Tuning the Morphology of Segmented Block copolymers with Zr-MOF nanoparticles for Durable and Efficient Hydrocarbon Separation Membranes

Ali Pournaghshband Isfahani,^{ab} Morteza Sadeghi,^c Somaye Nilouyal,^{ab} Guoji Huang,^{ab} Ansori Muchtar,^{ab} Masateru M. Ito, ^{ab} Daisuke Yamaguchi,^{ab} Easan Sivaniah,^{*ab} and Behnam Ghalei^{*ab}

a. Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (iCeMS), Kyoto University, Kyoto,606-8501, Japan

b. Department of Molecular Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

c. Department of Chemical Engineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, 84156-83111, Isfahan, Iran

* Corresponding authors. Email: esivanah@icems.kyoto-u.ac.jp; bghalei@icems.kyoto-u.ac.jp; Tel: +81-75-753-9865

1.1. Gas permeation test

The gas permeability of all membranes was measured using a constant volume-variable pressure method. The permeation gas cell comprises a stainless steel holder with an effective area of 2cm^3 (Millipore XX4502500) equipped with gas and vacuum lines ¹. The changes in pressure and temperature are recorded by an absolute pressure sensor (Keller PAA33X). Gas transport in the polyurethane membranes is explained by the solution-diffusion mechanism, where the gas permeability is the product of gas diffusivity (D) and gas solubility (S) coefficients: $P_i=D_i\times S_i$. The gas permeation coefficient is calculated from equation S1:

$$P = J_{i} \frac{l}{\Delta p} = 10^{10} \frac{273.15 V}{76 AT} (\frac{dp}{dt}) \frac{l}{\Delta p}$$
(S1)

In eq.S1, J_i is the gas flux, I is the membrane thickness, and Δp is the pressure drop between the feed and permeate side of the (dp)

membrane. Besides, $\langle \overline{dt} \rangle$ is the pressure difference rate in the steady-state gas transmission through the membrane. V, A, and T represent the permeate volume, the effective area of the membrane, and measurement temperature, respectively. The gas permeability unit is mol.m.m⁻².s⁻¹.Pa⁻¹ or barrer=10⁻¹⁰cm³(STP)cm cm⁻²s⁻¹cmHg⁻¹.

The ideal selectivity of the membrane $\alpha_{i/j}$ is calculated by the ratio of permeability coefficients of two individual gases i and j, which also can be written as the product of the diffusivity and solubility coefficients:

$$\alpha_{i/j} = \frac{P_i}{P_j} = \frac{D_i S_i}{D_j S_j}$$
(S2)

The diffusivity coefficient of each gas can be calculated from the time-lag method:

$$D_i = \frac{l^2}{6\theta}$$
(S3)

Linear extrapolation of the slope from the steady-state region of p vs. t curve and compute the x-axis intercept gives θ .

The mixed gas separation properties of the membrane were determined for CO_2/N_2 (50/50 vol.%) and CO_2/H_2 (50/50 vol.%) at 4 bar and 25°C. The stage cut was kept less than 1% to ensure that the feed gas composition does not change over time. The permeate was analyzed by GC gas chromatography and the separation factor can be obtained by equation S4:

$$\alpha_{i/j} = \frac{\frac{y_i}{y_j}}{\frac{x_i}{x_j}}$$
(S4)

where x and y are the gas mol fractions in the feed and permeate, respectively.



Fig. S1 Schematic representation of PU synthesis



Fig. S2 FTIR spectra of non-functionalized UiO66 and amine-functionalized UiO66 particles.



Fig. S3 TGA thermograms of the PU/UiO66 MMMs



Fig. S4 (a) Gas permeability of CO₂, N₂ and H₂, and (b) CO₂/N₂ and CO₂/H₂ ideal selectivity in MMMs as a function of non-functionalized UiO66 (open symbols) and UiO66-NH2 loadings (filled symbols).



Fig. S5 (a) Diffusivity and (b) solubility coefficients of CO₂, N₂, CH₄ and C₄H₁₀, and (c) diffusivity and solubility selectivity of CO_2/N_2 , C₄H₁₀/CH₄ in PU MMMs at different UiO66-NH2 loadings.



Fig. S6 Maxwell model prediction (dashed line) for CO_2/N_2 and C_4H_{10}/CH_4 separation. Pure permeability of UiO66-NH2 for each gas is predicted using the experimental data at low loadings (P_{N2} =30 barrer, P_{CH4} =37 barrer, P_{CO2} =2442 barrer , P_{C4H10} =8903 barrer). Pure gas permeability of the neat PU membrane and UiO66-NH2 particles are then used to predict the gas permeability of MMMs at different loadings (blue stars). C_4H_{10}/CH_4 separation properties of PUiON membranes at different loadings (open dark pink square) are well predicted by the model at low filler concentrations. CO_2/N_2 separation properties of PUiON membranes at different loadings (open black circle) are almost matched with the Maxwell model data points (bule stars).

Table S1: The HBI values of the membranes calculated from FTIR spectra

| Samples | PU | PU-UiON2.5 | PU-UiON5 | PU-UiON10 | PU-UiON20 | PU-UiON30 |
|------------|-----|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| HBI values | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.9 |

Table 2: Gas permeability and ideal selectivity in the PU/UiO66-NH2 MMMs at 4bar and 25°C. The butane permeability was measured at 1bar. The mixed gas data for C4H10/CH4 (50/50 vol.%) gas mixture are reported in parentheses.

| Samples - | | Pe | ermeability (ba | Selectivity | | | | |
|------------|------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|---------------|---------------|
| | CH_4 | C_2H_6 | C_3H_8 | C_3H_6 | C_4H_{10} | C ₄ H ₁₀ /CH ₄ | C_3H_8/CH_4 | C_2H_6/CH_4 |
| PU | 8.3±0.7 (4.6) | 21.6±1.3 | 63.8±3.2 | 161.4±8.5 | 343.6±24.0 (131.9) | 41.4±4.5 (28.5) | 7.7±0.8 | 2.6±0.3 |
| PU-UiON2.5 | 8.6±0.7 | 23.1±1.2 | 69.0±2.9 | 180.2±9.4 | 392.5±25.5 | 45.6±4.8 | 8.0±0.7 | 2.7±0.3 |
| PU-UiON5 | 9.6±0.8 (5.1) | 27.5±1.4 | 87.5±5.2 | 215.6±13.1 | 490.3±34.8 (193.1) | 51.1±5.6 (38.0) | 9.1±0.9 | 2.9±0.3 |
| PU-UiON10 | 9.4±0.8 | 30.0±2.1 | 91.2±6.4 | 238.6±15.3 | 578.0±35.8 | 61.5±6.5 | 9.7±1.1 | 3.2±0.4 |
| PU-UiON20 | 9.0±0.7 (5.2) | 30.5±1.9 | 90.2±5.6 | 245.0±14.0 | 613.6±36.2 (266.0) | 68.2±6.7 (51.1) | 10.0±1.1 | 3.4±0.4 |
| PU-UiON30 | 7.8±0.5 | 26.5±1.4 | 84.7±5.5 | 231.9±15.1 | 563.0±29.8 | 72.2±6.0 | 10.9±1.0 | 3.4±0.3 |

Table 3: Gas permeability and ideal selectivity of the PU/UiO66-NH2 MMMs at 4bar and 25°C. The mixed gas data for CO_2/N_2 (50/50 vol.%) and CO_2/H_2 (50/50 vol.%) gas mixtures are reported in parentheses.

| Consultan | Permeability (barrer) | | | | | | Selectivity | | |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------|--|
| Samples | CO ₂ | H ₂ | O ₂ | N ₂ | CH ₄ | CO ₂ /H ₂ | CO_2/N_2 | CO₂/CH₄ | |
| PU | 129.1±9.0 (73.8) | 15.8±1.1 (11.3) | 10.1±0.8 | 3.0±0.2 (2.4) | 8.3±0.7 | 8.2±0.8 (6.5) | 43.0±4.3 (30.7) | 15.6±1.7 | |
| PU-UiON2.5 | 138.0±10.3 | 15.8±1.2 | 9.8±0.8 | 2.9±0.2 | 8.6±0.7 | 8.7±0.9 | 47.6±5.0 | 16.0±1.8 | |
| PU-UiON5 | 150.2±11.7 (105.5) | 15.7±1.2 (12.0) | 9.4±0.6 | 2.8±0.2 (2.3) | 9.6±0.8 | 9.6±1.0 (8.8) | 53.6±5.9 (45.3) | 15.6±1.8 | |
| PU-UiON10 | 166.4±13.3 | 15.2±1.1 | 8.8±0.7 | 2.7±0.2 | 9.4±0.8 | 10.9±1.2 | 61.6±7.0 | 17.7±2.1 | |
| PU-UiON20 | 163.0±13.2 (124.1) | 14.8±1.2 (12.8) | 7.6±0.5 | 2.3±0.2 (2.0) | 9.0±0.7 | 11.0±1.3 (9.7) | 70.9±8.1 (62.6) | 18.1±2.0 | |
| PU-UiON30 | 140.6±9.5 | 13.2±1.0 | 6.5±0.3 | 1.9±0.1 | 7.8±0.5 | 10.7±1.0 | 72.3±7.1 | 18.0±1.7 | |

| d⊔ | | | |
|------|--|--|---|
| | D _k | T _b | ε/k |
| 4.00 | 3.30 | 195.0 | 190.0 |
| 3.43 | 3.46 | 90.2 | 113.0 |
| 3.68 | 3.64 | 77.4 | 91.5 |
| 3.82 | 3.80 | 111.7 | 137.0 |
| 4.42 | - | 184.5 | 230.0 |
| 4.68 | 4.50 | 225.5 | 303.0 |
| 5.06 | 4.30 | 231.1 | 254.0 |
| 5.34 | 4.30 | 272.7 | 310.0 |
| | 4.00 3.43 3.68 3.82 4.42 4.68 5.06 5.34 | 4.00 3.30 3.43 3.46 3.68 3.64 3.82 3.80 4.42 - 4.68 4.50 5.06 4.30 5.34 4.30 | 4.003.30195.03.433.4690.23.683.6477.43.823.80111.74.42-184.54.684.50225.55.064.30231.15.344.30272.7 |

Table S4: Molecular specification of penetrant gases ²

Table S5: N₂ permeability of the pure PU and PUiON10 and PUiON20 MMMs before and after C₄H₁₀ permeation test at 1 bar

| | N ₂ permeability (barrer) | | | | C_4H_{10}/N_2 selectivity | | | |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|-----------------------------|---------|--|--|
| samples | Pure PU | PUiON10 | PUiON20 | Pure P | U PUiON10 | PUiON20 | | |
| Before | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 114.5 | 214.1 | 266.8 | | |
| After | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 90.4 | 209.4 | 281.2 | | |

- 1. A. P. Isfahani, B. Ghalei, K. Wakimoto, R. Bagheri, E. Sivaniah and M. Sadeghi, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 17431-17439.
- 2. K. Tanaka, A. Taguchi, J. Hao, H. Kita and K. Okamoto, J. Membr. Sci., 1996, **121**, 197-207.