## **Electronic Supporting information for**

## Trace tungsten and iron-doped nickel hydroxide nanosheets for an efficient oxygen evolution reaction

Chun Li, Peng Tian\*\*, Hongchang Pang, Weitao Gong, Junwei Ye, Guiling Ning\*

State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, China \*Corresponding author. E-mail address: ninggl@dlut.edu.cn . \*\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: tianpeng@dlut.edu.cn

## CAPTIONS

Calculation	S1
SEM images of samples	S3
EDX spectrum	S4
HR-TEM images and corresponding SAED patterns	S5
STEM images and the corresponding elemental mapping	S5
XPS fine structures spectrum and survey spectra	S6
XPS spectrum before and after OER	S10
LSV error analysis curves	S11
LSV curves of other catalyst materials	S11
LSV curves for different Fe,W atoms ratio	S12
LSV curves of samples doping with/without B element	S12
Cyclic voltammograms of samples at different scan rates	S13
OER measures at different temperature	S14
LSV curves of samples with different annealed temperature	S15
SEM images of Fe <sub>0.03</sub> -W <sub>0.03</sub> -Ni LDH <sup>B</sup> samples after OER	S16
ICP data	S16
Comparison of other relevant OER catalyst materials	S17

## Calculation

Equation S(1) XRD Williamsone-Hall methods

We could get the information about lattice strain and crystalline size based on Williamson–Hall equation through the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the XRD peak. The W-H equation is (1),

$$\frac{\beta_{hkl}cos\theta}{\lambda} = \frac{k\lambda}{D} + 4\varepsilon sin\theta \tag{1}$$

where D is particle size in nanometer,  $\varepsilon$  is the strain component without dimensionless, k is a constant equal to 0.94,  $\lambda$  is wavelength of radiation (1.54056 A° for Cu K $\alpha$ radiation),  $\beta_{hkl}$  is diffraction peak width at half-maximum intensity, and  $\theta$  is diffraction angle. By plotting  $\beta_{hkl}$ cos $\theta$  versus 4sin $\theta$ , we provides more of the crystal structure details, such as the slope and y-intersect of the fitted line represent strain and particle size parameter, respectively.

Equation S(2) To measure electrochemical capacitance, the potential was swept between 0.28 and 0.38 V versus Hg/HgO at ten different scan rates (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100), as shown in Figure S18. The measured capacitive currents are plotted as a function of scan rate and the linear slope is equivalent to twice of the C<sub>dl</sub>. Ni(Fe)O<sub>x</sub>H<sub>y</sub> have been determined with an areal capacitance of ~80  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup> in the charged state. The specific capacitances for Carbon Clothes, Ni LDH, Fe<sub>0.03</sub>-Ni LDH, Fe<sub>0.03</sub>W<sub>0.03</sub>-Ni LDH and Fe<sub>0.03</sub>W<sub>0.03</sub>-Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> are determined as 1.8, 1.9, 1.5, 1.7 and 2.9 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. ECSA is calculated as follows: (2)

$$A_{ECSA} = \frac{C_{dl}^{sample}}{80\mu F^{NiO}}$$

Equation S(3) For temperature-dependent measurements, the kinetics of the OER are increased at elevated temperatures from 20°C to 60°C, reflecting the temperature dependence of the chemical rate constant, which is approximately proportional to  $\exp(-\Delta H^*/kT)$ . The Arrhenius equation is (3), (3)

$$\frac{\partial(\log i_k)}{\partial(1/T)} = \frac{-\Delta H^*}{2.3R} (g = 300mV)$$

where  $\Delta H^*$  is the apparent enthalpy of activation (here after simply termed as the activation energy),  $i_k$  is the kinetic current at  $\eta = 300$  mV, T is the temperature, and R is the universal gas constant.



Fig. S1 SEM image of carbon fiber clothes



Fig. S2 SEM images of  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH samples



Fig. S3 SEM images of Fe<sub>0.03</sub>-Ni LDH samples.



Fig. S4 EDX spectrum. a) Fe\_{0.03}W\_{0.03}-Ni LDH^B b) Post-OER Fe\_{0.03}W\_{0.03}-Ni LDH^B



Fig. S5 (a-d) HR-TEM images of  $Fe_{0.03}$  Ni LDH,  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH,  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup>, post-OER  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> and corresponding SAED patterns (insert).



Fig. S6 Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images and the corresponding elemental mapping of Ni, Fe, W, and O. a)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH; b) post-OER  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup>.



Fig. S7 a-e) XPS fine structures spectrum for  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> in Ni 2p, Fe 2p, W 4f, O 1s and B 1s regions. f) XPS survey spectra of  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup>.



Fig. S8 XPS spectrum for Fe $_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH, Fe $_{0.03}$ W $_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH and Fe $_{0.03}$ W $_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> in O1s regions.



Fig. S9 XPS spectrum for a)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH, b)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> and c)  $WO_3^{[38]}$  in W4f regions.



Fig. S10 XPS spectrum for a)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH, b)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> and c)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> after OER in B1s regions.



Fig. S11 XPS spectra for  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> in a) Ni2p and b) Fe2p regions before and after oxygen evolution reaction.



Fig. S12 Four independent OER polarization curves and scale bars of a,c)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH and b,d)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> catalysts on carbon clothes.



Fig. S13 LSV (Linear scan voltammetry) for more OER catalyst materials.



Fig. S14 a,b) LSV curves for different Fe,W atoms ratio of Fe<sub>x</sub>W<sub>y</sub>-Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> OER catalyst materials.



Fig. S15 LSV curves of samples doping with/without B element.



Fig. S16 a-e) Cyclic voltammograms of Carbon Clothes, Ni LDH,  $Fe_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH,  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH and  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> at scan rates from 10 to 100 mV S<sup>-1</sup>. f) Double layer capacitance values (Cdl) of Carbon Clothes, Ni LDH,  $Fe_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH,  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH and  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup>.



Fig. S17 The OER LSV curve of a)  $Fe_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH, b)  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH catalysts in 1 M KOH aqueous electrolyte loaded on carbon clothes with scan rate 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> at 20°C, 30°C, 40°C, 50°C and 60°C, respectively.



Fig. S18 a,b) LSV curves of  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH and  $Fe_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> with different annealed temperature. c) XRD pattern of sample after 400°C annealed.



Fig. S19 a,b) SEM images of Fe<sub>0.03</sub>-W<sub>0.03</sub>-Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> samples after OER.

Table S1 ICP data of Fe $_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH, Fe $_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> and Fe $_{0.03}W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> after OER

materials	elements	Concentration (mg/L)	molar ratio (%)
Fe0.03W0.03-Ni LDH	Fe	3.572	3.97
	W	5.792	1.96
	Ni	88.85	94.07
Fe0.03W0.03-Ni LDH <sup>B</sup>	Fe	2.267	4.00
	W	4.364	2.34
	Ni	53.86	93.66
	В	4.769	
Fe0.03W0.03-Ni LDH <sup>B</sup>	Fe	4.99	4.62
after OER	W	9.19	2.59
	Ni	105.3	92.79
	В	1.362	

Samples	$\eta(mV)$ on carbon cloth	η(mV) on nickel foam	η(mV) on gold foam	References
Fe0.03W0.03-Ni LDH <sup>B</sup>	205	250(50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )		This work
Gel-FeCoW		_	191	<i>Science</i> <b>2016</b> , <i>352</i> , 333.
CoFeW clusters	205	—	192	J. Am. Chem. Soc. <b>2019</b> , 141, 232.
W-doped NiCoP	_	330(50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	_	J Mater Chem A 2019, 7, 16859
Ball-milling NiFe NP	270(glassy carbon)	—	_	Angew Chem Int Edit. <b>2019</b> , 58, 736.
Dry exfoliation NiFe NP	276(glassy carbon)	_	_	Angew Chem Int Edit. <b>2017</b> , 56,5867.
Fe <sub>0.09</sub> Co <sub>0.13</sub> -NiSe <sub>2</sub> LDH	251	—	—	Adv Mater. <b>2018</b> , 30, 1802121.
NiCoP/NiFe LDH	_	220	_	<i>Adv. Funct. Mater.</i> <b>2018</b> , <i>28</i> , 1706847.
NaBH4 soaked Fe-Co <sub>x</sub> Oy	_	204	_	Nano Energy <b>2018</b> , 54, 238.

Table S2 Comparison of OER performance in 1.0 M KOH for  $Fe_{0.03}$ - $W_{0.03}$ -Ni LDH<sup>B</sup> with other non-noble-metal electrocatalysts.