Electronic Supplementary Information

Improving the Overall Performance of Photochemical H₂ Evolution Catalyzed by the Co-NHC Complex via the Redox Tuning of Electron Relays

Koichi Yatsuzuka, Kosei Yamauchi,* Ken Kawano, Hironobu Ozawa* and Ken Sakai*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Motooka 744, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan.

E-mail: kyamauchi@chem.kyushu-univ.jp, h.ozawa@chem.kyushu-univ.jp, ksakai@chem.kyushu-univ.jp



Figure S1. Square wave voltammograms of 2 mM of $DQCl_2 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ (a), dmDQCl_2 \cdot 3H_2O (b), and 3,3'-MVCl_2 \cdot H_2O (c) in an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5) containing KCl (0.1 M) under Ar atmosphere at room temperature. DQ^{2+} , dmDQ²⁺ and 3,3'-MV²⁺ denote *N*,*N'*-propylene-2,2'-bipyridinium, 4,4'-dimethyl-*N*,*N'*propylene-2,2'-bipyridinium, and *N*,*N'*-dimethyl-3,3'-bipyridynium, respectively.



Figure S2. Stern-Volmer plots measured for the aqueous acetate buffer solutions (0.1 M, pH 5) containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) and various concentration of electron relays (ERs) under Ar at 20 °C (left). Right Figure shows magnified plots. MV^{2+} , $dmMV^{2+}$, and $tmMV^{2+}$ denote *N*,*N*'-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium, *N*,*N*',2,2'-tetramethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium, and *N*,*N*'2,2',6,6'-hexamethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium, respectively.

Table S1. The reported values of the first reduction potentials and the quenching rate constants of ERs. These values were determined in an aqueous media. The values shown in parentheses are those determined in this study in an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5.0).

	1st reduction potential	Quenching rate constant of	Deference
	[V vs. SCE]	$[Ru^{*}(bpy)_{3}]^{2+}[M^{-1}\bullet s^{-1}]$	Reference
MV^{2+}	-0.69 (-0.69)	9.6×10 ⁸ (8.8×10 ⁸)	1,2
$dmMV^{2+}$	-0.75 ^a (-0.77)	6.9×10 ⁸ (5.9×10 ⁸)	2
tmMV ²⁺	-0.88 ^a (-0.85)	4.6×10 ⁸ (4.7×10 ⁸)	2
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{Q}^{2+}$	-0.79 ^a (-0.79)	5.7×10 ⁸ (5.6×10 ⁸)	3
dmDQ ²⁺	-0.94 ^a (-0.93)	4.0×10 ⁸ (2.8×10 ⁸)	3
3,3'-MV ²⁺	-1.08 ^b (-1.08)	$1.0 \times 10^{6b} (1.5 \times 10^{6)}$	4

^aValues are reported as V vs. NHE, and converted into V vs. SCE using following equation; 0 V vs NHE = -0.24 V vs SCE. ^bReduction potential was measured in acetonitrile.



Figure S3. Emission decay profile of an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5) containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. The excitation wavelength was 472 nm, and the emission was monitored at 600 nm.



Figure S4. Emission decay profiles of aqueous acetate buffer solutions (0.1 M, pH 5) containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) and various concentrations of $MVCl_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ (0.5, 1, 2 and 4 mM) under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. The excitation wavelength was 472 nm, and the emission was monitored at 600 nm.



Figure S5. Emission decay profiles of aqueous acetate buffer solutions (0.1 M, pH 5) containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) and various concentrations of dmMVCl_2 \cdot 1.5H_2O (0.5, 1, 2 and 4 mM) under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. The excitation wavelength was 472 nm, and the emission was monitored at 600 nm.



Figure S6. Emission decay profiles of aqueous acetate buffer solutions (0.1 M, pH 5) containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) and various concentrations of **tmMV**Cl_2 \cdot H_2O (0.47, 0.95, 1.9 and 3.8 mM) under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. The excitation wavelength was 472 nm, and the emission was monitored at 600 nm.



Figure S7. Emission decay profiles of aqueous acetate buffer solutions (0.1 M, pH 5) containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) and various concentrations of **DQ**Cl_2 \cdot 1.5H_2O (0.5, 1, 2 and 4 mM) under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. The excitation wavelength was 472 nm, and the emission was monitored at 600 nm.



Figure S8. Emission decay profiles of aqueous acetate buffer solutions (0.1 M, pH 5) containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) and various concentrations of **dmDQ**Cl_2 \cdot 3H_2O (0.42, 0.85, 1.7 and 3.4 mM) under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. The excitation wavelength was 472 nm, and the emission was monitored at 600 nm.



Figure S9. Emission decay profiles of aqueous acetate buffer solutions (0.1 M, pH 5) containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2\cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) and various concentrations of 3,3'- $MVCl_2\cdot H_2O$ (19, 37 and 75 mM) under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. The excitation wavelength was 472 nm, and the emission was monitored at 600 nm.



Figure S10. Photochemical H₂ production from an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M; pH 5.0; 10 mL) containing EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt; 30 mM), [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂·6H₂O (0.04 mM), and **Co-NHC-1** (0.1 mM) in the presence and absence of **3,3'-MV**Cl₂·H₂O (2 mM), under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. Due to the inevitable difference between the Xe lamp used in this measurement and that used for Figure 2 in MS, the values of the initial rate of HER cannot be compared with those shown in Figure 2 in MS. The other experimental conditions are same to those described in Figure 2 in MS.



Figure S11. Dependence of the initial rate of HER on the EDTA concentration. Photolysis was carried out using the photolysis solution containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM), **Co-NHC-1** (0.1 mM), **tmMV**Cl_2·H₂O (2 mM), and varying concentration of EDTA (disodium salt). The initial rate of HER is calculated by using the amount of H₂ evolved at 10 min of photolysis. Due to the inevitable difference between the Xe lamp used in this measurement and that used for Figure 2 in MS, the values of the initial rate of HER cannot be compared with those shown in Figure 2 in MS. The other experimental conditions are same to those described in Figure 2 in MS.



Figure S12. Dependence of the initial rate of HER on the **Co-NHC-1** concentration. Photolysis was carried out using the photolysis solution containing EDTA (30 mM; disodium salt), $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM), **tmMV**Cl_2 \cdot H_2O (2 mM), and varying concentration of **Co-NHC-1**. The initial rate of HER is calculated by using the amount of H₂ evolved at 10 min of photolysis. Due to the inevitable difference between the Xe lamp used in this measurement and that used for Figure 2 in MS, the values of the initial rate of HER cannot be compared with those shown in Figure 2 in MS. The other experimental conditions are same to those described in Figure 2 in MS.



Figure S13. Changes in the light scattering intensity of an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5) containing EDTA (30 mM; disodium salt), $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM) and **tmMV**Cl_2•H_2O (2 mM) during the visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm) under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. After 180 min of light irradiation, the resulting solution was exposed to air and left for 60 min.



Figure S14. Spectral changes of an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5.0) containing EDTA (30 mM; disodium salt), $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM), and $dmMVCl_2 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ (2 mM) during 180 min of visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm) using a 300 W Xe lamp under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. Inset shows the time courses of absorbance changes at 392 and 555 nm. The spectral changes during the initial 50 min are shown in Figure 5 in the main MS.



Figure S15. Spectral changes of an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5.0) containing EDTA (30 mM; disodium salt), $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM), and **DQ**Cl₂·1.5H₂O (2 mM) during 30 min of visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm) using a 300 W Xe lamp under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. Inset shows the time courses of absorbance changes at 385 and 980 nm. The spectral changes during the initial 2 min are shown in Figure 6 in the main MS.



Figure S16. Spectral changes of an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5.0) containing EDTA (30 mM; disodium salt), $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM), and tmMVCl_2 $\cdot H_2O$ (2 mM) during 180 min of visible light irradiation using a 300 W Xe lamp under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. Inset shows the time courses of absorbance changes at 392 and 529 nm. Top Figure shows spectral changes from 0 to 15 min, and bottom Figure shows those from 15 to 180 min.



Figure S17. Spectral changes of an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5.0) containing EDTA (30 mM; disodium salt), $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.04 mM), and $dmDQCl_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ (2 mM) during 180 min of visible light irradiation using a 300 W Xe lamp under Ar atmosphere at 20 °C. Inset shows the time courses of absorbance changes at 384 and 911 nm. Top Figure shows spectral changes from 0 to 8 min, and bottom Figure shows those from 8 to 180 min.

References

- 1 T. M. Bockman and J. K. Kochi, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 4127-4135.
- 2 A. Launikonis, J. W. Loder, A. W.-H. Mau, W. H. Sasse and D. Wells, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 1982, **22**, 158-162.
- 3 E. Amouyal and B. Zidler, Isr. J. Chem., 1982, 22, 117-124.
- 4 C. R. Bock, J. A. Connor, A. R. Gutierrez, T. J. Meyer, D. G. Whitten, B. P. Sullivan and J. K. Nagle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1979, **101**, 4815-4824.