Supplementary Information

A Rationally Designed Peptoid for the Selective Chelation of Zn²⁺ Over Cu²⁺

Pritam Ghosh and Galia Maayan*

Schulich Faculty of Chemistry, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 3200008, Israel, E-mail: <u>gm92@technion.ac.il</u>

Materials

Rink Amide resin was purchased from Novabiochem. 2-([2,2':6',2"-terpyridin]-4'-yloxy)ethan-1-amine (Netp) has been synthesized as per the literature report^[1] where precursor 4'-bromo-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine was purchased from Alfa Aesar and ethanolamine from Acros organics, Israel. Reagents like piperdine, 2-picolyl amine, (Aminomethyl)cyclohexane, Amyl amine and allyl amine were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Benzylamine, 1-(Aminomethyl)naphthalene were purchased from ACROS Organics. (R)-(-)-3,3-Dimethyl-2-butylamine was procured from Alfa Aesar Chemical company. Aryl amine was purchased from Merck. N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC) was purchased from Chem-Impex Int'l Inc. Bromoacetic acid was purchased from Merck and chloro acetic acid was from Acros organics. Metal salts are purchased of analytical grade. All used solvents were HLPC grade of which dimethylforamide (DMF), toluene and dichloromethane (DCM) solvents were purchased from Bio-Lab Ltd. Acetonitrile (ACN) and water were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Instrumentations

Reversed-phase HPLC on a Jasco UV-2075 instrument (analytical C18 column, Luna 5µm, 100 Å, 2.0 x 50 mm) was used to analyze synthesized peptoids. Linear gradient of 5–95% ACN in water with 0.1% TFA (flow rate is 700 µL/min) over 10 min was used. Preparative HPLC was performed using a phenomenex C18 column (Luna 15µm, 100 Å 21.20x100mm) on a Jasco UV-2075 instrument using 5–95% ACN in water with 0.1% TFA as solvent for elution. A linear gradient of the solvent is used over 50 min at a flow rate of 5 mL/min. Mass spectrometry was performed on Waters LCT Premier mass [ESI+, direct probe ACN:H₂O (95:5), flow rate 0.2 ml/min] and Advion expression mass under electrospray ionization (ESI) [ESI+, direct probe ACN:H₂O (70:30), flow rate 0.3 ml/min]. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded using an AVANCE II 400 MHz Bruker spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm, δ scale) and are referenced to teramethylsilane ((CH₃)₄Si, 0.00 ppm). Circular Dichroism experimentation was carried out using Applied Photophysics chirascan spectrophotometer. EPR spectra were using a Bruker EMX-10/12 X-band (v=9.4 GHz) digital EPR spectrometer. Spectra processing and simulation were carried out with the Bruker WIN-EPR and SimFonia Software. The g factors values were determined using 2,2,6,6- tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl (TEMPO) as reference (g = 2.0058). Kaleida Graph is used for data processing.

Preparation of peptoid oligomers

Peptoids (**PT-1-9** and **PD-1**) were manually prepared in fritted syringes by the sub-monomer method on Rink amide resin at room temperature.^[2] In a typical synthesis, rink-amide resin (100 mg) was measured

and swallowed in DCM for a period of 40 minutes. De-protection of the resin was carried out by piperidine solution (20%, solvent: DMF) followed by 20 minutes shaking in ambient condition. Next, piperidine was washed by DMF for three times with one minute duration (1 mL/25 mg resin each time). Bromoacetylation was done by addition of 20 eq. Bromoacetic acid (1.2 M in DMF, 8.5 mL/g resin) together with 24 eq. of diisopropylcarbodiimide (2 mL g⁻¹ resin), shaking for 20 min in room temperature. Afterwards, the bromoacetylation reagents were properly washed from the resin by DMF (1 mL/ 25 mg resin each time, three times with one minute duration each time). After washing, 20 eq. of the primary amine (1.0 M in DMF, 10 mL/g resin) was added under shaking for next 20 minutes at room temperature and later washed three times by DMF. Bromoacetylations and amine displacement steps were repeated till the desired sequence was loaded on the resin. In case of picolyl amine step, chloroacetic acid was used instead of bromoacetic acid.^[3] When the synthesis of the desired sequence was finished, they were cleaved from solid support for initial analysis. Approximately 4-6 mg of the resin were dispersed in a cleavage cocktail solution (TFA:DCM:Water= 4.9:4.9:0.2) for 30 minutes.^[3] Then the cleavage solution was evaporated under nitrogen flow and the residue is suspended in 0.5 mL HPLC grade 1:1 water and acetonitrile mixture. To cleave the entire peptoid oligomers from the solid support for preparative HPLC, the beads were dispersed in the cleavage cocktail solution (TFA:DCM:Water= 4.9:4.9:0.2) for 90 minutes. The solution was evaporated under low pressure, solubilized in 5 mL HPLC grade 1:1 water and acetonitrile mixture and lyophilized overnight.

Characterization of the peptoid oligomers

The peptoids (**PT-1-9** and **PD-1**) were characterized by analytical HPLC using a C18 column using a specific solvent gradient of 5% to 95% solvent B (0.1% TFA in HPLC grade acetonitrile) over solvent A (0.1% TFA in HPLC grade water) for 10 minutes under a constant flow rate of 0.7 mL/min with 214 nm UV absorbance. In case of preparative HPLC at 230 nm, C18 column was used where the solvent gradient was 5% to 95% solvent B (0.1% TFA in HPLC grade acetonitrile) over solvent A (0.1% TFA in HPLC grade water), duration 50 minutes, flow rate 5 mL/min. The collected peptoids were lyophilized overnight. The pure peptoids were further analysed by RP-HPLC [C18 column with a linear gradient of 5–95% ACN in water (0.1% TFA) over 10 min at a flow rate of 700 μ L/min and 214 nm UV absorbance]. **PT-1** Proton (¹H) NMR (δ in ppm) (400 MHz; ACN-d_3): 9.30(s, 1H, -NH, *N*-terminal end), 9.18 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 8.84 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 8.77 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 8.63 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 8.27 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 8.06 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.92 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 6.30 (m, 2H, -NH₂, *C*-terminal end), 7.4 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 4.55 (m, 9H, -CH₂ of skeletal and linker), 3.97 (m, 5H, -CH₂ of skeletal and linker) (Fig. S53a).

<u>Table S1.</u> Peptoid oligomer sequences with their molecular weights and UV-Vis signals. [*N*pm: phenylmethanamine; *N*nap: naphthalen-1-ylmethanamine; *N*chm: cyclohexylmethanamine; *N*rtb: (R)-3,3-dimethylbutan-2-amine ; *N*pen: pentan-1-amine; *N*all: prop-2-en-1-amine; *N*me: 2-methoxyethan-1-amine; *N*etp: 2-([2,2':6',2"-terpyridin]-4'-yloxy)ethan-1-amine; *N*pam: pyridin-2-ylmethanamine; Ac: acetylated]

Entry	Peptoid oligomers	Molecular weight	λ_{max} (UV-Vis analysis)	
		Calc: Found	8 μM solvent: water	
1	PT-1 (<i>N</i> pm- <i>N</i> etp- <i>N</i> pam)	644.29: 645.35	235, 262 and 275 nm	
2	PT-1Ac (Npm- Netp-	686.30: 687.28	234, 261, 267 and 277 nm	
	Npam-Ac)			
3	PT-2 (<i>N</i> nap- <i>N</i> etp- <i>N</i> pam)	694.30: 695.71	222, 266 and 280 nm	
4	PT-3 (Nchm- Netp-	650.33: 651.61	233, 266 and 277 nm	
	Npam)			
5	PT-4 (Nrtb- Netp- Npam)	638.33: 639.44	234, 268 and 276 nm	
6	PT-5 (Npen- Netp- Npam)	624.32: 625.47	234, 258, 266 and 277 nm	
7	PT-6 (Nall- Netp- Npam)	594.27: 595.22	234, 266 and 275 nm	
8	PT-7 (<i>N</i> me- <i>N</i> etp- <i>N</i> pam)	612.28: 613.67	235 and 276 nm	
9	PT-8 (<i>N</i> pm- <i>N</i> etp- <i>N</i> pm)	643.29: 644.27	235 and 276 nm	
10	PD-1 (Netp- Npam)	497.22: 498.16	235, 259, 267 and 277 nm	

Synthesis of Copper/ Zinc peptoid complexes

Lyophilized **PT-1** (0.05 mmol) was dissolved in water (2 mL) and stirred for 10 minutes. The solution was colorless. Copper acetate monohydrate or zinc acetate dihydrate (0.05 mmol as solid) was added to the solution of the peptoid under stirring condition and kept for next 4 hours in room temperature. During the reaction with copper, the reaction mixture turns blue while during the reaction with zinc no color change has been observed. The solution was lyophilized to obtain the complex.

<u>Synthesis of ZnPT-1 and CuPT-1 in different temperatures:</u> To a vile containing 500 μ L water solution of **PT-1** (1mM), one equivalent metal ion (Zn²⁺ or Cu²⁺, stock solution in water, 50 mM) was added in either room temperature, 35°C or 50°C and stirred for 24 hours. The reaction was monitored by UV-Vis.

<u>Synthesis of ZnPT-1 and CuPT-1 in different solvents</u>: The reaction was carried out in room temperature for four hours in acetonitrile and/or methanol. Concentration was maintained at 17 μ M. The UV-Vis response was remained almost similar other than 2 nm shift in 245 nm peak of ZnPT-1 (H₂O) that was shifted to 247 nm in ZnPT-1 (ACN).

<u>Characterization of ZnPT-1</u>: Yield: 92%, ESI-MS: calculated for $[Zn^{2+}-PT-1+OAc^{-}]$ 767.23, found: 767.2338, assigned with proper isotope labelling. UV-Vis characterization (17 μ M in water), λ_{max} for

Zn**PT-1** are 245, 267, 275, 310 and 322 nm. FT-IR peaks (υ , cm⁻¹): 3085, 2948, 1666, 1537, 1440, 1192, 1136, 954, 719, 693. [UV-Vis: Fig. S41, ESI-MS: Fig. S43, FT-IR: Fig. S54c]. λ_{max} for Zn**PT-1** in acetonitrile are 247, 267, 276, 310 and 323 nm; λ_{max} for Zn**PT-1** in methanol are 246, 268, 275, 312 and 323 nm (Fig. S47).

<u>Characterization of CuPT-1</u>: Yield: 88%, ESI-MS: calculated for $[Cu^{2+}-PT-1+OAc^+K^+]$ 805.19, found: 805.10, assigned with proper isotope labelling. UV-Vis characterization (17 µM in water), λ_{max} for CuPT-1 are 253, 259, 279, 318, 329 and 665 (d-d) nm. FT-IR peaks (ν , cm⁻¹): 3093, 2952, 1654, 1597, 1421, 1190, 1140, 1030, 792, 685. Hamiltonian parameter in EPR, for frozen solution g_{II}: 2.22; g \perp : 2.06; A_{II}: 165G. [UV-Vis: Fig. S42, ESI-MS: Fig. S44, FT-IR: Fig. S54b, EPR: Fig. S55]. λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in acetonitrile are 253, 260, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 253, 259, 279, 318 and 330 nm; λ_{max} for CuPT-1 in methanol are 2

The UV-Vis peaks of Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} -peptoids are summarised below:

Sl	Peptoid	λ_{max} (UV-Vis analysis for Zn ²⁺ complex)	λ_{max} (UV-Vis analysis for Cu ²⁺	
No	oligomers		complex)	
		8 μM solvent: water	8 μM solvent: water	
1	PT-1	245, 267, 275, 310 and 322 nm	253, 259, 279, 318, 329 and 665	
			(d-d) nm	
2	PT-1Ac	243, 267, 272, 309 and 321 nm	241, 255, 266, 275, 315 and 327	
			nm	
3	PT-2	222, 243, 270, 310 and 322 nm	220, 258, 277, 316 and 328 nm	
4	PT-3	243, 274, 282, 309 and 322 nm	258, 275, 316 and 328 nm	
5	PT-4	243, 273, 284, 309 and 322 nm	248, 274, 315 and 327 nm	
6	PT-5	243, 266, 273, 309 and 322 nm	257, 276, 303, 315 and 327 nm	
7	PT-6	243, 268, 275, 309 and 321 nm	258, 276, 316 and 328 nm	
8	PT-7	243, 266, 274, 296, 309 and 321 nm	252, 276, 302, 316 and 328 nm	
9	PT-8	243, 275, 313 and 322 nm	250, 275, 315 and 328 nm	
10	PD-1	243, 260, 266, 272, 309 and 322 nm	240, 268, 275, 315 and 328 nm	

<u>Table S2.</u> UV-Vis absorbance data of peptoid oligomers and it Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} complexes in water.

UV-Vis titration experiment for peptoids

After recording the blank spectrum of water in 200-800 nm range, 10 μ L of peptoids (5 mM stock solution) was added into 3mL of water, taken in a standard cuvette used for UV-Vis absorbance analysis to obtain a concentration of 16.61 μ M, ~17 μ M. Later Zn²⁺ and Cu²⁺ was titrated separately to obtain the absorbance of individual complexes for **PT-1** by addition of 2 μ L metal solution, 2 mM in water stock solution. It shows distinct change in 300-350 nm peak after one equivalent of metal ion addition,

suggesting 1:1 complexation (peptoid:metal). For further insight, ESI-MS of the metal ion titrated solution as obtained from UV-Vis titration was carried out which also shows 1:1 complexation (Fig. S25 and S26b).

UV-Vis competition experiments

The competition experiments of peptoids with metal ions (Cu^{2+}, Zn^{2+}) were carried out in water medium. The stock solution of peptoid and metal ions were prepared at 5 mM concentration. The UV-Vis of Zn^{2+} -peptoid and Cu^{2+} -peptoid complex shows signal at different wavelength for these two complexes. Now, a mixture for competition experiment was prepared. In an Eppendorf, 5µL of Zn^{2+} and 10µL Cu^{2+} (1:2 ratio) was taken and mixed. Later this mixture was added at-a-time to the cuvette containing the peptoid. Now the UV-Vis of the peptoid with the mixture of metal was recorded. The peak between 300-350 nm was mainly monitored which could show the nature of the complex formed in solution. Finally this solution was monitored by ESI-MS to confirm the complexation.

Dissociation constants calculations

The dissociation constants for Zn^{2+} with the peptoids **PT-1**, **PT-2** and **PT-3**) were measured by using UV-Vis spectroscopy following a competition method. The stock solution of the peptoids, EDTA and Zn^{2+} were prepared at 5 mM concentration in water. For EDTA, the pH was maintained at 7 by adding NaOH (5 mM in water). In a typical competition experiment,^[4] each peptoid (individually) and EDTA were mixed in a 1:1 ratio at 26.53 μ M (15 μ L 5 nM from each solution in 3 mL of water) in a UV-Vis cuvette and gradually titrated with Zn^{2+} up to one equivalent as examined peptoids bind one equivalent of metal ion. The UV-Vis spectra was monitored at 300-350 nm range. Following the method reported by Wedd and Xiao *et al*, the slope between {([Peptoid]_{tot}/[Zn^{2+} -Peptoid])-1} and {([EDTA]_{tot}/[Zn^{2+} -EDTA])-1} was calculated. The value of the slope is equal to $K_{d(Zn}^{2+}$ -Peptoid) $K_{a(Zn}^{2+}$ -EDTA) $\alpha_{(EDTA)}$ where $K_{d(Zn}^{2+}$ -Peptoid) is the dissociation constant of Zn^{2+} -peptoid, $K_{a(Zn}^{2+}$ -EDTA) is the association constant of Zn^{2+} -EDTA

Table S3 is showing an example of how the slope was calculated for Zn^{2+} competition with **PT-1** and EDTA. Total concentration of **PT-1** and EDTA were kept as 26.53 µM. The absorbance of EDTA free **PT-1** (26.53 µM) titrated with Zn^{2+} was used to calculate the unknown concentration of Zn**PT-1** formed in presence of EDTA *via* Beer–Lambert law. The unknown concentration is referred here to Zn-Peptoid in Table S3, 5th column. Total metal ion concentration in each step is known and metal ions those didn't bind with **PT-1** will certainly bind with EDTA. So the concertation of Zn-EDTA could be calculated by subtracting the 5th column from the 2nd column. Now, {([Peptoid]_{total}/[Zn²⁺-Peptoid]) – 1} plotted in X

axis and {([EDTA]_{total}/[Zn²⁺-EDTA]) – 1} was plotted in Y axis. From trend-line option in MS Excel, the straight line was fitted without preset value of intercept. The straight line thus obtained provides the slope that is equal to $K_{d(Zn}^{2+}$ -Peptoid) $K_{a(Zn}^{2+}$ -EDTA) $\alpha_{(EDTA)}$. Here $K_{a(Zn}^{2+}$ -EDTA) and $\alpha_{(EDTA)}$ are known. ^[5] So $K_{d(Zn}^{2+}$ -Peptoid) could be obtained.

The values are $K_{d(Zn}^{2^+}$ -Peptoid) for **PT-1**: 1.85 x 10⁻¹³ M; $K_{d(Cu}^{2^+}$ -Peptoid) for **PT-1**: 2.2 x 10⁻¹² M; $K_{d(Zn}^{2^+}$ -Peptoid) for **PT-2**: 2.65x 10⁻¹³ M; $K_{d(Zn}^{2^+}$ -Peptoid) for **PT-3**: 4.5x 10⁻¹³ M.

<u>Table S3.</u> Competition experiment data of **PT-1** and EDTA with Zn^{2+} via UV-Vis analysis in water.

[Peptoid]tot	$[Zn^{2+}]_{tot}$	[EDTA]tot	Abs of	[Zn-Peptoid]	[Zn-EDTA]	$\{([Peptoid]_{total}/[Zn^{2+}-$	$\{([EDTA]_{total}/[Zn^{2+}-$
(µM)	(µM)	(µM)	(Peptoid+EDTA)-	(µM)	(µM)	Peptoid]) -1 }	EDTA]) – 1}
			Zn^{2+}				
26.53	6.657789614	26.53	0.043	1.902654867	4.755134747	12.94367442	4.579232012
26.53	9.98003992	26.53	0.0564	2.495575221	7.484464699	9.630815603	2.544675681
26.53	13.29787234	26.53	0.071	3.14159292	10.15627942	7.444760563	1.612177049
26.53	16.61129568	26.53	0.086	3.805309735	12.80598595	5.971837209	1.071687421
26.53	19.92031873	26.53	0.102	4.513274336	15.40704439	4.878215686	0.72193961
26.53	23.22495023	26.53	0.118	5.221238938	18.00371129	4.081169492	0.473585061
26.53	26.52519894	26.53	0.138	6.10619469	20.41900425	3.344768116	0.299279812

Protein sample preparation

PYKCPECGKSFSQKSDLVKHQRTHTG (Apo-ZFP) was purchased from PSL, GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany) and used without further purification. It was dissolved in NH₄OAc buffer (pH 6.5) (1 mM). The disulfide bonds were reduced using dithiothreitol (DTT). CD (circular dichroism) scans was performed at room temperature at concentration of 100μ M in buffer solution. The spectra were obtained by averaging four scans per sample in a fused quartz cell (path length = 0.1 cm), over the 370 to 190 nm region at a step of 1nm (scan rate=1 sec/step). CD scans of Apo-ZF was measured first followed by stoichiometric addition of Zn²⁺ with an aim to make Zn-ZF.^[6] The CD of Zn²⁺ added Apo-ZF was measured which is same with Zn-ZF.^[7] Chelator (**PT-1**) was added to the prepared Zn-ZF in 1:1 equivalent of Zn²⁺ and CD was measured. In each step along with CD the ESI-MS was also executed which confirms the chelation of Zn²⁺ (Fig. S60-62). Similar experiment was repeated in SBF medium as well. Identical results have been obtained that suggest the ability of the chelator to bind Zn²⁺ from protein domain in biological like medium.

DFT analysis

The peptoid (**PT-1**) was optimized was optimized by DFT-D3 calculations (considering the dispersion correction) at the level of B3-LYP with def2-SVP for every atoms and COSMO for acetonitrile, using Turbomole software package (OS: MACOSX, V 7.3). The coordinates are directly taken from the CIF (CCDC number 1922716, Fig. S1).^[8] Bipyridine group was replaced with terpyridine, the terpyridine CIF was taken from CCDC 263509.^[9] After optimizing the **PT-1** geometry, the coordinate was taken and metal ions were added with a suggested coordination: (a) terpyridine provides three, (b) picolyl pyridine provides one and (c) acetate provides two for Zn**PT-1** and (d) acetate provides one coordination for Cu**PT-1**. Acetate group was taken from acetic acid CIF (database identifier: ACETAC07, deposition number: 131006). The geometries are optimized at the level of DFT-D4 where def2-TZVP for metal ions [Cu²⁺/Zn²⁺]+ def2SVP (C, H, N, O) were used with COSMO for water.

Figures



Figure S1. (a) Asymmetric unit of the crystal with CCDC number $1922716^{[8]}$ (color code: red: oxygen, blue: nitrogen, white: hydrogen, grey: carbon, brown: Cu²⁺). (b) The chem draw structure of the peptoid in this crystal is shown.



Figure S2. Peptoid oligomers for designing Zn^{2+} chelator; a) achiral and chiral (color choice arbitrary) monomeric units used in the design of the peptoids. b) Chemical sequences of peptoids (**PT-1-7** and **PD-1**) utilised as chelator in this work.



Figure S3. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-1.



Figure S4. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-1Ac.



Figure S5. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-2.



Figure S6. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-3.



Figure S7. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-4.



Figure S8. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-5.



Figure S9. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-6.



Figure S10. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-7.



Figure S11. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PT-8.



Figure S12. HPLC traces of pure peptoid PD-1.







Figure S14. ESI-MS of PT-1Ac in water.



Figure S15. ESI-MS of PT-2 in water.



Figure S16. ESI-MS of PT-3 in water.











Figure S19. ESI-MS of PT-6 in water.



Figure S20. ESI-MS of PT-7 in water.



Figure S21. ESI-MS of PT-8 in water.



Figure S22. ESI-MS of PD-1 in water.



Figure S23. (a) UV-Vis spectrum of Terpyridine (Terpy), 2-picolyl amine (*N*Pam) and **PT-1**, Terpy and *N*Pam are in acetonitrile medium and **PT-1** is in water (8 μ M); (b) UV-Vis titration spectra of **PT-1** with Cu²⁺ (17 μ M of peptoid, solvent: water titrated with 2 μ L each time Cu²⁺, stock solution 2 mM in water).



Figure S24. Competition experiment between Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} with peptoids (a) **PT-1**; (b) **PD-1**; (c) **PT-2** and (d) **PT-3**; (solvent: water, 8 μ M). [For **PT-1** in Fig. a, Black: **PT-1**; Red: Zn**PT-1** Blue: Cu**PT-1** Green: 1:4 (Zn²⁺:Cu²⁺). For **PD-1** in Fig. b, Red: **PD-1**; Green: Zn²⁺ - **PD-1**; Black: Cu²⁺ - **PD-1**; Violet: 1:2 (Zn²⁺:Cu²⁺). For **PT-2** in Fig. c, Red: **PT-2**; Black: Zn²⁺ - **PT-2**; Blue: Cu²⁺ - **PT-2**; Green: 1:2 (Zn²⁺:Cu²⁺). For **PT-3** in Fig. d, Red: **PT-3**; Black: Zn²⁺ - **PT-3**; Blue: Cu²⁺ - **PT-3**; Cyan: 1:2 (Zn²⁺:Cu²⁺)].



Figure S25. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-1** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-1**)+ $Zn^{2+}+OAc^{-}$], calculated mass with chemical formula $C_{38}H_{39}N_8O_6Zn$ is 767.23. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S26. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-1** with (a) $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:3) {calculated mass for (**PT-1**+ $Zn^{2+}+OAc^{-}$).3H₂O is 821.26; (**PT-1**+ $Cu^{2+}+OAc^{-}+K^{+}$) is 805.19} (b) $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:4) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-1**)+ $Cu^{2+}+OAc^{-}+K^{+}$] calculated mass is 805.19. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum for Fig. S26b].



Figure S27. UV-Vis of (a) PD-1, (b) PT-2 and (c) PT-3 with Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} (8 μ M, solvent: water).



Figure S28. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PD-1** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PD-1**)+Cu²⁺+(H₂O)]. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S29. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-2** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-2**)+Cu²⁺+H₂O]. H₂O. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S30. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-3** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-3**)+Cu²⁺+H₂O]. H₂O. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S31. Dissociation constant calculation for (a) **PT-1**, (b) **PT-2**, (c) **PT-3** by competition with EDTA for Zn^{2+} and (d) **PT-1** by competition with EDTA for Cu^{2+} (solvent: water, see experimental section above for details).



Figure S32. UV-Vis of (a) PT-4, (b) PT-5, (c) PT-6, and (d) PT-7 with Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} (8 μ M, solvent: water).



Figure S33. Competition experiment between Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} with peptoids (a) **PT-4**; (b) **PT-5**; (c) **PT-6** and (d) **PT-7**; (solvent: water, 8 μ M). [For **PT-4** in Fig. a, Red: **PT-4**; Black: Zn^{2+} - **PT-4**; Blue: Cu^{2+} - **PT-4**; Cyan: 1:2 ($Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$). For **PT-5** in Fig. b, Red: **PT-5**; Blue: Zn^{2+} - **PT-5**; Black: Cu^{2+} - **PT-5**; Green: 1:2 ($Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$). For **PT-6** in Fig. c, Red: **PT-6**; Black: Zn^{2+} - **PT-6**; Blue: Cu^{2+} - **PT-6**; Green: 1:2 ($Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$). For **PT-7** in Fig. d, Black: **PT-7**; Blue: Zn^{2+} - **PT-7**; Red: Cu^{2+} - **PT-7**; Green: 1:2 ($Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$)].



Figure S34. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-4** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-4**)+Cu²⁺+(OAc⁻)+K⁺]. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S35. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-5** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-5**)+Cu²⁺+H₂O]. H₂O. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S36. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-6** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-6**)+Cu²⁺+H₂O].H₂O. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S37. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-7** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-7**)+Cu²⁺+OAc⁺+Na⁺]. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S38. UV-Vis spectra of (a) **PT-1Ac**, (b) **PT-8** and (c) **Terpy** and *N***pam** mixture with Cu^{2+} (blue) and Zn^{2+} (red)⁵(d) competition experiment between Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} with **Terpy** and *N***pam** (8 μ M, solvent: water for a-b and acetonitrile for c-d).



Figure S39. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of **PT-8** with $Zn^{2+}:Cu^{2+}$ (1:2) in water (8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-8**)+Cu²⁺+(H₂O)₂]. [Top: simulated, bottom: experimental spectrum].



Figure S40. ESI-MS of the aliquot taken from UV-Vis titration of PT-1Ac with Zn²⁺:Cu²⁺ (1:2) in water

(8 μ M), could be assigned to [(**PT-1Ac**)+Cu²⁺+H₂O].H₂O. [Top: simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S41. UV-Vis spectrum of ZnPT-1 complex in water (17 μ M).



Figure S42. UV-Vis spectrum of CuPT-1 complex in water (17 µM), inset shows d-d transition.



Figure S43. ESI-MS of Zn**PT-1** complex in water, could be assigned to [(**PT-1**)+Zn²⁺+(OAc⁻)]. [Top: Simulated spectrum, bottom: experimental spectrum].



Figure S44. ESI-MS of Cu**PT-1** complex in water, could be assigned to $[(\mathbf{PT-1})+\mathbf{Cu}^{2+}+\mathbf{OAc}^{-}+\mathbf{K}^{+}]$. [Top: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S45. UV-Vis spectrum of ZnPT-1 complex synthesis in water (a) room temperature, (b) 35°C and (c) 50°C (17 μ M).



Figure S46. UV-Vis spectrum of Cu**PT-1** complex synthesis in water (a) room temperature, (b) 35° C and (c) 50° C (17 μ M).



Figure S47. UV-Vis spectrum of $Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+}PT-1$ complex in (a) acetonitrile and (b) methanol (17 μ M); [black: Zn**PT-1** complex in water, red: Zn**PT-1** complex in acetonitrile/methanol, blue: Cu**PT-1** complex in water, cyan: Cu**PT-1** complex in acetonitrile/methanol].



Figure S48. ESI-MS of PT-9 in acetonitrile.



Figure S49. ¹H-NMR (in 400MHz) chemical shift of **PT-9** ($C_9H_{18}N_4O_3$) in CD₃CN.



Figure S50. ¹H-NMR (in 400MHz) chemical shift of benzyl amine monomer (*N*pm) in CD₃CN.



Figure S51. ¹H-NMR chemical shift of terpy (as a surrogate of Netp, the monomer used in synthesis) in CD_3CN .



Figure S52. ¹H-NMR (in 400MHz) chemical shift of **2-picolyl amine** monomer (*N*pam) in CD₃CN.





Figure S53. ¹H-NMR (in 400MHz) chemical shift of (a) **PT-1** ($C_{36}H_{36}N_8O_4$) and (b) Zn**PT-1** in CD₃CN. Chemical shift of *N*pm assigned near the range of 7-7.5 ppm with less acidic protons of *N*etp and *N*pam group (see Fig. S50-52), protons adjacent to pyridine groups assigned at more downfield region near 9.30 ppm. Chemical shift of other protons expected to be similar as obtained with the monomer (see Fig. S50-52). The downfield shifting expected due to coordination with Zn²⁺ ion.^[10]





Figure S54. Solid phase FT-IR of (a) PT-1 (red), (b) CuPT-1(black), (c) ZnPT-1 (blue line, obtained from the complex synthesis in water medium) and (d) ZnPT-1 (orange line, obtained after evaporating the CD₃CN in NMR analysis), (e) overlayed spectra of PT-1 and ZnPT-1 (as obtained after CD₃CN evaporation).



Figure S55. X-band EPR spectra of Cu**PT-1** in water (frozen solution), red: simulated and blue: experimental spectrum. Hamiltonian parameter g_{II} : 2.22; g_{\perp} : 2.06; A_{II} : 165G.

Peptoid is electron-donating scaffold^[11] that would help in increasing the HOMO-LUMO gap.^[12] Zn²⁺ and Cu^{2+} have different electronic configuration, *i.e.*; d^{10} and d^9 respectively. The *d* orbital available for interaction with ligand centre is fully occupied for Zn^{2+} and by one electron for Cu^{2+} . Thus interaction strength of Cu²⁺ with the ligand will be higher than Zn²⁺.^[13] Electron density in HOMO-LUMO orbital shows contribution of the picolyl moiety and peptoid backbone in HOMO and terpyridine in LUMO. Complexation makes lowering the HOMO-LUMO energy (Fig. S56). The LUMO of CuPT-1 is more stabilized than the LUMO of ZnPT-1. Indeed, due to higher interaction energy equatorial ligation of Cu²⁺ with nitrogen of terpyridine and oxygen of acetate ion is stronger that results in shortening the bond distance for Cu-terpyridine than Zn terpyridine in ZnPT-1. The bond distances are as follows: For CuPT-1, Cu49-N46, Cu49-N47, Cu49-N48 and Cu49-O52 are 2.073, 1.958, 2.088 and 1.947Å (see Fig. 6b for atom numbering). For ZnPT-1, Zn49-N46, Zn49-N47, Zn49-N48 and Zn49-O52 are 2.181, 2.080, 2.213 and 2.038 Å (Fig. 6a for atom numbering). The strong interaction stabilized the LUMO of CuPT-1. In case of HOMO, Cu^{2+} offers d_z^2 orbital (Fig. S56c),^[14] which interacts with picolyl nitrogen and eventually raise the energy.^[15] Because of the axial interaction, the bond distance is higher in CuPT-1, *i.e.*; 2.371Å (Cu49-N22) than ZnPT-1, *i.e.*; 2.176Å (Zn49-N22). Strong interaction makes a better stabilization of ZnPT-1 HOMO, whereas weak interaction leads to a possible destabilization of CuPT-1 HOMO.^[16] Lowering the LUMO energy and increasing the HOMO energy for CuPT-1 decreases the HOMO-LUMO gap in comparison with ZnPT-1 and thus makes the ZnPT-1 more stable than CuPT-1. Rational designing of the peptoid scaffold with proper structure directing group might help the peptoid to offer the metal ion to place the picolyl group in axial position, which in turn resulted in a discrimination in interaction eventually the stability.



Figure S56. Image of HOMO-LUMO for (a) **PT-1**, (b) Zn**PT-1** and (c) Cu**PT-1** (iso-surface cut off: 0.02, color code: grey: carbon, blue: nitrogen, red: oxygen, white: hydrogen, green: Zn and pink: Cu).



Figure S57. UV-Vis of the **PT-1** with (a) Ca^{2+} , (b) K^+ , (c) Mg^{2+} , (d) Na^+ , (e) Fe^{3+} , in water, 8 μ M, titration was carried out by gradually adding metal ions 2μ L each time. Stock solution of **PT-1** and metal ions are 5mM in water. In fig. e., the overlaid absorbance spectra of $Fe(CIO_4)_3.2H_2O$ with chelator **PT-1** (solid line) and without **PT-1** (dashed green line), indicating that the observed rise in the absorption titration spectra in presence of ligands is due to the absorption of the iron salt and not due to binding of the chelator to Fe^{3+} , as reported in literature.^[17]



Figure S58. (a) UV-Vis of the **PT-1** (purple), Zn**PT-1** (blue) and Cu**PT-1** (black) in SBF (simulated body fluid) (6 μ M), reveals that chelator **PT-1** is working perfectly in SBF, a biological like medium; (b) Zn**PT-1** complex solubilized in SBF at 37°C and monitored for 24 hours (17 μ M).



Figure S59. UV-Vis of the **PT-1** (red), Zn**PT-1** (black) and competition in varying ratio of metal ions (purple and blue, see inset) in simulated body fluid ($6 \mu M$).



Figure S60. ESI-MS of Zinc finger protein (PYKCPECGKSFSQKSDLVKHQRTHTG) in 3+ charge. [Above: Simulated spectrum, below: experimental spectrum].



Figure S61. (a) ESI-MS of **PT-1** added Zn-ZF solution; it shows the formation of Zn**PT-1** complex (b) expanded view of the same ESI-MS file shows the presence of Apo-ZF in 3+ charge. [Top: Simulated spectrum, bottom: experimental spectrum].



Figure S62. CD spectra of Apo-ZF (red line), Zn-ZF (blue line) and Zn^{2+} chelated ZF (green line) in acetate buffer (pH 6.5).

Table S4. Coordinates of **PT-1**.

total energy: -2129.91304869579 Hartree

- C 9.0373210 6.8370819 2.5545684
- $C \quad 10.3187623 \quad 6.6881271 \quad 3.0837788$
- $C \quad 10.9599853 \quad 7.8274421 \quad 3.5793465$
- C 10.3035310 9.0526687 3.5164519
- C 9.0184681 9.1044549 2.9533527
- $C \quad 8.2703886 \quad 10.4192118 \quad 2.9045022$
- C 6.1944419 11.5223508 2.3144937
- C 5.6108753 11.8001891 3.7100857
- C 6.1679948 14.2127279 3.4728946
- C 7.6960869 14.3536776 3.3730844
- C 7.7385211 15.5119377 5.5775021
- $C \quad 9.7719367 \quad 15.3342673 \quad 4.1306527$
- C 10.6320002 14.2113819 4.7232611

- $C \quad 4.8494358 \quad 13.3500395 \quad 5.4268025$
- C 5.2423114 12.6500761 6.7276394
- N 8.4007874 8.0134273 2.4875527
- N 6.9880437 10.3146508 2.2347218
- N 5.6718257 13.0627902 4.2404423
- N 8.3472412 15.0650218 4.3266817
- N 10.6899806 13.0924974 3.9730079
- O 5.0540195 10.8870360 4.3195729
- O 6.6296152 12.8122837 7.0300457
- O 8.3000116 13.8768855 2.4060593
- O 11.1837080 14.3432633 5.8135220
- N 12.0313908 11.1216986 5.8093411
- N 9.4800951 9.8395714 6.4498622
- N 8.6720966 7.1683690 6.2812828
- C 13.3406509 11.3860504 5.8677112
- C 13.9627174 11.9253478 6.9964285
- C 13.1732839 12.2129832 8.1118665
- $C \quad 11.8049249 \quad 11.9474131 \quad 8.0542912$
- C 11.2727801 11.3949842 6.8826684
- C 9.8118456 11.0988185 6.7660180
- C 8.8751256 12.1174579 6.9674390
- C 7.5132459 11.8071166 6.8332168
- C 7.1647703 10.4888189 6.5257334
- C 8.1805492 9.5425311 6.3286909
- C 7.8152688 8.1456300 5.9454217
- C 6.6172724 7.8846611 5.2555060
- C 6.3024818 6.5676065 4.9245240
- C 7.1899046 5.5524251 5.2832703
- C 8.3628855 5.9121003 5.9548365

- H 8.4927654 5.9642315 2.1761688
- H 10.7911724 5.7041211 3.1213932
- H 11.9550415 7.7596193 4.0266875
- H 10.7693506 9.9425211 3.9413953
- Н 8.8895625 11.1627714 2.3733587
- H 8.1936335 10.8002017 3.9466173
- Н 6.7950712 12.3560571 1.9383716
- Н 5.3105367 11.4242279 1.6585283
- Н 5.7401006 15.1177076 3.9159642
- H 5.8070490 14.1755107 2.4369141
- H 8.5653511 15.6859149 6.2830545
- H 7.1609018 14.6947066 6.0238118
- H 10.0288011 16.2674333 4.6449961
- H 9.9636059 15.4429185 3.0550491
- H 3.8000559 13.0739281 5.2251195
- H 4.8725982 14.4359677 5.5792024
- H 4.6865929 13.1276240 7.5481956
- H 4.9621473 11.5945376 6.6966627
- H 6.4772541 9.5078675 2.5904238
- H 11.1447383 12.2613838 4.3596597
- $H \quad 10.1273419 \quad 13.0344596 \quad 3.1280749$
- Н 13.9238242 11.1662593 4.9665030
- H 15.0374233 12.1190010 6.9934958
- H 13.6172594 12.6389832 9.0151001
- H 11.1537367 12.1581205 8.9047707
- Н 9.1960337 13.1339371 7.1947728
- Н 6.1293670 10.1892900 6.4145007
- H 5.9633499 8.7032223 4.9500136
- H 5.3831778 6.3411336 4.3788711

- H 6.9899070 4.5062806 5.0418038
- H 9.0865968 5.1395427 6.2413261
- C 6.8937872 16.7659053 5.4714765
- C 7.0211956 17.6619839 4.4021866
- C 6.2367076 18.8195774 4.3499948
- C 5.3159270 19.0928338 5.3663228
- C 5.1813600 18.2001840 6.4361788
- $C \quad 5.9645912 \quad 17.0440133 \quad 6.4861940$
- Н 7.7298022 17.4515563 3.5977858
- H 6.3455293 19.5089946 3.5088051
- H 4,7009893 19,9953095 5,3232089
- H 4.4594193 18.4015897 7.2317481
- H 5.8537533 16.3477708 7.3228890

Table S5. Coordinates of ZnPT-1.

total energy: -4137.48324721934 Hartree

- C 9.9453639 9.4820546 -0.1740146
- C 8.9743339 9.4233217 -1.1697087
- C 7.8029655 10.1609067 -0.9953109
- C 7.6603730 10.9389925 0.1534014
- C 8.6873834 10.9623007 1.1020644
- $C \quad 8.5919234 \quad 11.8043075 \quad 2.3478005$
- C 7.4730997 13.6286276 3.4815576
- C 6.9916511 12.9059734 4.7374368
- C 7.3097279 15.0610708 5.8994945
- C 8.8103786 15.3687964 5.9237270
- C 8.3708244 17.5065828 4.7143416
- C 7.9850360 17.0670845 3.3132569
- C 8.9295098 16.5026776 2.4414947

- C 8.5510389 16.0580308 1.1721683
- C 7.2202678 16.1752765 0.7529972
- C 6.2751377 16.7482681 1.6092551
- C 6.6576697 17.1907081 2.8804458
- C 10.6918633 16.7193307 5.3325128
- C 11.4358741 16.6763074 6.6680735
- C 6.6104095 12.9878043 7.1452142
- C 7.6996143 12.2391054 7.9118013
- N 9.8070999 10.2250729 0.9384671
- N 7.5180179 12.7804723 2.3106583
- N 7.0188668 13.6363703 5.8974320
- N 9.2436890 16.5552119 5.4149582
- N 10.7956742 17.2188014 7.7184944
- O 6.6411558 11.7316604 4.7182769
- O 7.9233610 10.9153785 7.3970829
- O 9.6043772 14.5690283 6.4149919
- O 12.5774694 16.2237338 6.7189255
- C 13.0227045 12.3752000 2.4714502
- C 13.5566816 13.5441443 3.0193118
- C 13.0840975 13.9711133 4.2613121
- C 12.1011486 13.2218945 4.9123975
- $C \quad 11.6200351 \quad 12.0625472 \quad 4.2988816$
- C 10.5817370 11.1854047 4.9069223
- C 9.7769936 11.5667722 5.9770951
- C 8.7968073 10.6634027 6.4213721
- C 8.7007928 9.3967642 5.8128628
- C 9.5329412 9.1092921 4.7379994
- C 9.4930586 7.8442782 3.9531249
- C 8.7358673 6.7305146 4.3288932

С	8.7843491	5.5847561	3.5326905
С	9.5859421	5.5800792	2.3896020
С	10.3071964	6.7351425	2.0826466
N	12.0822574	11.6628470	3.0958752
N	10.4358371	10.0011465	4.3063483
N	10.2539841	7.8302786	2.8420925
Zn	11.3068388	9.7340334	2.4360711
С	14.8422000	8.0622823	1.3877848
С	13.5676337	8.6588825	1.9393014
0	12.7127049	9.1185296	1.0952130
0	13.3463456	8.7126212	3.1662650
Η	15.4012080	8.8381584	0.8405859
Η	9.1392707	8.8079326	-2.0554704
Н	7.0086831	10.1338449	-1.7450587
Η	6.7602982	11.5266239	0.3321142
Η	9.5839790	12.2692356	2.5150598
Η	8.4060992	11.1383801	3.2025988
Η	8.4581454	14.0847416	3.7141393
Η	6.7933414	14.4675534	3.2732020
Η	6.8713813	15.5085627	6.8044694
Η	6.8137142	15.5481926	5.0534582
Η	8.9099141	18.4646369	4.6799431
Н	7.4687447	17.6931436	5.3129080
Η	9.9703920	16.3957376	2.7519732
Η	9.2987353	15.6192332	0.5064812
Η	6.9227205	15.8232009	-0.2378313
Η	5.2336432	16.8431118	1.2925871
Η	5.9094176	17.6226849	3.5508981
Н	10.9035151	17.6900968	4.8632938

- H 11.1416484 15.9364525 4.7066453
- H 5.7924009 12.2863226 6.9266290
- H 6.2173319 13.7675106 7.8127967
- H 8.6311633 12.8190797 7.9526618
- H 7.3460885 12.0744599 8.9386777
- H 7.6224134 13.3843708 1.4958042
- H 11.2341876 17.2111383 8.6339046
- Н 9.8401306 17.5475307 7.6457208
- H 13.3573180 11.9951801 1.5022275
- H 14.3240775 14.0999676 2.4782768
- H 13.4598924 14.8788661 4.7385445
- H 11.7170573 13.5488768 5.8764883
- H 9.8530941 12.5687111 6.3893129
- H 7.9387217 8.7020885 6.1626366
- H 8.1252263 6.7491902 5.2315115
- H 8.2033424 4.7019466 3.8079637
- H 9.6554904 4.7027130 1.7447240
- H 10.9517864 6.7856636 1.2008788
- Н 10.8779850 8.9210026 -0.2600840
- H 14.5965060 7.2693560 0.6643931
- H 15.4693981 7.6553204 2.1910972

Table S6. Coordinates of CuPT-1.

total energy: -3998.58066618600 Hartree

- C 9.8794519 9.4102548 -0.0014379
- C 8.8748201 9.2638560 -0.9576067
- C 7.6834972 9.9676254 -0.7763643
- $C \quad 7.5548285 \quad 10.7982750 \quad 0.3373594$
- C 8.6192797 10.9005816 1.2408063

- C 8.5459190 11.7953912 2.4514853
- C 7.4245300 13.6372700 3.5503049
- C 6.9791416 12.9394371 4.8337292
- C 7.3498958 15.1102009 5.9476252
- C 8.8506553 15.4124697 5.8912187
- C 8.3518516 17.5367316 4.6814906
- C 7.8864149 17.0819374 3.3098874
- C 8.7808388 16.5120122 2.3903006
- C 8.3307240 16.0514811 1.1504049
- C 6.9771037 16.1583722 0.8088958
- C 6.0811051 16.7374861 1.7124887
- C 6.5352765 17.1956544 2.9541939
- C 10.7014661 16.7484094 5.1797229
- C 11.5162754 16.7289362 6.4737203
- C 6.6871074 13.0584762 7.2508729
- $C \quad \ \ 7.7954287 \quad 12.2957863 \quad \ 7.9732998$
- $N \quad 9.7569727 \quad 10.1998188 \quad 1.0752064$
- N 7.4596911 12.7572365 2.4044541
- N 7.0550533 13.6869513 5.9808737
- N 9.2597674 16.5897841 5.3434438
- N 10.9341147 17.2921768 7.5465404
- O 6.6154784 11.7692744 4.8469023
- O 7.9872693 10.9730917 7.4406208
- O 9.6665646 14.6161560 6.3523026
- O 12.6579305 16.2733709 6.4716611
- C 13.1865727 12.1384812 2.5193495
- C 13.6850877 13.3680871 2.9580461
- C 13.1502809 13.9304041 4.1179124
- C 12.1350920 13.2548253 4.8006173

- C 11.6894354 12.0307983 4.3017243
- C 10.6293382 11.2085673 4.9334880
- C 9.8264675 11.6061897 5.9966080
- C 8.8432056 10.7106234 6.4556935
- C 8.7321189 9.4359273 5.8604312
- C 9.5609292 9.1273032 4.7914040
- C 9.5560344 7.8643029 4.0144509
- C 8.7420109 6.7687192 4.3043911
- C 8.8427814 5.6269743 3.5059890
- $C \quad 9.7494600 \quad 5.6117675 \quad 2.4450702$
- C 10.5254507 6.7493025 2.2145194
- N 12.2207220 11.4954282 3.1771243
- N 10.4670822 10.0148048 4.3523398
- N 10.4249737 7.8376899 2.9781077
- Cu 11.4161441 9.6512642 2.6782342
- C 14.7697250 8.1011237 0.9007966
- $C \quad 13.6861050 \quad 8.5885060 \quad 1.8421382$
- O 12.6512427 9.1191328 1.2701173
- O 13.7917319 8.4851746 3.0703974
- H 15.1413093 8.9434658 0.2958775
- H 9.0291429 8.6104936 -1.8183525
- H 6.8641217 9.8746835 -1.4935121
- H 6.6420412 11.3649452 0.5218711
- H 9.5386816 12.2746835 2.5746317
- H 8.3882454 11.1631163 3.3362708
- H 8.4070581 14.1138575 3.7494409
- H 6.7269327 14.4606758 3.3368680
- Н 6.9586972 15.5728553 6.8664068
- H 6.8142253 15.5862507 5.1195583

- H 8.8917035 18.4919196 4.6055446
- H 7.4857506 17.7338270 5.3278376
- H 9.8387444 16.4130487 2.6399808
- Н 9.0404311 15.6082594 0.4472070
- Н 6.6235903 15.7931545 -0.1585496
- H 5.0224373 16.8248334 1.4563030
- H 5.8255011 17.6323837 3.6624380
- $H \quad 10.8888125 \quad 17.7101318 \quad 4.6823003$
- Н 11.1162101 15.9545080 4.5429895
- Н 5.8465829 12.3715422 7.0754574
- H 6.3403146 13.8507889 7.9290381
- Н 8.7338223 12.8658563 7.9832127
- H 7.4788938 12.1272996 9.0115169
- H 7.5378351 13.3352406 1.5684197
- Н 11.4217793 17.3020302 8.4367419
- Н 9.9772233 17.6235757 7.5187591
- Н 13.5660076 11.6510925 1.6178977
- H 14.4774497 13.8646068 2.3959523
- H 13.5028481 14.8876662 4.5086251
- H 11.6966249 13.6863560 5.6987586
- H 9.9157242 12.6101917 6.4022890
- H 7.9733911 8.7455129 6.2262149
- $H \quad 8.0433964 \quad 6.8018851 \quad 5.1405802$
- $H \quad 8.2172815 \quad 4.7565845 \quad 3.7146514$
- Н 9.8592862 4.7376906 1.8015251
- H 11.2469241 6.7949515 1.3955460
- H 10.8315594 8.8824456 -0.0961981
- H 14.3500912 7.3610258 0.2013514
- H 15.6006045 7.6537060 1.4615580

References

- [1] (a) M. Baskin and G. Maayan, A rationally designed metal-binding helical peptoid for selective recognition processes, *Chem. Sci.*, **2016**, *7*, 2809-2820.
- [2] R. N. Zuckermann, J. M. Kerr, S. B. W. Kent, W. H. Moos, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10646–10647.
- [3] Timothy S. Burkoth, Aaron T. Fafarman, Deborah H. Charych, Michael D. Connolly, and Ronald N. Zuckermann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8841-8845.
- [4] L. Zhang, M. Koay, M. J. Maher, Z. Xiao, A. G. Wedd, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5834-5850.
- [5] A. E. Martell, R. M. Smith and R. J. Motekaitis, NIST critically selected stability constants of metal complexes, Standard reference database 46, 2001.
- [6] A. Laskay, C. Garino, Y. O. Tsybin, L. Salassa, A. Casini, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1612–1615.
- [7] M. A. Franzman, A. M. Barrios, Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 3928-3930.

[8] P. Ghosh, N. Fridman and G. Maayan, 2020, submitted elsewhere.

[9] K. F. Bowes, I. P. Clark, J. M. Cole, M. Gourlay, A. M. E. Griffin, M. F. Mahon, L. Ooi, A. W. Parker, P. R. Raithby, H. A. Sparkes, et al., *CrystEngComm* **2005**, *7*, 269–275.

[10] Q-X. Geng, H. Cong, Z. Tao, L. F. Lindoy, G. Wei, Supramol. Chem., 2016, 28, 784-791.

[11] Baskin, M.; Panz, L.; Maayan, G. Versatile Ruthenium Complexes Based on 2,2'-Bipyridine Modified Peptoids. *Chemical Communications* **2016**, *52* (68), 10350–10353. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cc04346a.

[12] Rillema, D. P.; Stoyanov, S. R.; Cruz, A. J.; Nguyen, H.; Moore, C.; Huang, W.; Siam, K.; Jehan, A.; Komreddy, V. HOMO-LUMO Energy Gap Control in Platinum(II) Biphenyl Complexes Containing 2,2'-Bipyridine Ligands. *Dalton Transactions* **2015**, *44* (39), 17075–17090. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5dt01891a.

[13] Matsubara, T.; Hirao, K. Density functional study of the binding of the cyclen-coordinated M(II) (M=Zn, Cu, Ni) complexes to the DNA base. Why is Zn better to bind? *J. Mol. Struct. (TheoChem)*, **2002**, *581*, 203-213.

[14] Freitag, R.; Conradie, J. Understanding the Jahn-Teller Effect in Octahedral Transition-Metal Complexes: A Molecular Orbital View of the $Mn(\beta$ -Diketonato)3 Complex. *Journal of Chemical Education* **2013**, *90* (12), 1692–1696. https://doi.org/10.1021/ed400370p.

[15] Cheng, R-J.; Chen, P-Y.; Lovell, T.; Liu, T.; Noodleman, L.; Case, D. A. Symmetry and Bonding in Metalloporphyrins. A Modern Implementation for the Bonding Analyses of Five- and Six-Coordinate High-Spin Iron(III)-Porphyrin Complexes

through Density Functional Calculation and NMR Spectroscopy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6774-6783. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/ja021344n.

[16] Solomon, E. I.; Szilagyi, R. K.; DeBeer George, S.; Basumallick, L. Electronic Structures of Metal Sites in Proteins and Models: Contributions to Function in Blue Copper Proteins. *Chemical Reviews* **2004**, *104* (2), 419–458. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0206317.

[17] A. Rakshit, K. Khatua, V. Shanbhag, P. Comba and A. Datta, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 7916-7930.