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## Synthesis

- Synthesis and purification of DDXC




2-bromocyclohex-1-ene-1-carbaldehyde


DMF, RT, 48h



2-bromocyclohex-1-ene-1-carbaldehyde. To a mixture of DMF ( $12.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 167.9$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, under stirring and at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CPBr}_{3}$ ( $15.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 152 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ). After addition the solution was allowed to stir for 1 hour. To the solution was added cyclohexanone ( $5.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and the mixture was allowed to stir for 17 hours at room temperature. The mixture was neutralized by slow addition of water ( 300 mL ), followed by solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until a pH of 7-8 was obtained. The product was washed with water and brine and was extracted with DCM. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Heptane/EtOAc: 9/1) to obtain 2.654 g of 2-bromocyclohex-1-ene-1-carbaldehyde ( $28 \%$ ) as a yellow oil that was directly involved in the next step.

To a solution of 4-(Diethylamino)salicylaldehyde ( $1.375 \mathrm{~g}, 7.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DMF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 2-bromocyclohex-1-ene-1-carbaldehyde ( $2.654 \mathrm{~g}, 14.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 eq ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(6.92 \mathrm{~g}, 21.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$. The mixture was allowed to stir for 48 hours at room temperature. To the crude was then added $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the remaining $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was filtered off before the solvents were evaporated. The product was washed with water and brine and was extracted with DCM. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Heptane/DCM/EtOAc: 7/2/1) to obtain 383 mg of DDXC (19\%) as a deep orange solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) was in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{1}$


- Synthesis of MCs:


Typical procedure


MC-CEA. To a solution of DDXC ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4 mL ) was added ethyl cyanoacetate ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.241 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.7 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. The solvents were evaporated and the product was washed with water, then HCl 1 M and neutralized with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and was extracted with DCM. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (DCM/EtOAc: 95/5) to obtain 28 mg of MC-CEA (84\%) as a dark violet solid after lyophilization. $\mathrm{Rf}=0.83$ (DCM/EtOAc: 95:5). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.67$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), 7.05 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), 6.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), 6.49-6.46 (m, 2H, HAr), $4.34\left(q, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OEt}\right), 3.42\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$

NEt), $2.97\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.56\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.81(\mathrm{q}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}\right), 1.23\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NEt}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(126$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 166.14,159.72,155.12,150.09,147.26,130.52,127.76,123.24$, 119.24, 110.73, 108.73, 108.31, 97.03, 89.78, 61.35, 44.64, 29.31, 25.52, 21.00, 14.39, 12.63. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 379.2016$, found 379.2001.


Determination of the configuration of MC-CEA by NMR studies.


| Atom numbering | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $6.93 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=, 1 \mathrm{H}$ | 108.8 ppm |
| 2 | $6.96 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}},, 1 \mathrm{H}$ | 127.8 ppm |
| 3 | - | 110.7 ppm |
| 4 | - | 155.1 ppm |
| 5 | $6.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ | 97.0 ppm |
| 6 | - | 150.1 ppm |
| 7 | $6.67 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=, 1 \mathrm{H}$ | 130.5 ppm |
| 8 | - | 123.3 ppm |
| 9 | - | 159.8 ppm |
| 11 | $2.47 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=, 2 \mathrm{H}$ | 29.3 ppm |
| 12 | $1.72 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{quint} ., \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=$, | 21.0 ppm |
|  | 2 H |  |
| 13 | $2.88 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=, 2 \mathrm{H}$ | 25.6 ppm |
| 14 | - | 108.2 ppm |
| 16,18 | $3.33 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=, 4 \mathrm{H}$ | $44.6 \mathrm{ppm}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 17,19 | $1.13 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=, 6 \mathrm{H}$ | $12.6 \mathrm{ppm}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 20 | $8.58 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ | 147.3 ppm |
| 21 | - | 89.8 ppm |
| 22 | - | 166.2 ppm |
| 25 | $4.25 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=, 2 \mathrm{H}$ | 61.4 ppm |
| 26 | $1.30 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=, 3 \mathrm{H}$ | 14.4 ppm |
| 27 | - | 119.3 ppm |

Assignment of proton and carbon signals of MC - CEA in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, room temperature and Larmor frequency of 126 MHz .


NOESY spectrum of MC-CEA in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, room temperature and Larmor frequency of 126 MHz

No NOE crosspeak was detected between the OEt moiety of the ester function $\left(\mathrm{H}_{25} / \mathrm{H}_{26}\right)$ and the protons of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-groups of the ring $\left(\mathrm{H}_{12} / \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$. This is in line with a structure in which the alkene proton $\mathrm{H}_{20}$ is in cis of the ester group. However, one may argue that the absence of a crosspeak in a spectrum is not a definite proof, since many other parameters could also lead to this result (unfavorable dynamics or conformation, unsuitable parameters for this transition, smaller intensity NOE...).
Carbon-13 spectra are generally measured using proton decoupling methods such as CPD (composite pulse decoupling), which lead to singlets in absence of other $\mathrm{I}=1 / 2$ nuclei. Although the spectra are easier to interpret that way (less lines, more favourable $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio), some information about the coupling constants get lost.
The values of the ${ }^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{CH}}$ coupling constants between $\mathrm{H}_{20}$ and the carbons attached to $\mathrm{C}_{21}$ strongly depend upon the geometry of the double bond. A cis configuration in respect to said vinylic proton should lead to smaller ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CH}}$ coupling constants than a trans configuration. 2, 3, 4
$\mathrm{A}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum (without proton decoupling) was recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on a Bruker 500 MHz Avance III spectrometer by modifying our usual proton-decoupled zgpg30 experiment to obtain a gated sequence ( $\mathrm{d} 1=5 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{PLW} 12=0 \mathrm{~W}$ ).
The $\delta=119.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ singlet corresponding to the nitrile carbon $\mathrm{C}_{27}$ splits into a doublet ( $\left.{ }^{3}\right]_{\mathrm{CH}}=12.92 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) while $\mathrm{C}_{22}$ becomes a doublet of triplet ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{CH}}=6.60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 3.22 Hz ) due to $\mathrm{H}_{20}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{25}$ respectively. Additionally, coupling constants $>12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ are only reported in case of trans configurations ${ }^{[1,2,3]}$. This confirms that the nitrile moiety stands in trans position in respect to the vinylic proton while the ester is positioned in cis. The conformation of the double bond was thus confirmed to be $E$.



Zoom on the nitrile signal in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of MC-CEA ( $\delta=119.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, at 126 MHz , room temperature.


Zoom on the carbonyl signal of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $\delta=166.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of MC-CEA, in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, at 126 MHz , room temperature

MC-2CN. Rf=0.32 (DCM/EtOAc 9/1). Conditions for column chromatography (DCM/Heptane) to obtain 18 mg of $\mathbf{C 1}$ (77\%) as a dark blue solid after lyophilization. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HAr), 7.12 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), 6.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), 6.56 (dd, $J=8.7,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 3.47\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}\right), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.60-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.82\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NEt}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 159.89, 155.12, 149.36, 132.56 , 128.17, 118.81, 116.69, 109.59, 108.99, 96.87, 44.98, 28.95, 24.71, 20.74, 12.56. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 332.1757$, found 332.1744.



MC-Mel. Rf=0.37 (DCM/EtOAc 9/1). Conditions for column chromatography: 100\% DCM to 8/2 DCM/EtOAc, yield=79\%. Dark green solid after lyophilization. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.86(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H, HAr), 7.20-7.17 (m, 1H, HAr), 7.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), 6.63-6.60 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, HAr), 3.47 ( $\left.q, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}\right), 2.68\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.60$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NEt}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.13,155.91,151.05,148.50,134.77,134.71,128.39$, $123.38,112.78,111.74,110.41,102.66,99.29,96.87,44.83,29.38,29.09,26.95$, 21.77, 12.62. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 410.1962$, found 410.1946 .




HRMS spectrum of MC-Mel

MC-Ind. $\mathrm{Rf}=0.34$ (Heptane/EtOAc 6:4). Conditions for column chromatography: 9/1 to 6/4 Heptane:EtOAc, yield=58\%. Dark green powder after lyophilization. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HAr), 7.82 (td, $J=7.8,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), $7.66-7.64$ (m, 2H, HAr), 7.12 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 3.46\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}\right), 3.17\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.66$ (dd, $J=5.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.84\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ NEt). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 192.78,189.83,162.88,155.69,150.56,142.30$, 140.01, 139.40, 133.51, 133.11, 132.44, 127.98, 124.19, 121.58, 121.51, 120.09, 113.38, 111.60, 109.55, 97.05, 44.77, 29.77, 28.91, 21.56, 12.67. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 412.1907$, found 412.1889 .

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of MC -Ind $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


HRMS spectrum of MC-Ind


MC-TB. Rf=0.14 (heptane/EtOAc 8/2). Conditions for column chromatography: $9 / 1$ to $6 / 4$ heptane/EtOAc, yield=39\%. Dark green powder after lyophilization. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HAr), 7.28 (d, J = $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), 6.71 (dd, $J=8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}$ ), 6.66 (d, J = $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 4.56-4.52$ (m, 4H, $2 \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{NBu}$ ), 3.49 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}\right), 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.79$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{nBu}$ ), 1.44 (sextet, $\mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ $\mathrm{NEt}), 0.99\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{nBu}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 178.58,165.68$, 161.00, 156.56, 151.71, 148.66, 137.26, 128.85, 123.72, 116.94, 112.71, 111.59, 104.59, 96.57, 47.95, 45.01, 31.88, 29.73, 29.70, 29.35, 22.70, 21.94, 20.32, 13.93, 12.59. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 522.2785$, found 522.2762.




- Synthesis of MC-TB-Mito



1. was synthesized according to a described procedure. ${ }^{5}$

2. was synthesized according to a described procedure. ${ }^{6}$

3. To a solution of DMF ( 5 mL ), $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under stirred, and was allowed to react for 10 min under argon atmosphere. To the solution was added 2 ( $1.611 \mathrm{~g}, 6.414$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq) previously solubilized in 5 mL DMF and the mixture was heated up to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 hours. Without evaporating the solvents, water was slowly added ( 200 mL ), and then it was neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The product was washed with water and brine and extracted with DCM. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Heptane/EtOAc 9/1 to 7/3) to obtain 1.351 g of 3 (75\%) as a clear oil. Rf=0.38 (Heptane/EtOAc 7/3). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 11.61$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}$ ), $9.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 7.29-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 6.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 6.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 4.17\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OEt}\right), 3.46-3.36$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ), $2.37\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.99-1.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}$ ), $1.21\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NEt}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 192.02, 172.78, 164.32, 154.34, 135.38, 111.58, 104.51, 96.93, 60.62, 49.55, 45.30, 31.18, 22.56, 14.21, 12.31. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 280.1543$, found




HRMS spectrum of 3

4. To a solution of $3(1.351 \mathrm{~g}, 4.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in DMF ( 15 mL ) was added 2-bromocyclohex-1-ene-1-carbaldehyde ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 9.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ eq) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.73 \mathrm{~g}, 14.52,3 \mathrm{eq})$. The mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature before the solvents were evaporated. The product was washed with water and brine and extracted with DCM. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (DCM/EtOAc 9/1 to 7/3) to obtain 258 mg of 4 (14\%) as a bright orange solid. $\mathrm{Rf}=0.57$ (DCM/EtOAc $8 / 2$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HAr), 6.46 (dd, $J=8.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAr}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OEt}$ ), 3.43-3.33 (m, 4H, CH NEt ), 2.54 (td, $\mathrm{J}=6.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.43 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) , $2.37\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.93\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.70$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}\right), 1.19\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ NEt). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 187.36,173.16,162.40,154.12,149.74,128.39$, $127.65,123.32,111.34,110.56,108.06,97.44,60.63,49.50,45.17,31.32,29.78$, 22.61, 21.54, 20.60, 14.18, 12.21. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 370.2013$, found 370.2003.



5. To a solution of 4 ( $258 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in methanol/water ( $5: 3$, 8 mL ) was added NaOH ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol} .6 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature. Without evaporating the solvents, the product was washed with citric acid $10 \%$ and extracted with DCM. The solvent was evaporated and the crude was used directly in the next step. $100 \mathrm{mg}(42 \%)$ as an orange/red solid. $\mathrm{Rf}=0.73$ (DCM/MeOH 95/5). HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$342.1700, found 342.1699.


HRMS spectra of 5

6. To a solution of 5 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added (3-ammoniopropyl) triphenylphosphonium di bromide ${ }^{7}$ ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.377 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ), HATU ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.350$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and DIEA ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The mixture was allowed to stir for 5 hours at room temperature before the solvents were evaporated. The product was washed with water and brine and extracted with DCM. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (DCM/MeOH $9 / 1$ to $8 / 2$ ) to obtain 30 mg of 6 (14\%) as orange oil. $\mathrm{Rf}=0.76$ (DCM/MeOH 8/2). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 10.24$ (s, 1H, CHO), 7.78 (dd, J = 7.2, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.44(\mathrm{~m}$,

2H), 3.47-3.37 (m, 5H), 3.33-3.29 (m, 2H), 3.25-3.17 (m, 3H), 2.54-2.52 (m, 2H), 2.42 $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$), calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 643.3084$, found 643.3073.



HPLC trace of 6


HRMS spectrum of 6


MC-TB-Mito. To a solution of $6(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic anhydride ( 4 mL ) was added 1,3-Di-N-butyl-2-thiobarbituric acid $(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.3 \mathrm{eq})$ and sodium acetate $(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0,109$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.7 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 20 min before being evaporated. To the mixture was neutralized by slow addition of of water ( 300 mL ), followed by solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until a pH of 7-8 was obtained. The product was extracted with DCM and washed with water and brine. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (DCM/MeOH: 9/1) to obtain 7 mg of MC-TB-Mito (17\%) as a dark green solid after lyophilization.


HPLC trace of MC-TB-Mito



Figure S1. Normalized absorption (A, C, E, G, I) and emission (B, D, F, H, J) spectra of the merocyanines in various solvents with increasing polarity. Concentration was 5 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. Excitation was 560 nm expect for MC-TB ( 640 nm ).

Table S1. Photophysical properties of MC-CEA in various solvents

| Solvent | $\lambda_{\text {Abs }} \max$ <br> (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon \\ \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} . \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FWHM}_{\mathrm{abs}} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\mathrm{Em}} \max \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FWHM}_{\mathrm{Em}} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Stoke Shift (nm) | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| toluene | 544 | 32,600 | 115 | 675 | 138 | 131 | 0.007 |
| dioxane | 543 | 34,000 | 116 | 673 | 131 | 130 | 0.008 |
| ACN | 555 | 39,600 | 119 | 646 | 92 | 91 | 0.03 |
| DMSO | 567 | 36,600 | 121 | 659 | 89 | 92 | 0.08 |
| MeOH | 591 | 37,500 | 120 | 648 | 83 | 57 | 0.07 |

Table S2. Photophysical properties of MC-2CN in various solvents

| Solvent | $\lambda_{\text {Abs }} \max$ (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon \\ \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} . \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FWHM }_{\text {abs }} \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{Em}} \max$ <br> (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { FWHM }_{\text {Em }} \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Stoke Shift (nm) | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| toluene | 555 | 42,800 | 108 | 681 | 130 | 126 | 0.004 |
| dioxane | 553 | 35,800 | 109 | 633 | 111 | 80 | 0.006 |
| ACN | 564 | 42,800 | 111 | 648 | 83 | 84 | 0.03 |
| DMSO | 619 | 47,600 | 101 | 662 | 77 | 43 | 0.07 |
| MeOH | 609 | 57,800 | 109 | 646 | 76 | 37 | 0.03 |

Table S3. Photophysical properties of MC-Mel in various solvents

| Solvent | $\lambda_{\text {Abs }} \max$ <br> (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon \\ \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} . \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | FWHM $_{\text {abs }}$ <br> (nm) | $\lambda_{\mathrm{Em}} \max$ <br> (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FWHM}_{\mathrm{Em}} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Stoke Shift (nm) | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| toluene | 574 | 40,000 | 106 | 657 | 102 | 83 | 0.003 |
| dioxane | 575 | 47,400 | 119 | 659 | 99 | 84 | 0.003 |
| ACN | 629 | 66,200 | 90 | 668 | 68 | 39 | 0.008 |
| DMSO | 641 | 61,400 | 84 | 682 | 64 | 41 | 0.03 |
| MeOH | 635 | 86,400 | 57 | 664 | 54 | 29 | 0.05 |

Table S4. Photophysical properties of MC-Ind in various solvents

| Solvent | $\lambda_{\text {Abs }} \max$ <br> (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon \\ \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} . \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FWHM}_{\text {abs }} \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\mathrm{Em}} \max \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FWHM}_{\mathrm{Em}} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Stoke Shift (nm) | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| toluene | 600 | 48,800 | 119 | 684 | 104 | 84 | 0.006 |
| dioxane | 601 | 54,600 | 122 | 686 | 97 | 85 | 0.005 |
| ACN | 664 | 66,200 | 100 | 700 | 54 | 36 | 0.01 |
| DMSO | 679 | 80,000 | 91 | 714 | 54 | 35 | 0.05 |
| MeOH | 674 | 106,600 | 52 | 699 | 42 | 25 | 0.08 |

Table S5. Photophysical properties of MC-TB in various solvents

| Solvent | $\lambda_{\text {Abs }} \max$ <br> (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon \\ \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} . \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FWHM}_{\text {abs }} \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{Em}} \max$ (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FWHM}_{\mathrm{Em}} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Stoke Shift (nm) | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| toluene | 668 | 74,600 | 97 | 701 | 90 | 33 | 0.005 |
| dioxane | 667 | 74,400 | 94 | 700 | 85 | 33 | 0.005 |
| ACN | 673 | 104,200 | 54 | 705 | 57 | 32 | 0.001 |
| DMSO | 684 | 101,000 | 56 | 716 | 53 | 32 | 0.003 |
| MeOH | 672 | 106,000 | 59 | 698 | 49 | 26 | 0.01 |



Figure S2. (A) Absorption spectra of MC dyes ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in toluene and methanol. Solutions of MCs $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in methanol.


Figure S3. Normalized absorption and excitation spectra of the merocyanines in toluene (top line) and MeOH (bottom line). Concentration was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


Figure S4. Difference between MCs with open and cyclic substituents illustrated by representative examples: MC-CEA (in blue) and MC-TB (in red). Absorption (A) and emission (B) spectra in MeOH depicting the various vibration bands at the steady state and the excited state respectively. Black arrows depict the possible rotation around the $\sigma$ bounds.


Figure S5. Absorption (A) and emission (B) spectra of MC-CEA in various solutions of Glycerol/MeOH mixture. (C) Correlation of the fluorescence quantum yield with the viscosity of the medium according to the displayed Förster-Hoffmann equation. Concentration of the dye was $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Temperature was $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S6. Absorption (A) and emission (B) spectra of MC-2CN in various solutions of Glycerol/ MeOH mixture. (C) Correlation of the fluorescence quantum yield with the viscosity of the medium according to the displayed Förster-Hoffmann equation. Concentration of the dye was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Temperature was $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S7. Absorption (A) and emission (B) spectra of MC-Mel in various solutions of Glycerol /MeOH mixture. (C) Correlation of the fluorescence quantum yield with the viscosity of the medium according to the displayed Förster-Hoffmann equation. Concentration of the dye was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Temperature was $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S8. Absorption (A) and emission (B) spectra of MC-Ind in various solutions of Glycerol/ MeOH mixture. (C) Correlation of the fluorescence quantum yield with the viscosity of the medium according to the displayed Förster-Hoffmann equation. Concentration of the dye was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Temperature was $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S9. Absorption (A) and emission (B) spectra of MC-TB in various solutions of Glycerol/ MeOH mixture. (C) Correlation of the fluorescence quantum yield with the viscosity of the medium according to the displayed Förster-Hoffmann equation. Concentration of the dye was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Temperature was $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S10. Assessment of MCs's cytotoxicity at various concentrations using the MTT test.


Figure S11. (A) Absorption and (B) emission spectra of MC-TB in PBS (black lines) and vitamine E acetate, VEA (red lines).

Table S6. Measured lifetime values of MCTB in various Glycerol/Methanol mixtures.

| \% glycerol | Mean Tau (ns) | $\tau \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\mathbf{\%} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{1}$ | t2 (ns) | \% $\tau \mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.00 | - | - |
| 20 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 1.00 | - | - |
| 40 | 0.42 | 1.02 | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.99 |
| 60 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 1.41 | 0.10 |
| 80 | 1.25 | 0.91 | 0.65 | 1.88 | 0.35 |
| 100 | 1.97 | 1.65 | 0.69 | 2.68 | 0.31 |
| Rhod800 | 1.98 | 1.98 | 1.00 | - | - |
| (ref) |  |  |  |  |  |



## Probe ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ )

Figure S12. Assessment of MC-TB-Mito's cytotoxicity at various concentrations using the MTT test.


Figure S13. Laser scanning confocal images of HeLa cells incubated with MC-TB-Mito $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$, displaying heterogeneous intensity within the cell population. The white stars indicate the cells displaying higher signals. Scale bar is $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure S14. FLIM imaging analysis. (A) Mean fluorescence intensity and (B) mean lifetime of cells in both + an - nystatin conditions, showing that the lifetime of nonfluorescent cells could not be measured due to low fluorescence intensity of cells during FLIM imaging. 'ns' on the graph signifies non-significant difference.
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