## Supporting information

# The climatic response of thermally integrated photovoltaic–electrolysis water splitting using Si and CIGS combined with acidic and alkaline electrolysis

İ. Bayrak Pehlivan<sup>a</sup>, U. Malm<sup>b</sup>, P. Neretnieks<sup>b</sup>, A. Glüsen<sup>c</sup>, M. Müller<sup>c</sup>, K. Welter<sup>c</sup>, S. Haas<sup>c</sup>, S. Calnan<sup>d</sup>, A. Canino<sup>e</sup>,
 R. G. Milazzo<sup>f</sup>, S. M. S. Privitera<sup>f</sup>, S. A. Lombardo<sup>f</sup>, L. Stolt<sup>b</sup>, M. Edoff<sup>a\*</sup>, and T. Edvinsson<sup>a\*</sup>

5

10

15

20

<sup>a</sup> Department of Engineering Sciences, Uppsala University, P.O. Box 534, 75121 Uppsala, Sweden <sup>b</sup> Solibro Research AB, Vallvagen 5, Uppsala 75651, Sweden.

<sup>c</sup> Forschungszentrum Juelich GmbH, Wilhelm Johnen Strasse, Juelich 52428, Germany.

<sup>d</sup> PVcomB, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie GmbH, Schwarzschildstrasse 3, Berlin 12489, Germany.

<sup>e</sup> ENEL Greenpower, Contrada Blocco Torrazze, Zona Industriale, Catania 95121, Italy.

<sup>f</sup> Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche CNR-IMM, Zona Industriale, Ottava Strada, 5, Catania 95121, Italy.

#### 1. Experimental PV data

The Si cells utilized for the primary irradiance and temperature data in this project were commercial but the Simodules were developed in the project. The CIGS PV cells and their modules were modified with higher Ga-content than conventional/commercial CIGS to match the demand of the alkaline catalyst system [1].

The experimental data of a 230 cm<sup>2</sup> SHJ mini–module consisting of three cells in series were provided at different temperatures between 25 and 80 °C. The efficiency dropped from 18.5 to 15.5 % (Fig. S1a) in the given temperature range. The data of a CIGS mini-module consisting of 118 cells in series were provided at different temperatures between 23 and 70 °C. A single cell area of the module was 54 cm<sup>2</sup>. The efficiency for the given temperature range was between 17.4 and 14.4 % (Fig. S1b). The data of one solar cell based on PERT–Si with a cell area of 244 cm<sup>2</sup> were provided at different temperatures between 20 °C and 50 °C for an irradiance of 1000 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The PV efficiency for the given temperature range was between 18.1 and 16.1 % (Fig. S1c). The experimental data of one TF–Si cell with a cell area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> were provided at different temperatures between 25 and 80 °C. The efficiency for the given temperature range was between 11.5 and 9.9 % (Fig. S1d).

25

Table S1. Number of cells, active total area for the studied PV devices.

DV/	Number of	Active total
PV	cells	area (cm²)
SHJ	3	230
CIGS	118	6372
PERT-Si	1	243
TF-Si	1	1

Preprint submitted to Journal of Information Processing and Management



Figure S1. Temperature dependence of the cell efficiency obtained from experimental j - V data (shown in Fig. 5) (symbols) at an irradiance of 1000 W m<sup>-2</sup> for a (a) SHJ, (b) CIGS, (c) PERT-Si and (d) TF–Si cells.

### 2. Experimental electrolysis data

### 2.1 Pt (cathode) and $IrO_2$ (anode)

35

For the acidic electrolysis a CCM with an active area of  $42*42 \text{ mm}^2$  based on Nafion 212 Membrane (thickness 51  $\mu$ m) by Chemours was used. An anode loading of 2.35 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> IrO2 and a cathode loading of 0.81 mg of Pt was used. The MEA was made with Toray paper (TGP-H-120) as the porous transport layer on both sides as described previously [2]. A test cell with a serpentine flow field was used. The channel has a width and depth of 1.5 mm each and a total length of 55 cm. The lands between the channels have a width of 0.8 mm near the turn of the serpentine and 2.7 mm at the other end. Thus, the channel is slightly diagonal. Water was purified with a Millipore plant, preheated to the operating temperature and fed at a flow rate of 25 ml/min each into the anode and cathode channel. The cells were operated at ambient pressure. The experimental current-voltage data provided was for operation between 5 and 70 °C.

40

The potential at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 25 °C was 1.51 V. 1-hour step ramping and 6-hour stability measurement were done with a laboratory built test-rig. The distance between anode and cathode was 0.005 cm.

#### 2.2 NiMoW (cathode) and NiO (anode)

45

One of the alkaline electrolyzer consisted of NiMoW (cathode) and NiO (anode) having 1 cm<sup>2</sup> area and separated with an anion exchange membrane (Fumasep FAA-3-PK-130 Fuel Cell Store) in 1.0 M KOH. The electrocatalysts were prepared by coating the films on Ni foam substrates (thickness of 1.6 mm, the surface density of 350 g m<sup>-2</sup>, and sheet resistance of 0.1  $\Omega$ /sq) using a Balzers UTT 400 reactive DC magnetron sputtering unit. The target was 5 cm diameter Ni, Mo, and W discs with 99.99% purity from Plasmaterials. The substrate holder was rotated at 3 rpm for the homogeneity of the films. Pre-sputtering was performed for 5 min to clean the surface of the targets. The Ar flow rate was 50 ml min<sup>-1</sup>, and the total gas pressure was kept at 30 mTorr for all depositions. Sputter deposition at a power of 50 200 W was carried out for NiO anodic electrocatalysts with 10 % oxygen-to-argon ratio. The sputtering power of Ni, Mo and W targets were 80, 180, and 20 W for NiMoW cathodic electrocatalyst deposition, respectively. The film thicknesses on glass substrate determined by a Veeco Dektak 150 surface profilometry instrument were  $165 \pm 10$  nm. 1-hour step ramping and 6-hour stability measurement were performed using 1 cm distance between the electrodes. A computer-controlled electrochemical workstation (BioLogic Science Instruments SP-200) was used for the 55 measurements.

#### 2.3 Pt-coated Ni foam (cathode) and Ni foam (anode)

60

The second alkaline electrolyzer consisted of a Pt-coated Ni foam (cathode) and Ni foam (anode) in 1.0 M KOH. The membrane was Zifron and the area of the catalysts were 4 cm<sup>2</sup>. The polarisation curves were collected for an operating temperature range between 25 and 60°C. The potential at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 25°C was 1.52 V. 1-hour step ramping and 6-hour stability measurement were performed using 1 cm distance between the electrodes using a Keithley 2602 instrument. The catalysts with the area of  $2 \text{ cm}^2$  were separated with the Zifron membrane and having zero gaps.

#### Equations used in the model 3.

The maximum efficiency of PV modules was calculated by

$$\eta = j_{mp} V_{mp} / P_{Sun}$$
(1)

where  $j_{mp}$  and  $V_{mp}$  are the current density and voltage at the maximum power point, respectively, and  $P_{Sun}$  is the solar irradiance.

The energy yield of the PV modules per active area was obtained from

$$E_{PV} = j_{mp} \times V_{mp} \times t \tag{2}$$

70 where t is time.

The energy yield of the PV-electrolyzer devices normalized by the area is given by

$$E_{PV-C} = j_{op} \times 1.23 \times t \tag{3}$$

where  $j_{op}$  was the current density at the operation point, and 1.23 V is the minimum theoretical voltage to split water into hydrogen and oxygen (corresponding to a Gibbs free energy of 237.18 kJ per mol produced H<sub>2</sub> for the full water splitting reaction).

The share of electricity produced by the solar cells that are used for H<sub>2</sub> production, ETH, was obtained by

$$ETH = \frac{j_{op} \times 1.23}{j_{mp} \times V_{mp}} \eta_F \tag{4}$$

where  $\eta_F$  is the Faradic efficiency.

Solar-to-hydrogen efficiency of the PV-electrolyser systems was calculated from

$$STH = j_{op} \times 1.23 / P_{Sun}$$
(5)

The amount of  $H_2$  produced by the PV–electrolysis systems was calculated from the duration and operation current. 1.0 A flow for 1 s produces 5.18 µmol  $H_2$  which corresponds to 10.455 µg at standard temperature and pressure if there are no side reactions at the electrodes. The annually produced hydrogen was calculated as the sum of the hourly productions for an entire year.



**Figure S2.** Exemplary j - V data for Jülich climate with the same area of the alkaline NiMoW (cathode)-NiO (anode) electrolyser and PV approaches of (a) SHJ, (b) CIGS, (c) PERT–Si, and (d) TF–Si.



90

**Figure S3.** Exemplary j - V data for Jülich climate with the same area of the alkaline Pt (cathode)-Ni foam (anode) electrolysis and PV approaches of (a) SHJ, (b) CIGS, (c) PERT–Si, and (d) TF–Si.



**Figure S4.** (a-d) Contour plot against irradiance and ambient temperature and (e-h) time distribution of *STH* efficiency in a year for all PV combined with NiMoW-NiO alkaline electrolysis with the same area (10 m<sup>2</sup>) of the PV module and catalyst for Jülich climate with one-hour resolution.



**Figure S5.** (a-d) Contour plot against irradiance and ambient temperature and (e-h) time distribution of *STH* efficiency in a year for all PV combined with Pt-Ni foam alkaline electrolysis with the same area (10 m<sup>2</sup>) of the PV module and catalyst for Jülich climate with one hour resolution.

105



110



**Figure S6.** (a-d) Contour plot against irradiance and ambient temperature and (e-h) time distribution of H<sub>2</sub> production in a year for all PV combined with NiMoW-NiO alkaline electrolysis with the same area (10 m<sup>2</sup>) of the PV module and catalyst for Jülich climate with one-hour resolution.



**Figure S7. (a-d)** Contour plot against irradiance and ambient temperature and (e-h) time distribution of  $H_2$  production in a year for all PV combined with Pt-Ni foam alkaline electrolysis with the same area (10 m<sup>2</sup>) of the PV module and catalyst for Jülich climate with one-hour resolution.

	A <sub>C</sub> /A <sub>PV</sub>	0.01	0.1	1	10	100
	$E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> )	109	117	117	117	117
ſ	ETH (%)	68	74	74	74	74
SH	STH (%)	11	12	12	12	12
	$H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	333	356	358	358	358
	H <sub>2</sub> production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> )	33	36	36	36	36
	A <sub>C</sub> /A <sub>PV</sub>	0.01	0.1	1	10	100
	$E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> )	114	119	119	119	119
S	ETH (%)	74	77	77	77	77
CIC	STH (%)	12	12	12	12	12
	$H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	349	364	365	365	365
	H <sub>2</sub> production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> )	35	36	36	36	37
	A <sub>C</sub> /A <sub>PV</sub>	0.01	0.1	1	10	100
Si	$F_{\rm BVC}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> )	98	99	99	99	99
		20				
I–Si	ETH (%)	69	70	70	70	70
PERT-Si	ETH (%) STH (%)	69           10	70 10	70 10	70 10	70 10
PERT-Si	$ETH (\%)$ $STH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$	69           10           298	70 10 302	70 10 302	70 10 302	70 10 302
PERT-Si	$ETH (\%)$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 \text{ PV (kg year}^{-1})$	69           10           298           30	70 10 302 30	70 10 302 30	70 10 302 30	70           10           302           30
PERT-Si	$ETH (\%)$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 \text{ PV (kg year}^{-1})$ $Ac/A_{PV}$	69       10       298       30 <b>0.01</b>	70 10 302 30 <b>0.1</b>	70 10 302 30 1	70 10 302 30 <b>10</b>	70 10 302 30 <b>100</b>
<b>PERT-Si</b>	$ETH (\%)$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 \text{ PV (kg year}^{-1})$ $Ac/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C} (kWh m}^{-2})$	69       10       298       30 <b>0.01</b> 74	70         10         302         30         0.1         75	70 10 302 30 <b>1</b> 75	70         10         302         30         10         75	70         10         302         30         100         75
-Si PERT-Si	$ETH (\%)$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 \text{ PV (kg year}^{-1})$ $Ac/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C} (kWh m}^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$	69       10       298       30       0.01       74       75	70         10         302         30         0.1         75         76	70         10         302         30         1         75         76	70         10         302         30         10         75         76	70         10         302         30         100         75         76
TF-Si PERT-Si	$ETH (\%)$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 \text{ PV (kg year}^{-1})$ $A_C/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C} (kWh m}^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$ $STH (\%)$	69       10       298       30       0.01       74       75       8	70         10         302         30         0.1         75         76         8	70         10         302         30         1         75         76         8	70         10         302         30         10         75         76         8	70         10         302         30         100         75         76         8
TF-Si PERT-Si	$ETH (\%)$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 \text{ PV (kg year}^{-1})$ $A_C/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C} (kWh m}^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$ $FTH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$	69         10         298         30         0.01         74         75         8         225	70         10         302         30         0.1         75         76         8         229	70         10         302         30         1         75         76         8         229	70         10         302         30         10         75         76         8         229	70         10         302         30         100         75         76         8         229

**Table S2.** Annual values of  $E_{PV-C}$ , *ETH* and *STH* efficiencies, yearly H<sub>2</sub> production from 1 cm<sup>2</sup> catalyst and for different catalyst areas combined with 10 m<sup>2</sup> PV for acidic Pt (cathode)-IrO<sub>2</sub> (anode) electrolysis.

	A <sub>C</sub> /A <sub>PV</sub>	0.01	0.1	1
	$E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> )	27	79	116
n	ETH (%)	17	50	73
SH	STH (%)	3	8	13
	$H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	82	241	352
	$H_2$ production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> )	8	24	35
	A <sub>C</sub> /A <sub>PV</sub>	0.01	0.1	1
	$E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> )	30	87.	110
S	ETH (%)	19	56	71
CIC	STH (%)	3	9	11
	$H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	92	267	336
	H <sub>2</sub> production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> )	9	27	34
	A <sub>C</sub> /A <sub>PV</sub>	0.01	0.1	1
	$\frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}}/\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{PV}}}{E_{PV-C} (\text{kWh m}^{-2})}$	<b>0.01</b> 70	<b>0.1</b> 92	1 95
T–Si	$     \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{C}}/\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{PV}}}{E_{PV-C} (\text{kWh m}^{-2})} $ $     ETH (\%) $	<b>0.01</b> 70 50	<b>0.1</b> 92 66	1 95 68
PERT-Si	$     \begin{array}{r} A_{C}/A_{PV} \\     \hline         \\ E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2}) \\         \\         \\ ETH (\%) \\         \\         \\         \\        $	0.01 70 50 7	0.1           92           66           10	1           95           68           10
PERT-Si	$A_C/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%)H <sub>2</sub> production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.01           70           50           7           214	0.1           92           66           10           281	1           95           68           10           291
PERT-Si	$A_C/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%) $H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> ) $H_2$ production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> )	0.01           70           50           7           214           21	0.1         92           66         10           281         28	1           95           68           10           291           29
PERT-Si	$A_C/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%) $H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> ) $H_2$ production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> ) $A_C/A_{PV}$	0.01           70           50           7           214           21           0.01	0.1         92           66         10           281         28           0.1         0.1	1           95           68           10           291           29           1
PERT-Si	$A_c/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%) $H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> ) $H_2$ production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> ) $A_c/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.01           70           50           7           214           21           0.01           38	0.1         92           66         10           281         28           0.1         66	1           95           68           10           291           29           1           65
-Si PERT-Si	$A_c/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%) $H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> ) $H_2$ production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> ) $A_c/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%)	0.01           70           50           7           214           21           0.01           38           38	0.1         92         66         10         281         28         0.1         66         67         67         67         67         67         67         60         67         60         67         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         67         60         60         67         60         60         60         67         60         60         67         60	1           95           68           10           291           29           1           65           66
TF-Si PERT-Si	$A_c/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%) $H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> ) $H_2$ production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> ) $A_c/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%)	0.01           70           50           7           214           21           0.01           38           38           4	0.1         92         66         10         281         28         0.1         66         67         7         7         7	1           95           68           10           291           29           1           65           66           7
TF-Si PERT-Si	$A_c/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%) $H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> ) $H_2$ production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> ) $A_c/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%) $H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.01           70           50           7           214           21           0.01           38           38           4           115	0.1         92         66         10         281         28         0.1         66         67         7         201	1           95           68           10           291           29           1           65           66           7           199

**Table S3.** Annual values of  $E_{PV-C}$ , *ETH* and *STH* efficiencies, yearly H<sub>2</sub> production from 1 cm<sup>2</sup> catalyst and for different catalyst areas combined with 10 m<sup>2</sup> PV for acidic NiMoW (cathode)-NiO (anode) electrolysis.

	A <sub>C</sub> /A <sub>PV</sub>	0.01	0.1	1
	$E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> )	72	114	143
ſ	ETH (%)	45	71	90
SH	STH (%)	7	11	12
	$H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	219	335	201
	$H_2$ production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> )	22	33	20
	A <sub>C</sub> /A <sub>PV</sub>	0.01	0.1	1
	$E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> )	81	115	129
S	ETH (%)	52	74	83
CIC	STH (%)	8	12	12
	$H_2$ production from 1 cm <sup>2</sup> catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	247	341	336
	H <sub>2</sub> production for 10 m <sup>2</sup> PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> )	25	34	34
		0.01	0.1	1
		0.01	0.1	1
	$E_{PV-C} \text{ (kWh m}^{-2}\text{)}$	89	103	112
T−Si	$\frac{E_{PV-C} \text{ (kWh m}^{-2})}{ETH (\%)}$	89 63	103 73	112 80
PERT-Si		89           63           9	103           73           11	112           80           12
PERT-Si	$E_{PV-C} \text{ (kWh m}^{-2}\text{)}$ $ETH (\%)$ $FTH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1}\text{)}$	0.01           89           63           9           271	103           73           11           300	112           80           12           291
PERT-Si	$E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 PV (kg \text{ year}^{-1})$	0.01           89           63           9           271           27	103           73           11           300           30	1           112           80           12           291           29
PERT-Si	$E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$ $FTH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 PV (kg \text{ year}^{-1})$ $A_C/A_{PV}$	0.01           89           63           9           271           27           0.01	0.1           103           73           11           300           30           0.1	112 80 12 291 29 1
PERT-Si	$E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 PV (kg \text{ year}^{-1})$ $A_C/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2})$	0.01           89           63           9           271           27           0.01           63	0.1           103           73           11           300           30           0.1           76	1           112           80           12           291           29           1           83
-Si PERT-Si	$E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 PV (kg \text{ year}^{-1})$ $A_C/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$	0.01           89           63           9           271           27           0.01           63           64	0.1           103           73           11           300           30           0.1           76           77	1       112       80       12       291       29       1       83       84
TF–Si PERT–Si	$E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$ $H_2 \text{ production from 1 cm}^2 \text{ catalyst (mg h}^{-1})$ $H_2 \text{ production for 10 m}^2 PV (kg \text{ year}^{-1})$ $A_C/A_{PV}$ $E_{PV-C} (kWh m^{-2})$ $ETH (\%)$ $STH (\%)$	0.01           89           63           9           271           27           0.01           63           64           7	0.1           103           73           11           300           30           0.1           76           77           2	1       112       80       12       291       29       1       83       84       0
TF-Si PERT-Si	$E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%)H2 production from 1 cm² catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )H2 production for 10 m² PV (kg year <sup>-1</sup> )Ac/Apv $E_{PV-C}$ (kWh m <sup>-2</sup> ) $ETH$ (%) $STH$ (%)H2 production from 1 cm² catalyst (mg h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.01           89           63           9           271           27           0.01           63           64           7           192	0.1           103           73           11           300           30           0.1           76           77           2           218	1       112       80       12       291       29       1       83       84       0       18

**Table S4.** Annual values of  $E_{PV-C}$ , ETH and STH efficiencies, yearly H2 production from 1 cm2 catalyst and for135different catalyst areas combined with 10 m2 PV for acidic Pt (cathode)-Ni foam (anode) electrolysis.



**Figure S8.** 1-hour step ramping at 25 °C and 6-hour stability measurement at different temperatures of (a-b) acidic Pt (cathode)-IrO<sub>2</sub> (anode), (c-d) alkaline NiMoW (cathode)-NiO (anode), and (e-f) alkaline Pt (cathode)-Ni foam (anode) electrolysis. 1-hour step ramping measurements were performed by applying potentials corresponding to 10, 8, 5, 3,

and 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. The 6-hour stability measurement were performed at a potential which corresponds to 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in linear sweep measurements.

#### References

- 1. Bayrak Pehlivan, I., Edoff, M. Stolt, L. and Edvinsson, T. Energies, 2019, 12, 4064.
- Müller, M., Carmo, M., Glüsen, A., Hehemann, M., Saba, S., Zwaygardt, W., Stolten, D., Water Management in Membrane Electrolysis and Options for Advanced Plants. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2019, 44, 10147-10155.

150