Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

for

The tongs role of *L*-histidine: a strategy of grasping Tb³⁺ by ZIF-8 to design sensors for monitoring anthrax biomarker on-the-spot

Lan Guo, Maosheng Liang, Xiuli Wang, Rongmei Kong, Guang Chen, Lian Xia*, Fengli Qu*

Key Laboratory of Life-Organic Analysis of Shandong Province, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China

*E-mail: <u>fengliquhn@hotmail.com</u> (F.L. Qu)

*E-mail: xialian01@163.com (L. Xia)

Table of Contents

1. Experimental Section

2. Supporting Figures and Tables

Fig. S1 TGA curve of the synthesized His@ZIF-8 (A) and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ (B).

Fig. S2 FT-IR spectra of ZIF-8, His@ZIF-8 and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺.

Fig. S3 Zeta potential of His@ZIF-8, His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ upon adding DPA.

Fig. S4 SEM images of His@ZIF-8 (A) and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ (B).

Fig. S5 The EDX of His@ZIF-8 (A) and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ (B).

Fig. S6 (A) Effects of pH values on fluorescence intensity of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ with DPA (red line) and without DPA (black line). (B) Effects of reaction time on fluorescence intensity of His@ZIF-

 $8/Tb^{3+}$ with DPA.

Fig. S7 (A) The fluorescence emission spectra of ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ with DPA. (B) The fluorescence emission spectra of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ with DPA. (C) The comparison of fluorescence response ability of ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺, respectively.

Fig. S8 SEM images of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ before (A) and after (B) reacting with DPA. (C) XRD patterns of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ before and after reacting with DPA.

 Table S1 The comparison of different fluorescent probe for DPA detection.

 Table S2 Analytical results of real samples.

3. Supporting References

1. Experimental Section

Preparation of ZIF-8 nanocrystals. ZIF-8 nanocrystals were synthesized according to reporting literature.^{1S} Briefly, a solution of $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (1.173 g) in 80 mL methanol was added into a solution of 2-methylimidazole (2.595 g) in 80 mL methanol under stirring with a magnetic bar. After keeping at room temperature for 1 h, ZIF-8 was formed, and then separated by centrifugation at 7000 rpm for 5 min and washed with methanol three times. The collected white powder was dried in the oven at 60 °C overnight.

Preparation of ZIF-8/Tb³⁺. The synthesis of ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ was performed by dispersing 30.0 mg His@ZIF-8 to 60.0 mL Tb(NO₃)₃ ethanol solution (10 mmol L⁻¹). Then the above solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The product was collected by centrifugation at 7000 rpm for 5 min and repeatedly washed with ethanol for 3 times. The collected white powder was dried in the oven at 60 °C overnight.

2. Supporting Figures and Tables



Fig. S1 TGA curve of the synthesized His@ZIF-8 (A) and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ (B).



Fig. S2 FT-IR spectra of ZIF-8, His@ZIF-8 and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺.



Fig. S3 Zeta potential of His@ZIF-8, His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ upon adding 1 μmol

L⁻¹ DPA.



Fig. S4 SEM images of His@ZIF-8 (A) and His@ZIF-8/Tb $^{3+}$ (B).



Fig. S5 The EDX of His@ZIF-8 (A) and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ (B).



Fig. S6 (A) Effects of pH values on fluorescence intensity of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ with DPA (red line) and without DPA (black line). (B) Effects of reaction time on fluorescence intensity of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ with DPA (1 μ mol L⁻¹); HEPES buffer: 20 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.4.



Fig. S7 (A) The fluorescence emission spectra of ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ with 1 μ mol L⁻¹ DPA. (B) The fluorescence emission spectra of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ with 1 μ mol L⁻¹ DPA. (C) The comparison of fluorescence response ability of ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺, respectively. (F₀ is the fluorescence of ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺; F is the fluorescence of ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ and His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ with 1 μ mol L⁻¹ DPA.)



Fig. S8 SEM images of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ before (A) and after (B) reacting with DPA aqueous solution (1 mmol L^{-1}) for 3 min. (C) XRD patterns of His@ZIF-8/Tb³⁺ before and after reacting with DPA aqueous solution (1 mmol L^{-1}) for 3 min.

Probes	Linear range	Detection limit	Response time	Refs.
TbP-CPs	0-8 μΜ	0.005 μΜ	30 s	28
RiP/Eu ³⁺ CPs	0-1 μM	0.0415 µM	/	38
Tb/Eu@bio-MOF	0.05-1 μM	0.034 µM	20 s	4S
CDs-Cu ²⁺ systems	0.25-20 μM	0.079 μΜ	1 min	55
EBT-Eu ³⁺	0-32 μM	2 µM	/	6S
Terbium functionalized micelle	0-7 μΜ	0.054 μΜ	/	7S
His@ZIF-8/Tb ³⁺	0-10 μM	0.02 μΜ	10 s	This work

Table S1 The comparison of different fluorescent probe for DPA detection.

/: Not mentioned.

Sample	Added (µM)	Found (µM)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
Human Urine	0	/	/	/
	0.50	0.51	102.0	2.76
	1.00	0.99	99.0	1.28
	5.00	5.16	103.2	0.92
10% Bovine Serum	0	/	/	/
	0.50	0.49	98.0	3.12
	1.00	1.03	103.0	1.36
	5.00	5.09	101.8	2.21

 Table S2 Analytical results of real samples.

/: Not detected.

3. Supporting References

- J. Cravillon, S. Münzer, S. J. Lohmeier, A. Feldhoff, K. Huber and M. Wiebcke, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 1410-1412.
- 2S. Y. Q. Luo, L. Zhang, L. Y. Zhang, B. H. Yu, Y. J. Wang and W. B. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter., 2019, 11, 15998-16005.
- S. F. Xue, J. F. Zhang, Z. H. Chen, X. Y. Han, M. Zhang and G. Shi, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2018, 1012, 74-81.
- Y. H. Zhang, B. Li, H. P. Ma, L. M. Zhang and Y. X. Zheng, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, 85, 287-293.
- 5S. P. J. Li, A. N. Ang, H. T. Feng and S. F. Y. Li, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2017, 5, 6962-6972.
- 6S. M. D. Yilmaz and H. A. Oktem, Anal. Chem., 2018, 90, 4221-4225.
- K. Luan, R. Q. Meng, C. F. Shan, J. Cao, J. G. Jia, W. S. Liu and Y. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, 90, 3600-3607.