## Supporting Information

## Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks derived ZnCo2O4 hollow tubular nanofibers for long-life supercapacitors

Shihang Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Xianbo Yu,<sup>a</sup> Hongmei Chen,<sup>a</sup> Kai Tao,<sup>a</sup> Yaoping Hu<sup>a</sup> and Lei Han<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Materials Science & Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, China. E-mail: hanlei@nbu.edu.cn (L. Han).

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Photoelectric Materials and Devices of Zhejiang Province, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, China.



**Fig S1.** XRD patterns of (a) PAN@ZIF-8, PAN@ZIF-67 and PAN@ZnCo-ZIF, (b) ZnO HTNs, (c) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HTNs, (d) ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HTNs.



Fig S2. TGA curves of (a) PAN@ZnCo-ZIF, (b) PAN@ZIF-8 and (C) PAN@ZIF-67.



**Fig S3.** Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms (a) and corresponding pore-size distribution curves (b) of  $ZnCo_2O_4$  HTNs, ZnO HTNs and  $Co_3O_4$  HTNs. (The pore size distribution of ZnO HTNs and  $Co_3O_4$  HTNs are concentrated at 4 nm and 3.5 nm, and the specific surface area are 23.852 m<sup>2</sup> g and 38.979 m<sup>2</sup> g, respectively.)



Fig S4. EDX image of ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HTNs.



**Fig S5.** SEM images of (a) PAN@Zn(Ac)<sub>2</sub> composite nanofibers; (b) PAN@ZIF-8 core-shell nanofibers and (c) ZnO HTNs. Scale bars in inset are 200 nm.



Fig S6. SEM images of (a)  $PAN@Co(Ac)_2$  composite nanofibers; (b) PAN@ZIF-67 core-shell nanofibers and (c)  $Co_3O_4$  HTNs. Scale bars in inset are 200 nm.



**Fig S7.** Electrochemical performance of  $ZnCo_2O_4$  HTNs: (a) CV curves at different scan rates; (b) GCD curves at different current densities; (c) specific capacity at different current densities; (d) cycling performance at current density of 5 A g<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig S8.** Electrochemical performance of ZnO HTNs: (a) CV curves at different scan rates; (b) GCD curves at different current densities; (c) specific capacity at different current densities; (d) cycling performance at current density of 5 A g<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig S9.** Electrochemical performance of  $Co_3O_4$  HTNs: (a) CV curves at different scan rates; (b) GCD curves at different current densities; (c) specific capacity at different current densities; (d) cycling performance at current density of 5 A g<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig S10.** The plots of log(i) against log(v) of ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HTNs.



**Fig S11.** Electrochemical performance of AC: (a) CV curves at different scan rates; (b) GCD curves at different current densities; (c) specific capacitance at different current densities; (d) EIS spectra in Nyquist plots.



**Fig S12.** (a) CV curves with different voltage windows at the same scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; (b) GCD curves with different voltage windows at the same current density of 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>; (c) CV curves of  $ZnCo_2O_4$  HTNs and AC electrodes at the scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; (d) Ragone Plot of the  $ZnCo_2O_4$  HTNs//AC ASC, in comparison with report data.

previously reported in supercapacitors.				
Electrode	C (C g <sup>-1</sup> )	Current density	Cycle performance	Ref.
			(cycle numbers)	
ZnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	182.8	1 A g <sup>-1</sup>	97.9% (1500)	<b>S</b> 1
ZnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> microspheres	217	1 A g <sup>-1</sup>	95.5% (2000)	S2
ZnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoflakes	146.664	2 mA cm <sup>-1</sup>	95.1% (2000)	<b>S</b> 3

155.6% (3000)

145% (2000)

97.42% (10000)

**S**4

S5

S6

This work

1 A g<sup>-1</sup>

1 A g<sup>-1</sup>

5 A g<sup>-1</sup>

0.5 A g<sup>-1</sup>

**Tabel S1.** Electrochemical performance comparison of  $ZnCo_2O_4$  HTNs with related materials previously reported in supercapacitors.

## References

Peony-like ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanowires

ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>HTNs

ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> microspheres

242

284

132

181

- S1 S. Chen, M. Xue, Y. Li, Y. Pan, L. Zhu, D. Zhang, Q. Fang and S. Qiu, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2015, 2, 177-183.
- S2 Y. Gai, Y. Shang, L. Gong, L. Su, L. Hao, F. Dong and J. Li, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 1038-1044.
- S3 G. J. H. Lim, X. Liu, C. Guan and J. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, 291, 177-187.
- S4 Y. Shang, T. Xie, Y. Gai, L. Su, L. Gong, H. Lv and F. Dong, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, 253, 281-290.
- S5 Y. Shang, T. Xie, C. Ma, L. Su, Y. Gai, J. Liu and L. Gong, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, 286, 103-113.
- S6 Y. zhou, L. Chen, Y. Jiao, Z. Li and Y. Gao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, 299, 388-394.