | 1.00 | 2.06(+) | 1.16 | 3.97(+) | 1.12 | 2.98(+) | 1.08 | 4.35(+) | 1.12 |
| Racemates | $p^{4} \mathbf{M e}$ |  | $p^{\text {t }} \mathbf{B u}$ |  | $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ |  | $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ | $k_{1}$ | $\alpha$ |  |  |
| 3 | 3.02(+) | 1.10 | 5.39(+) | 1.22 | 6.86(+) | 1.32 | 5.63(+) | 1.22 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Column: $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ID. Flow rate: $0.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The signs in parentheses represent the circular dichroism detection at 254 nm of the first-eluted enantiomer. Eluent: $n$-hexane.


Figure S58. HPLC chromatograms for resolution of racemate $\mathbf{3}$ on nine CSPs.

Table S5. HPLC results of nine enantiomers on two CSPs (95/5). ${ }^{a}$

| Racemates | $\mathbf{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ |  | $\mathbf{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{g}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\alpha$ | $k^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | $\alpha$ |
| 1 | 4.26(+) | 1.62 | 2.18 | 1.00 |
| 2 | 3.57(+) | 1.26 | 2.83 | 1.00 |
| 3 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 1.00 |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | 22.3(-) | 1.12 | 11.0(-) | 1.16 |
| 5 | 4.09(+) | 2.26 | 5.81(+) | $c a .1$ |
| 6 | 0.26 | 1.00 | 0.47 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 0.37 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.21 | 1.00 |
| 9 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.34 | 1.00 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Column: $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ID. Flow rate: $0.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The signs in parentheses represent the circular dichroism detection at 254 nm of the first-eluted enantiomer. Eluent: $n$-hexane $/ 2$-propanol $=95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} .{ }^{b}$ Flow rate: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## 7. Computational Simulation



Figure S59. Possible 3D helical structures obtained from computational simulation: $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ (a), $\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (d), $\mathrm{pPh}(\mathrm{e}), \mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ (f), $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathrm{g}), \mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$ (h) and $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$ (i).

$\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$

$\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$

$p^{\text {tBu }}$
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Figure S60. Torsional angle diagrams of nine polymers (selected atoms are marked in red).


Figure S61. The simulation results of torsional angle for $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ (a), $\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{c}), \mathrm{p}{ }^{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{d})$, $\mathrm{pPh}(\mathrm{e}), \mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{f}), \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathrm{g}), \mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{h})$ and $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{i})$.


Figure S62. Molecular docking of the enantiomer (a) (+)-3 and (b) ( - )-3 to $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Cl}$; (c) (+)-3 and (d) $(-)-\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathrm{pPh} ;(\mathrm{e})(+)-\mathbf{3}$ and (f) ( -$)^{-3}$ to $\mathrm{p}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$; (g) (+)-3 and (h) ( -$)^{-3}$ to $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t} B u}$. SA and CS were displayed in ball-stick model and line model, respectively. Atoms were colored as: C (gray), O (red), N (blue), H (white), Cl (green); aromatic groups of enantiomers (cyan); HBs were marked as green-colored dashed lines.


Figure S63. Molecular docking of the enantiomer (a) (+)-3 and (b) (-)-3 to $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{4} \mathrm{Me}$; (c) (+)-3 and (d) (-)-3 to $\mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{5} \mathrm{Me}$. SA and CS were displayed in ball-stick model and line model, respectively. Atoms were colored as: C (gray), O (red), N (blue), H (white), Cl (green); aromatic groups of enantiomers (cyan); HBs were marked as green-colored dashed lines.


Figure S64. Molecular docking of the enantiomer (a) (+)-7 and (b) (-)-7 to $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$; (c) (+)-8 and (d) (-)-8 to $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$. Atoms were colored as: C (gray), O (red), N (blue), H (white), F (brown); aromatic groups of enantiomers (cyan); HBs were marked as green-colored dashed lines.

Table S6. Molecular docking results of analyte 7-8.

| CSP | (+)-7 |  | (-)-8 |  | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \Delta \mathrm{E}^{c} \\ (\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{HB}}(\AA)^{a}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\pi-\pi}(\AA)^{b}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{HB}}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\pi-\pi}(\AA)$ |  |
| p2CF 3 | - | - | - | - | $+0.07$ |
| CSP | (+)-8 |  | (-)-8 |  | $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{E}^{c}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{HB}}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\pi-\pi}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{HB}}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\pi-\pi}(\AA)$ | $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| p2CF 3 | 2.648 | - | 2.676 | - | $+0.13$ |

${ }^{a}$ The distance between hydrogen atom of SA hydroxyl and oxygen atom of CS urea. ${ }^{b}$ The distance between centroid of SA and CS phenyl groups for face-to-face $\pi-\pi$ interactions. ${ }^{c}$ The difference in free energy of binding, $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{E}_{(+)}-\Delta \mathrm{E}_{(-)}$.
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