Supporting Information

## Microfibers Synthesized by Wet-Spinning of Chitin Nanomaterials: Mechanical, Structural and Cell Proliferation Properties

Ling Wang,<sup>a</sup> Nazanin Zanjanizadeh Ezazi,<sup>b</sup> Liang Liu,c Rubina Ajdary,<sup>a</sup> Wenchao Xiang,<sup>a</sup> Maryam Borghei,<sup>a</sup> Hélder A. Santos,<sup>b,d</sup> Orlando J. Rojas<sup>a,e,\*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Bioproducts and Biosystems, Aalto University, P.O. Box 16300, 00076 Aalto, Finland.
- <sup>b</sup> Drug Research Program, Division of Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Helsinki, FI 00014, Helsinki, Finland.
- <sup>c</sup> College of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, 210037, China.
- <sup>d</sup> Helsinki Institute of Life Science (HiLIFE), University of Helsinki, FI 00014, Helsinki, Finland.
- <sup>e</sup> Bioproducts Institute, Departments of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Chemistry and Wood Science, University of British Columbia, 2360 East Mall, Vancouver, BC Canada V6T 1Z3.

Corresponding authors: O.J.R: orlando.rojas@ubc.ca

Number of pages: 9 Number of Tables: 1 Numbers of Figures: 9



Fig. S1. AFM images  $(3 \times 3 \mu m)$  of swollen ChNF nanofibrils.



**Fig. S2.** Frequency and dissipation of ChNF-coated QCM-D crystals as a function of time upon contact with NaOH (no flow/ batch mode).



Fig. S3. The surface morphology of  $F_{Na}$ .

**Fibril orientation.** Wide Angle X-ray Scattering (WAXS) was applied to detect the ChNF nanocrystal orientation in spun filament. A MicroMax-007 X-ray generator (Rigaku, Japan) operated at a wavelength of 1.54 Å, with a beam size of 120  $\mu$ m and exposure time of 10 minutes. A Mar345 plate detector was equipped to collect sample diffraction patterns with 200 mm distance of sample-to-detector. Before evaluation, the background was subtracted from the diffraction patterns. Based on azimuthal intensity distribution profiles, orientation index ( $\pi$ ) and Herman's orientation parameter (S) were calculated according to equations equation (1) and (2).

$$\pi = \frac{180^{\circ} - FWHM}{180^{\circ}} \tag{1}$$

where FWHM is the full width at the half maximum (in degrees) of one of the two peaks in the azimuthal intensity distribution profile.  $\pi$  was calculated for both peaks and their average reported.

$$S = \frac{3}{2} < \cos^2 \gamma > -\frac{1}{2}$$
 (2)

Assuming cylindrical symmetry in the filament, the average cosine  $\langle \cos^2 \gamma \rangle$  was obtained from the azimuthal angle  $\varphi$  according to equation (3).<sup>1</sup>

$$\langle \cos^2 \gamma \rangle = 1 - 2 \langle \cos^2 \varphi \rangle \tag{3}$$

where

$$<\cos^{2}\varphi>=\frac{\sum_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{0}+\pi/2}I(\varphi)\sin\varphi\cos^{2}\varphi}{\sum_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{0}+\pi/2}I(\varphi)\sin\varphi}$$

Here,  $I(\varphi)$  is the intensity detected at azimuthal angle  $\varphi$ , and  $\varphi_0$  is the azimuthal angle in the beginning of the range used for the calculation of the average cosine  $\langle \cos^2 \varphi \rangle$ . S was calculated at  $\varphi_0$  of 0,  $\pi/2$ ,  $\pi$  and  $3\pi/2$  and the average of these values is reported. A value of 1 for the orientation parameter indicates a fully oriented structure while 0 means a disordered structure.



**Fig. S4**. fibril orientation degree in terms of orientation index and Herman's parameter. Note: insets are respective diffraction diagrams.



Fig. S5. WAXS diffraction diagrams.

**Thermal stability.** Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was utilized to analyze thermal stability of the spun filaments by measuring the weight change as a function of temperature in  $N_2$  atmosphere (TA Instrument, Thermo Gravimetric Analyzer Q500). The filaments were cut into short pieces and heated up to 900 °C from room temperature with a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

Thermal stability of the spun filaments was determined by thermalgravimetric analysis, from room temperature to 900 °C under nitrogen (**Fig. S8**). All fibers possessed similar thermograms regarding to both TGA and DTG. There are two main weight losses indicating a three-stage polymer degradation via heat treatment: first one arounf 100 °C was attributed to water desorption, marked as 1 in **Fig. S8**, then within the temperature range of 200-400 °C, the saccharide structure was degraded starting with the ChNF of lower degree of polymerization (marked as 2 in **Fig. S8**).  $F_{Na}$  started to degrade ( $T_{onset}$ ) at higher temperature (286 °C) than  $F_{AC}$  and  $F_{AM}$  (263 °C). Meanwhile. according to the peak at 300 °C in DTG (marked as 3 in **Fig.** 

**S8**), some chitin might be transformed to chitosan (with the deacetylation degree of reaches about 50%).<sup>2</sup> In addition,  $F_{Na}$  illustrated higher peak at 300 °C than that of  $F_{AM}$  and  $F_{AC}$ . Most likely, 0.5 M NaOH solution as coagulants were partially deacetylate chitin into chitosan. The maximum decomposition rate (Td<sub>max</sub>) of ChNF occurred at 392 °C (marked as 4 in **Fig. S8**), which is much higher than the spun fibers made from CNF (308 °C) and TOCNF (261 °C).<sup>3,4</sup> Finally, by raising the temperature above 400 °C, complete decomposition and carbonization occurred (marked as 5 in **Fig. S8**). It can also be seen that a higher mass yield was obtained from  $F_{AC}$  and  $F_{Na}$  (30%) compared to  $F_{AM}$  (23%). The values were higher than the reported mass residues from wet-spun TOCNF fibers (4%-24%).<sup>4,5</sup> The mass yield can be increased by an optimized temperature profile during carbonization.<sup>5-7</sup>



**Fig. S6.** Thermogravimetry analysis (TGA) and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) profiles of wet-spun ChNF fibers from different coagulants.



Fig. S7. The SEM images of cross-section at break from  $F_{Na}$  and  $F_{AM}$ .

Fibers	Young's modulus/GPa	Tensile strength /MPa	Strain at break /%
F <sub>AC</sub> 1_L	11.8±4.2	234±32.7	5.7±2.2
F <sub>AC</sub> 1.5_L	11.2±2.9	216±58.7	6.6±1.5
Fac2.2_L	8.5±1.2	193±30.7	9.8±2.5
F <sub>AC</sub> 1	13.7±2.6	231.4±47.9	6.4±2.6
F <sub>AC</sub> 1.5	14.1±2.4	245.8±39.9	7.1±3.8
$F_{AC}2.2$	11.4±2.2	194.4±38.5	7.9±1.7
F <sub>Na</sub> 0.5M	13.5±2.9	233.4±57.3	7.5±1
F <sub>AM</sub> 0.5M	$8.8 \pm 0.8$	187.6±35.6	10.6±3.1
$F_{Na}0.5M_L$	11.1±0.8	151±18.7	$4.2\pm0.8$
$F_{Na}1M_L$	8.9±1.2	164±51.6	6.5±2
FAMpH11_L	13.6±2.2	217±27.2	5.9±1.9

**Table S1.** Mechanical properties of wet spun microfibers.



Fig. S8. Optical microscope images of ChNF fibers in dry (upper row) and wet (bottom row) state.



**Fig. S9.** Effect of wet spun fibers coagulated in different coagulants on H9c2 (cardiac myoblast) and K7M2 (bone osteoblast) viability (n=4). Both cells stayed viable during 7 days of experiment.

## References

- Nishiyama, Y.; Kuga, S.; Wada, M.; Okano, T. Cellulose Microcrystal Film of High Uniaxial Orientation. *Macromolecules* 1997, *30* (20), 6395–6397. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma970503y.
- (2) S. Dassanayake, R.; Acharya, S.; Abidi, N. Biopolymer-Based Materials from Polysaccharides: Properties, Processing, Characterization and Sorption Applications. In Advanced Sorption Process Applications; IntechOpen, 2019. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.80898.
- Cunha, A. G.; Lundahl, M.; Ansari, M. F.; Johansson, L. S.; Campbell, J. M.; Rojas,
   O. J. Surface Structuring and Water Interactions of Nanocellulose Filaments Modified with Organosilanes toward Wearable Materials. *ACS Applied Nano Materials* 2018, *1* (9), 5279–5288. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsanm.8b01268.
- Wang, L.; Lundahl, M. J.; Greca, L. G.; Papageorgiou, A. C.; Borghei, M.; Rojas, O. J. Effects of Non-Solvents and Electrolytes on the Formation and Properties of Cellulose I Filaments. *Scientific Reports* 2019, 9 (1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53215-0.
- Wang, L.; Ago, M.; Borghei, M.; Ishaq, A.; Papageorgiou, A.; Lundahl, M. J.; Rojas,
   O. J. Conductive Carbon Microfibers Derived from Wet-Spun Lignin/Nanocellulose
   Hydrogels. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2019, acssuschemeng.8b06081.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b06081.
- (6) Huang, X. Fabrication and Properties of Carbon Fibers. *Materials* **2009**, *2* (4), 2369–2403. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma2042369.
- Wang, L.; Borghei, M.; Ishfaq, A.; Lahtinen, P.; Ago, M.; Papageorgiou, A. C.; Lundahl, M. J.; Johansson, L.-S.; Kallio, T.; Rojas, O. J. Mesoporous Carbon Microfibers for Electroactive Materials Derived from Lignocellulose Nanofibrils. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c00764.