## Supporting Information

## Engineering Mo/Mo<sub>2</sub>C/MoC Hetero-interfaces for Enhanced

## **Electrocatalytic Nitrogen Reduction**

Ying Liu,<sup>†a</sup> Xiaorong Zhu,<sup>†a</sup> Qinghua Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Tang Tang,<sup>b,d</sup> Yun Zhang,<sup>b,d</sup> Lin Gu,<sup>c</sup> Yafei Li,<sup>a</sup> Jianchun Bao,<sup>a</sup> Zhihui Dai,<sup>\*a</sup> Jin-Song Hu,<sup>\*b,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Biomedical Functional Materials, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China

<sup>b</sup> Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences (BNLMS), CAS Key Laboratory of Molecular Nanostructure and Nanotechnology, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

<sup>c</sup> Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

<sup>d</sup> University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding Author <u>\*hujs@iccas.ac.cn</u> <u>\*daizhihuii@njnu.edu.cn</u>



**Figure S1.** (a) Low- and (b) high-magnification SEM images, (c) EDS profile and (d) XRD pattern of the Mo-containing precursor.



Figure S2. XRD patterns for MoC, Mo<sub>2</sub>C, Mo<sub>x</sub>C, Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C and Mo samples.



**Figure S3.** (a) TEM and (b) nanoparticles diameter distribution histogram for the Mo<sub>2</sub>C sample.



**Figure S4.** (a) SEM, (b) TEM and (c) high-magnification TEM, (d) XRD pattern and (e) EDS mapping images for the  $MoO_xC_y$  intermediate.



**Figure S5.** TGA profiles of (a)  $MoO_xC_y$  intermidate obtained from the annealing of polymer precursor at 650 °C, and (b)  $Mo/Mo_xC$  product obtained from the further annealing of  $MoO_xC_y$  at 1000 °C under N<sub>2</sub> flow.

In Figure S5a, the initial weight loss below 150 °C is ascribed to water evaporation. With the temperature gradually increases, the polymer precursor starts to decomposition along with the formation of  $MoO_xC_y$ . When the temperature exceeds 550 °C, a quick weight loss is observed due to the combustion of carbon. The remaining weight after heating to 650 °C is about 71%. In Figure S5b, solid state reaction between  $MoO_xC_y$  and carbon occurs accompanied by the carbonization and reduction, generating and releasing  $CO_x$ .



**Figure S6.** (a) TEM (b) HRTEM (c) nanoparticles size distribution histogram and (d) XRD pattern for the metallic Mo sample.



Figure S7. (a) Wide-scan survey, and (b) high-resolution C 1s XPS spectra for the  $Mo/Mo_xC$  sample.

Valence state	BE (eV)	FWHM	Area
Мо	228.0	0.68	11050.20
	231.2	0.85	9027.76
$Mo^{2+}$	228.3	0.88	16508.61
	231.5	1.15	14053.62
$Mo^{4+}$	229.2	1.74	8284.90
	232.3	1.10	3841.25
$Mo^{6+}$	233.2	1.53	4348.37
	235.5	1.60	3468.00

Table S1. Fitting parameters for Mo signals in Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C

Based on the fitted peak area of Mo and the total peak area of Mo 2p, the content of metallic Mo can be calculated as:

$$\frac{peak area of Mo}{total peak area of Mo 2p} = 0.28$$



Figure S8. Nanoparticles diameter distribution histogram for the Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C sample.



Figure S9. Selected area electron diffraction image for the Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C sample.



Figure S10. STEM images for the Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C sample.

As shown in Figure S10, it is observed that nanoparticles are composed of different types of lattice fringes which can be indexed to MoC, Mo<sub>2</sub>C, and metallic Mo, indicating the formation of heterostructured Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C. Moreover, massive atomic deficiencies, grain boundaries and dislocations are also observed, especially on the heterogeneous interfaces.



Figure S11. Nanoparticles diameter distribution histogram for the Mo<sub>x</sub>C sample.



Figure S12. (a) Chronoamperometry curves and (b) UV-vis absorption spectra at each given potential for the Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C catalyst measured in 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (the calibration curve used herein is y=0.035x+0.0387).

Figure S12a shows the chronoamperometric curves of the Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C catalyst. The current density increases in sequence as the applied potential increases from -0.2 V to -0.5 V (vs. RHE). Figure S12b shows the corresponding UV-Vis absorption spectra. The peak intensity at about 655 nm increases as the potential decreases from -0.5 V to -0.3 V, indicative of the increased NH<sub>3</sub> yield.



**Figure S13.** LSV curves recorded in N<sub>2</sub>- and Ar-saturated electrolyte for the Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C catalyst.



Figure S14. (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of 0.1 M  $Na_2SO_4$  electrolyte with various  $N_2H_4$  concentrations. (b) Calibration curve used for the calculation of  $N_2H_4$  concentrations.



**Figure S15.** UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes estimated by the Watt and Chrisp method for (a)  $Mo/Mo_xC$ , (b)  $Mo_xC$ , (c) metallic Mo, and (d)  $Mo_2C$  catalyst at different potentials.



Figure S16. Chronoamperometric stability test (at -0.3 V vs. RHE) for the  $Mo/Mo_xC$  catalyst.



Figure S17. UV-vis absorption spectra of the cathode electrolytes stained with indophenol indicator after 2 h potentiostatic test at -0.3 V (vs. RHE) in 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for bare carbon cloth measured in N<sub>2</sub>-saturated atmosphere and carbon cloth with the Mo/Mo<sub>x</sub>C catalyst measured in Ar- and N<sub>2</sub>-saturated atmosphere.



Figure S18. CV curves of (a)  $Mo/Mo_xC$ , (b)  $Mo_xC$ , (c) Mo, and (d)  $Mo_2C$  catalysts measured at scan rates from 20 to 200 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



N<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at a potential of -0.3 V (vs. RHE).

Catalyst	NH <sub>3</sub> yield rate	FE	electrolyte	Ref.
		(%)		
MoS <sub>2</sub> /CC	$4.94 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	1.17	0.1 M	Adv. Mater., 2018, 30,
	(-0.5 V vs. RHE)		$Na_2SO_4$	1800191.
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/C	11.3	7.8	0.5 M	Adv. Mater., 2018, 30,
	(-0.3 V vs. RHE)		$Li_2SO_4$	1803694.
MoO <sub>3</sub>	29.43	1.9	0.1 M HCl	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6,
nanosheet	(-0.5 V vs. RHE)			12974.
(110)-oriented	3.09 x 10 <sup>-11</sup> mol s <sup>-1</sup>	0.72	$0.5 \ M \ H_2 SO_4$	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5,
Mo	cm <sup>-2</sup> (-0.49 V vs.			18967.
	RHE)			
SA-Mo/NPC	$34.0 \pm 3.6 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ mg^{-1}$	14.6	0.1 M KOH	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed,
	(-0.3V vs. RHE)	±1.6		2019, 58, 2321.
Au nanorod	$1.648 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	4.02	0.1 M KOH	Adv. Mater., 2017, 29,
	(-0.2V vs. RHE)			1604799.
Au/CeO <sub>x</sub> -RGO	8.3 μg h <sup>-1</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup>	10.10	0.1 M HCl	Adv. Mater., 2017, 29,
hybrid	(-0.2 V vs. RHE)			1700001.
Pd <sub>0.2</sub> Cu <sub>0.8</sub> /rGO	$2.80 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ mg^{-1}$	$\sim$	0.1 M KOH	Adv. Energy Mater., 2018,
composite	(-0.2 V vs. RHE)			8, 1800124.
Ru@ZrO <sub>2</sub> /NC	$3.7 \text{ mg h}^{-1} \text{ mg }_{Ru}^{-1}$	21	0.1 M HCl	Chem, 2019, 5, 204-214.
	(-0.21 V vs. RHE)			
Bi <sub>4</sub> V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	23.21 µg h <sup>-1</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup>	10.16	0.1 M HCl	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,
/CeO <sub>2</sub>	(-0.2 V vs. RHE)			2018, 57, 6073.
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /Ti	$5.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$	2.6	0.1 M	Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 14386.
	<sup>2</sup> (-0.4 V vs. RHE)		Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	
N-doped	15.804 µg h <sup>-1</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup>	2.72	0.1 M KOH	Catal. Sci. Technol., 2019,
carbon/Fe <sub>3</sub> C	(-0.4 V vs. RHE)			9, 1208-1214.
<b>Boron-Doped</b>	9.8 $\mu$ g h <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	10.8	0.05 M	Joule, 2018, 2, 1-13.
Graphene	(-0.5 V vs. RHE)		$H_2SO_4$	
B <sub>4</sub> C/CPE	$26.57 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ mg^{-1}$	15.95	0.1M HCl	Nat. Commun., 2018, 9,
	(-0.75 V vs. RHE)			3485.
Mo/Mo <sub>x</sub> C	20.4 ug h <sup>-1</sup> mg <sup>-1</sup>	18.9	0.1 M	This work
	(-0.3 V vs. RHE)		Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	

**Table S2.** Performance comparison of Mo-based and some precious-metal-based NRR electrocatalysts.